J3/01-218r2

To:J3From:Malcolm CohenSubject:Unresolved issue 332Date:21st May 2001

1. Introduction

Issue 332 says

"Shouldn't R823 have a constraint like the 2nd one after R818? I don't see how one can argue that the one on R818 applies."

Yes.

Furthermore, the constraint at [154:45] is being applied to the ASSOCIATE construct; it ought not to be.

Also, the glossary entry for associate-name is incomplete.

2. Edits to 01-007r1

[154:45] After "selector" insert "in a SELECT TYPE statement".
{We do not want this constraint to apply to the ASSOCIATE construct.}

[156:12+] Copy [154:43-44] to here. {Accept the editor's suggestion.}

[156:13-16] Delete J3 note 332.

[397:40] Change "the type" to "a". After "TYPE" insert "or ASSOCIATE".