19 September 2004

Subject:Resolve generic without invoking a procedure or evaluating argumentsFrom:Van SnyderReference:04-273

1 Number

2 TBD

3 Title

4 Resolve generic without invoking a procedure or evaluating arguments

5 Submitted By

6 J3

7 Status

8 For consideration.

9 Basic Functionality

10 Given examplars of actual arguments, resolve a generic name to a specific procedure without invoking11 the procedure or evaluating its arguments.

12 Rationale

With care and diligence, one can develop a program so that related sets of variables, constants and 13 function results are parameterized by a single kind type parameter. In order to change the kind of that 14 set of entities, one need only change one named constant's definition — almost: Generic procedures 15 cannot be actual arguments or procedure pointer targets. Thus, if one needs to change the program, in 16 addition to changing the single named constant definition, one needs to find all places where a specific 17 procedure that operates on the entities in question is an actual argument or procedure pointer target, 18 and manually edit those appearances. 19 It would be helpful to have a facility to resolve a generic name to a specific procedure without evaluating 20

20 It would be helpful to have a facility to resolve a generic name to a specific procedure without evalu.21 any arguments or invoking a procedure.

22 Estimated Impact

Minor. Processors already know how to do generic resolution. Estimated at meeting 169 to be at 4 onthe JKR scale.

25 **Detailed Specification**

Given examplars of actual arguments, resolve a generic name to a specific procedure without invokingthe procedure or evaluating its arguments.

28 There are at least two ways to do this. One is to provide a syntax that is suggestive of procedure 29 reference, but does resolution instead. One possibility for this is to enclose an actual argument list in 30 square brackets or curly brackets instead of round brackets. E.g.,

31 call solver (myVec, myJacobian, myModel[myVec,myJacobian])

32 Another is to provide an entity that looks like an intrinsic function but that has the important distinction

33 that its arguments aren't evaluated. Indeed, this entity that has the appearance of a function reference

34 isn't even invoked during program execution. It is entirely resolved to a procedure by the processor

35 during translation. E.g.,

19 September 2004

1 call solver (myVec, myJacobian, resolve(myModel(myVec,myJacobian)))

2 Since RESOLVE wouldn't really be a function, it may be desirable to use a different kind of brackets,3 e.g.,

4 call solver (myVec, myJacobian, resolve[myModel(myVec,myJacobian)])

5 If possible, a provision should be made to resolve a defined operation or defined assignment, e.g., 6 resolve[a * b] or resolve[a = b].

7 It should be possible to resolve a type-bound generic reference, e.g., resolve[a%b], but not if the data
8 entity (a in this illustration) is polymorphic.

9 No matter what syntax is used, it should be allowed to use the result either as an actual argument or a10 procedure pointer target.

11 It is conceivable that a provision could be made to resolve a generic name from the context of its

12 $\,$ appearance. This could work if it is an actual argument associated with a dummy procedure provided $\,$

13 that both the referenced procedure and the dummy procedure have explicit interface, or if it is a target

14 in a procedure pointer assignment and the pointer has explicit interface. This would still require some

15 means to cause resolution in the implicit interface cases, so it may not be worth contemplating.

16 History