JOR Responses to 02-209

To: J3
From: Craig Dedo
Date: August 14, 2002
Subject: JOR Responses to 02-209

Following are the JOR responses to the concerns raised in 02-209. Edits are with respect to 02-007r2.

Fixes to issues raised regarding 02-181r4.

[209:15,17] Change "processor-specified" to "processor-dependent" in two places.

[209:16] Change "and to a" to "or to a".

[209:17] Delete "the flush operation had no effect or that".

Fixes to issues raised regarding 02-197.

[204:1 –] Delete the second sentence in Note 9.46.

Fixes to issues raised regarding 02-199.

[13:3] Replace with " **2.2.3.4 Interface block** ".

[13:4-5] Delete "interface. The name of an interface body may be used to specify the".

[13:8] Delete "simply".

Fixes to issues raised regarding 02-203r2.

[43:16+] Change Note 4.18 to read, "Since a type parameter is not an object, a bound for an *explicit-shape-spec* or a *type-param-value* may contain a *type-param-name* . "

[251:1-2] Replace "A procedure ... of the module;" with "A procedure declared in the scoping unit of a module, which has an implicit interface, shall explicitly be given the EXTERNAL attribute in that scoping unit;".

[251:4-5] Replace "An intrinsic ... module" with "An intrinsic procedure declared in the scoping unit of a module shall explicitly be given the INTRINSIC attribute in that scoping unit".

[343:35-36] Change the first sentence of the paragraph to read,
"**Result Value.** If P or R is absent, the result value is the same as if they were present with the value zero."

[334:33] Replace "The optional argument" with "MOLD".

References

02-007r2, Fortran 2000 Draft

[End of J3 / 02-263]