31 January 2004 J3/04-228

Subject: Problems with CHAR and LEN in the FCD

From: Van Snyder

## 1 1 Problem with CHAR

- $\,$  2  $\,$  The bullet on CHAR in subclause 13.6 was removed between 01-007r1 and 01-007r2. This appears to be
- 3 a typographical error. There are no edits in any papers for meeting 157 that address page 285, where
- 4 CHAR was in 01-007r1. I also checked papers from meetings 156 and 158, and none had edits for page
- 5 285 in 01-007r1.

16

6 Editor: On page 298, 7 lines from the bottom, restore the bullet on CHAR.

298

## 7 2 Problem with LEN

- 8 LEN is allowed as an actual argument or the target of a procedure pointer assignment. The entry for
- 9 LEN in the table in subclause 13.6 says the argument is default character. We recently added an optional
- 10 KIND argument to LEN, which makes it generic instead of specific, and therefore impossible to use the
- 11 (nonexistent) specific intrinsic LEN as an actual argument. We need to describe LEN as two procedures,
- 12 not one with an optional KIND argument, and then make it clear that only the one-argument form with
- 13 a default character argument is the specific one.
- 14 LEN(STRING) with a default character argument is a specific intrinsic function; LEN(STRING) with 300:2+ new  $\P$
- a different kind argument, and LEN(STRING, KIND), are generic.

13.7.61 LEN (STRING) or LEN (STRING, KIND)

17 [Editor: Remove "(optional)".]

 $\frac{326:22}{326:23-25}$ 

326:17

## 18 Result Characteristics.

- 19 Case (i): The result of LEN(STRING) is a default integer scalar.
- 20 Case (ii): The result of LEN(STRING, KIND) is an integer scalar of kind KIND.

31 January 2004 Page 1 of 1