

Subject: Extend INT to LOGICAL argument
 From: Van Snyder

1 **1 Number**

2 TBD

3 **2 Title**

4 Extend INT to LOGICAL argument.

5 **3 Submitted By**

6 J3

7 **4 Status**

8 For consideration.

9 **5 Basic Functionality**

10 Define INT(.true.) to be 1, and INT(.false.) to be zero.

11 **6 Rationale**

12 It is occasional necessary to combine numeric values depending upon a logical value. On many systems,
 13 multiply is faster than IF. Furthermore, an IF statement or construct is bulkier, and may be less clear
 14 to future human readers of the program. It would be useful if .true. could be converted to 1, and .false.
 15 could be converted to zero, which would in turn allow to combine numeric values, depending upon a
 16 logical value, without using an IF statement or construct.

17 **7 Estimated Impact**

18 Trivial changes to description of INT intrinsic function. Probably trivial for most processors. J3 effort
 19 probably at 3 on the JKR scale.

20 **8 Detailed Specification**

21 Define INT(.true.) to be 1, and INT(.false.) to be zero.

22 **8.1 Suggested edits**

23 The following suggested edits illustrate the magnitude of the project.

24 [Editor: Insert “, logical” after “integer” in the description of the A argument of the INT intrinsic 323:21
 25 function.]

26 [Editor: Insert another case in the list of result values, after the case for argument of type integer:] 323:26+

27 *Case ($i\frac{1}{2}$):* If A is of type logical there are two cases: If A has the value false INT(A) has
 28 the value 0; if A has the value true INT(A) has the value 1.

29 **Examples.** INT(-3.7) has the value -3. INT(.true.) has the value 1. 323:34

30 **9 History**