10 August 2004

Subject:Allow more general forward type reference for componentsFrom:Van Snyder

1 1 Number

2 TBD

3 2 Title

4 Allow more general forward type reference for components.

5 3 Submitted By

6 J3

7 4 Status

8 For consideration.

9 5 Basic Functionality

10 This is a two-level proposal.

11 5.1 Level 1

12 Allow a forward reference for the type of an allocatable component.

13 5.2 Level 2

Allow a forward reference for the type of any component, so long as any circular dependence that arisesinvolves a component with the POINTER or ALLOCATABLE attribute.

16 **6** Rationale

17 6.1 Level 1

18 It's a little bit weird that the appearance of the POINTER attribute allows a forward reference for the 19 type of a component, but ALLOCATABLE doesn't. In the discussion that led to MOVE_ALLOC, it was 20 argued that one could use it to create linked lists without using pointers. Without allowing allocatable 21 components to have declared types that are not yet defined, that dream is in fact not realizable. That 22 the constraints were not updated when allocatable components were done was probably an oversight 23 rather than a conscious decision.

24 6.2 Level 2

The only purposes served by C438 are to prevent infinite-size types — those that have themselves as a direct component (as defined below), and to avoid expensive circularity checks. Prohibiting a forward reference was a simple way to do this — but it also prevents orderings of type definitions that some would find clearer than the reverse of a depth- or breadth-first traversal of the dependency DAG.

Processors have to cope with forward type references for FUNCTION statements, so that's clearly not
an unsolved problem. Processors have to prevent circular module dependencies, so circular-reference
detection also is clearly not an unsolved problem.

32 7 Estimated Impact

33 Trivial for the standard — at 3 on the N1594 scale; probably in the small range for processors.

The obvious way to discover circularity requires $O(n^2)$ operations where n is the number of direct components (as defined below) in a type. It can be done with less work: 1 For each type, mark whether it has any nonpointer nonallocatable components for which the type

- 2 definition is not yet accessible, or the type of some component is marked.
- 3 For each marked type, construct a list of the types of the nonpointer nonallocatable components that
- ${\rm 4} \quad {\rm are \ either \ not \ yet \ accessible \ or \ have \ types \ that \ are \ marked.}$
- 5 To check for circularity, it is only necessary to check the types in the list.
- 6 Thereby, types for which all nonpointer nonallocatable components are all accessible i.e., types defined
- 7 in a presently-allowed order would have zero-length lists, would not be marked, and would not appear
- $\,$ 8 $\,$ in any other type's "check these types for circularity" lists.
- 9 A processor could update the "marked components" and "marked types" lists when a type is defined if10 it discovers that that definition causes another type to become completely defined.

11 8 Detailed Specification

12 The Basic Functionality section covers it. Here are illustrative edits, to give an idea of the effect on the 13 standard.

14 8.1 Level 1

15	[Replace "POINTER not" by "neither the POINTER nor ALLOCATABLE attribute is".]	50:18
16	[Replace "POINTER" by "POINTER or ALLOCATABLE". Ummm, shouldn't C439 be a note anyway?]	50:21
17	8.2 Level 2	
18 19	The direct components of a derived type are its nonpointer nonallocatable components of derived type, and the direct components of the types of those components.	44:29+ New ¶
20	This definition of direct components is different from (and simpler than) the definition in 01-007.	Note to J3
21	[Replace C438 and C439:]	50:18-23
22	C438 (R440) A derived type shall not have a direct component of the same declared type.	

23 **9** History