

Subject: Issue 281  
 From: Van Snyder  
 References: 00-187r1

## 1 Introduction

Paper 00-187r1 moved the discussion of the semantics of INTRINSIC from section 12 to section 5.

Issue 281 notes that the text inserted in section 5 does not account for all the material deleted from section 12.

## 2 Edits

Edits refer to 00-007r2. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by immediately following text, while a page and line number followed by + indicates that immediately following text is to be inserted after the indicated line. Remarks for the editor are noted in the margin, or appear between [ and ] in the text.

---

[Editor: Delete issue 281.]

77:1-8

---

[Editor: Add a new paragraph:]

77:10+

If the specific name of an intrinsic procedure (13.15) is used as an actual argument, the name shall be explicitly specified to have the INTRINSIC attribute.

### J3 internal note

<p>Unresolved issue xxx</p> <p>The above sentence is intended to cover the same ground as the sentence at [254:9-11] in 00-007r1 and at [198:7-9] in Fortran 95 (97-007r2). It appears to be too strong: What if one has declared a variable, associate name, dummy argument, external procedure or module procedure to have the same name as the specific name of an intrinsic function? What if IMPLICIT NONE is not specified, and the name is undeclared – in which case any reference other than a procedure reference would create a variable? Can that entity not be used as an actual argument? Does this need an interpretation?</p>
---