14 June 2004 J3/04-338

Subject: Remove unnecessary restrictions on the VALUE attribute

From: Van Snyder

Number

TBD

Title

Remove unnecessary restrictions on the VALUE attribute.

Submitted By

J36

Status

For consideration.

Basic Functionality

Remove unnecessary restrictions on the VALUE attribute.

Rationale

- The restrictions on the use of the VALUE attribute appear to have been applied in an attempt to 12
- guarantee that every circumstance in which it is used will result in higher performance than if it is not 13
- used for example, the argument may be passed in a register.
- This attempt has failed however, because derived-type arguments, including polymorphic ones, are 15
- 16 allowed to have the VALUE attribute.
- Allowing more generality for the VALUE attribute will be useful. It does not pose any operational 17
- difficulty that is not already posed by automatic objects. The only potential performance implication 18
- is that for "large" objects, it will be necessary to take a copy of the argument but that requirement 19
- exists already. Textbook writers will probably mention this. Maybe it's worth a note in 5.1.2.15. If
- the VALUE attribute is handled on the caller's side, it may frequently be possible to suppress the copy. 21
- Even if it's handled on the callee's side, the caller could send along a flag that says "It's not necessary
- to take a copy." In these two cases, the VALUE attribute is even simpler than automatic objects. 23

Estimated Impact

Trivial for the standard, probably bordering on trivial for implementations.

Detailed Specification 26

[Remove ", DIMENSION" from C527. Cannonball polishing: Put the rest of the attributes in alphabet-73:20 27 ical order. 28

[Delete constraint C528. Deferred type parameters are covered by a conspiracy of C403 and C527.] 29

73:22-23

 $C544\frac{1}{2}$ (R516) An assumed-size array shall not have the VALUE attribute. 30

80:10+

History

14 June 2004 Page 1 of 1