Subject: Named constant parameter values and extents from *initialization-exprs*

From: Van Snyder Reference: 01-180, 04-101

1 Number

2 TBD

3 Title

4 Named constant parameter values and extents from *initialization-exprs*.

5 Submitted By

6 J3

7 Status

8 For Consideration.

9 Basic Functionality

- 10 As with character named constants, allow named constants of any type with length parameters to get
- 11 their parameter values from the *initialization-expr*. Allow array named constants to get their extents
- 12 from the *initialization-expr*.

13 Rationale

- 14 There was a good reason that a provision was made for named constants of character type to get their
- 15 lengths from their initialization-exprs. For the same reason, it would be useful if array named constants
- 16 could get their extents (or at least the last dimension's extent) from their initialization-exprs. For
- 17 consistency it would be useful if named constants could get any of their length parameters from their
- 18 initialization-exprs.

19 Estimated Impact

- 20 The material at the end of 4.4.4.1 should be moved to 4.2, and then generalized with a paragraph or
- 21 two to cover all length parameters.
- 22 Small effect in some subclause of 5.1.2.5, if a change in syntax is chosen. A few paragraphs to explain
- 23 how an array named constant gets its extents from the *initialization-expr*.
- 24 Estimated at meeting 169 to be at 4 on the JKR scale.

Detailed Specification

- 26 Allow array named constants to get their extents from the extents of their *initialization-exprs*. There 27 are at least three possibilities for the syntax.
- Use asterisk only for the last dimension, with its lower bound being one. This is similar to assumed size for dummy arguments.
- 30 (2) Use asterisk for every dimension, with the lower bounds being one.
- 31 (3) Use colon for every dimension, optionally preceded by a lower bound. This is similar to assumed shape for dummy arguments.

- 1 In every case, the rank of the value would have to be the same as the rank of the named constant.
- 2 In the first case, all extents but the last would have to be the same for the named constant and the
- 3 initialization-expr.
- 4 The syntax choice could have depended on the disposition of the proposal in 04-197 to allow any combi-
- 5 nation of explicit and assumed shape, but that one didn't make the cut at meeting 169. If this proposal
- 6 proceeds, we ought at least to keep in mind that the choice we make ought to leave the possibility of a
- 7 consistent development of the other proposal.
- 8 Allow all named constants not just array ones to get the values of length parameters from the type
- 9 parameters of the *initialization-expr*. The syntax should use an asterisk to indicate that a length parame-
- 10 ter gets its value from the corresponding parameter of the *initialization-expr*. This is the way a character
- 11 named constant gets the value of its length parameter from the initialization-expr. Using a colon to in-
- 12 dicate that a length parameter gets its value from the corresponding parameter of the initialization-expr
- 13 isn't appropriate because this is reserved for entities with the POINTER or ALLOCATABLE attribute.
- 14 For named constants of derived type, one should be able to specify a length parameter of the named
- constant, and the corresponding parameter of the *initialization-expr* would have to have the same value.
- This is the way that length parameters work in intrinsic assignment, which is how named constants get
- 17 their values from their initialization expressions.
- 18 See 01-180 for an example.

9 History