7 April 2006 J3/06-162

Subject: Remove unnecessary I/O restriction

From: Van Snyder

1 1 Introduction

2 According to the first paragraphs after NOTES 9.34 and 9.35 in subclause 9.5.2 [193:5,12], the following

3 program does not conform to the 2003 standard:

```
program P
4
      type :: T
5
6
        integer, allocatable :: I(:)
7
      end type T
      type(t) :: X
8
      allocate (x%i(10))
9
     read *, x%i
10
11
   end program P
```

- 12 The restriction against allocatable components in input/output lists or as namelist group objects is
- 13 unnecessarily too strong. It would be sufficient to require them to be allocated. It isn't necessary to add
- 14 anything to the definition of how a list is expanded into a sequence of effective list items because that
- 15 definition depends upon "component order," which extends across pointer and allocatable components.

16 2 Edits

- 17 Edits refer to 04-007. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a
- 18 page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by associated
- 19 text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that associated text is to be inserted after
- 20 (before) the indicated line. Remarks are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.
- 21 [Editor: At the ends of the first paragraphs after NOTES 9.34 and 9.35 in subclause 9.5.2 Data transfer 193:5,12
- 22 input/output list replace "and shall not be pointers or allocatable" with ", shall not be pointers, and,
- 23 if allocatable, shall be allocated".]
- 24 [Editor: In the second paragraph of 9.5.3.6 Namelist formatting replace "allocatable or a pointer" by 198:28
- "a pointer or a deallocated allocatable variable".

7 April 2006 Page 1 of 1