6 February 2004

Subject:C-interoperable pointers with more Fortran semanticsFrom:Van SnyderReferences:98-170r1, 04-232

1 1 Number

2 TBD

3 2 Title

4 C-interoperable pointers with more Fortran semantics.

5 3 Submitted By

6 J3

7 4 Status

8 For consideration.

9 5 Basic Functionality

10 Provide C-interoperable pointers with more Fortran semantics.

11 6 Rationale

Facilities to use C-interoperable pointers are sufficient to do everything desirable, but are quite cumbersome and cryptic. This increases maintenance costs and reduces efficiency. The present facilities,

14 together with those proposed in 04-232, require one to understand the functionality of seven procedures,

15 two types, and two named constants. Once a competent Fortran programmer realizes that the only 16 difference between Fortran pointers and the proposed pointers here is that the proposed ones have some

restrictions, the proposed facilities are instantantly understandable.

reserverences, the proposed radiaties are instantantly

18 7 Estimated Impact

19 Small to moderate.

20 8 Detailed Specification

21 Provide a new pointer attribute for data objects and procedures. These pointers are to be C inter-22 operable. Data pointers can be scalars, assumed-size arrays, or explicit-shape arrays. We use here 23 terminology presently reserved for dummy arguments because the pointers have the same semantics as

24 dummy arguments with the same properties, but they need not be dummy arguments.

25 Provide a type that interoperates with the C void type.

26 8.1 Suggested syntax

- 27 The attribute POINTER(C) is proposed for data objects and procedure objects.
- 28 The type name C_VOID is proposed. It is a derived type with no public components.

29 8.2 Comparisons to current practice

- 30 Declarations that are the same in both cases:
- 31 integer :: I(10,20,30), J
- 32 integer, pointer :: F(:,:,:)
- 33 subroutine S ... BIND(C) ... ; ... ; end subroutine S
- 34 procedure(s), pointer :: P

Using 03-007r2	Using POINTER(C) (see 98-170r1)
integer, pointer :: $p1(:), p3a(:,:,:), p3b(:,:,:)$! not needed in examples below
$type(c_ptr) :: C, CC$	integer, pointer(c) :: $C(10,20,^*)$, &
	& $CC(10,20,*), C1(0:*)$
$type(c_fptr) :: P ! void*$	procedure(s), pointer(c) :: Q
$q = c_null_funptr$	q => null() ! or
	nullify(q)
c = cc ! no rank check	c => cc ! ranks checked
c = c loc (i)! no rank check	c => i ! ranks checked
c = c loc (f) ! no rank check	c => f ! ranks checked
if (c_associated(c))	if (associated(c))
if (c_associated(c,cc))	if (associated(c,cc))
c = malloc (10 * 20 * 30 * ???)	allocate (c (10, 20, 30))
call free (c)	deallocate (c)
! no rank check	
call c_f_pointer (c, f, (/10,20,30/))	f(10,20,30) => c ! ranks checked
$q = c_{funloc} (s) !$ no bounds check	q => s ! Interfaces shall agree!
$q = c_{funloc} (p) !$ no bounds check	q => p ! Interfaces shall agree!
call c_f_procpointer (q, p)	p => q! Interfaces shall agree!
$c = c_null_ptr$	c => null() ! or
	nullify(c)
call c_f_pointer (c, p3a, $(/10,20,30/)$)	
$\frac{j = p3a(1,2,3)}{100}$	j = c(1,2,3)! could check bounds
call c_f_pointer (c, p3a, $(/10, 20, 30/)$)	
$\frac{\text{p3a}(1,2,3) = \mathbf{j}}{\text{constant}(1,2,3) = \mathbf{j}}$	C(1,2,3) = J ! could check bounds
call c_1_pointer (c, p3a, $(/10, 20, 30/)$)	
$can c_1 pointer (cc, psb, (/10,20,50/))$	$a_0(\cdots,30) = a(\cdots,30)$
$\frac{p_{30} - p_{30}}{c_{20}}$	CC(,.50) = C(,.50)
i = n1(4)	i = c1(3) could check bounds
$\frac{J - p_1(4)}{\text{call c f pointer (c p_1 (/ 10 /))}}$	J = CI(3): could check bounds
$p_1(4) = i$	$c1(3) = i \mid could check bounds$
Type $bind(c)$ Node	Type $bind(c)$ Node
integer(c int) :: value	integer(c int) :: value
integer(c_int) :: n_neighbors	integer(c_int) :: n_neighbors
$type(c_ptr) :: neighbors$	type(node), pointer(c) :: neighbors(*)
End type Node	End type Node
type(c_ptr) :: PN ! void*	type(node), pointer(c) :: PN
type(node), pointer :: FPN(:)	! not needed in examples below
call c_f_pointer (pn, fpn, (/ 1 /))	_
call c_f_pointer ($fpn(1)$ % neighbors, fpn ,	
$(/ \text{ fpn}(1)\%\text{n_neighbors }/)$)	
call c_f_pointer ($fpn(2)$ %neighbors, fpn ,	print *, pn%neighbors(0)%neighbors(1)% &
$(/ \text{ fpn}(2)\%n_\text{neighbors }/)$)	& neighbors(2)%value
print *, $fpn(3)$ %value	pn%neighbors(0)%neighbors(1)% &
fpn(3)%value = 42	& neighbors(2)%value = 42

1 8.3 Comparisons to proposals in 04-232

2 $\,$ The proposals in 04-232 simplify some of the examples in the left column above, but at the expense of

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{3}}$ $\ensuremath{\,}$ learning the functionality of two more procedures, as shown below.

6 February 2004

Using 03-007r2 and proposals in 04-232	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

Using POINTER(C) (see 98-170r1) $j = c_value (c, j, 3)!$ no bounds check j = c1(3)! could check bounds call c_store (c, j, 3) ! no bounds check c1(3) = j! could check bounds ! No type checking in c_store call c_store (pn, n) pn = n%neighbors call c_store (pn, n, 0) pn = n% neighbors call c_store (pn, n, 1) print *, pn%neighbors(0)%neighbors(1)% & pn = n% neighbors & neighbors(2)%value call c_store (pn, n, 2) print *, n%value pn%neighbors(0)%neighbors(1)% & n%value = 42& neighbors(2)%value = 42

9 History 2

1