X3H5 Parallel Extensions for Fortran April 2, 1993

Document Number X3H5/93-SD2-Revision A

Technical Committee X3H5/93-S D 2 -Revision A

for Parallel Computation-Parallel Fortran-Standard

TC X3H5/92-SD2-Revision A

1 2 3	1.0	Introduction       8         1.1 Conceptual Model of Fortran Program Execution       8         1.2 Pseudo Code Form of the Conceptual Model       8	
4	2.0	Standard Compliance	0
5	3.0	Terminology and Basic Concepts 11	1
6	4.0	Control Structures	2
7		4.1 Parallel Region Construct 12	2
8		4.1.1 Syntax for Parallel Regions Construct	2
9		4.1.2 Interpretation 12	2
10		4.2 Work Sharing Constructs 13	3
11		4.2.1 PDO Construct 13	3
12		4.2.1.1 Syntax for the PDO Construct	3
13		4.2.1.2 Coding Rules 13	3
14		4.2.1.3 Interpretation	3
15		4.2.1.4 PARALLEL PDO 14	4
16		4.2.1.4 Parallel PDO Syntax 14	4
17		4.2.1.6 Examples 14	4
18		4.2.2 PSECTION Construct 16	б
19		4.2.2.1 Syntax for the PSECTION Construct	7
20		4.2.2.2 Coding Rules for the PSECTION Construct 17	7
21		4.2.2.1 Interpretation	7
22		4.2.3 PARALLEL PSECTIONS Construct	9
23		4.2.3.1 Syntax 19	9
24		4.2.4 PDONE 19	9
25		4.2.4.1 Explicit Syntax 19	9
26		4.2.4.2 Coding Rules 19	9
27		4.2.4.3 Interpretation	0
28		4.2.4.4 Examples	0
29		<b>4.3 GROUP Construct</b>	0
30		4.3.1 Syntax	0
31		4.3.2 Coding Rules	0
32		4.3.3 Examples 21	1
33		4.4 Single Process Section	2
34		4.4.1 Explicit Syntax	2
35		4.4.2 Explicit Syntax	2
36		4.4.3. Interpretation	2
37		<b>4.5 Inquiry Functions</b>	3
38		4.5.1 Maximum peformance improvement at this time	4
39		4.5.2 Team size	4
40		4.5.3 Looking for work 24	4

1	4.5.4 Blocked processes	24
2	4.5.5 Active processes	
3	5.0 Data Environments	25
4	5.1 Terminology	25
5	5.1.1 The model terminology mapped to Fortran	25
6	5.1.1.1 Object	25
7	5.1.1.2 Read/Modify	25
8	5.1.1.3 Data environment	25
9	5.1.1.4 Private/Shared	
10	5.1.2 Fortran terminology extended for the model:	26
11	5.1.2.1 Scoping Unit	
12	5.1.2.2 Instance of a subprogram	
13	5.1.3 New terminology for the binding	
14	5.1.3.1 Iterative Control Variables	27
15	5.1.3.3 Hidden	
16	5.2 Allowable Parallel Access Attribute	27
17	5.2.1 Definition of Instance Attribute	
18	5.2.1 Instance Statement Syntax	
19	5.3 Private/Shared Attribute	29
20	5.3.1 References through Pointers	30
20	5.4 Basic Mechanics	30
22	5.4.1 Types of Data Environments	30
23	5.4.1.1 Initial Data Environment	30
23 24	5.4.1.2 New Data Environment	31
24 25	5.4.1.2 New Data Environment	31
23 26	5.4.2 Data Environments upon encountering a parallel construct	31
20 27		31
27	5.4.3 Object creation	
28 29	5.4.4 Destroying Objects	
29 30	5.4.5 Exiting parallel constructs	
30 31	5.4.6 Early Departures of Team Members	
32	5.5 Binding Considerations	33
	5.5.1 APA and P/S Attributes with Fortran Scoping Rules	33
33	5.5.2 Data Environments and Lifetime of Fortran Objects	33 34
34 25	5.5.3 New Instances of Objects for Parallel Constructs	54 34
35	5.5.3.1 Syntax	54 34
36	5.5.3.2 Interpretation	
37	5.5.3.3 New Statement	35
38	5.5.3.3.1 NEW Statement Syntax	35
39	5.5.3.4 Iterative Control Variables	35
40	5.5.4 Alternative APA Attributes for Always Shared	35
41	5.5.4 External Data Objects and Multiple Processes	36
42	5.5.5.1 Common and Modules	36
43	5.6 Objects and Synchronization	37

1		5.7	Examples	37
2	6.0	Innut	/Output	47
$\frac{2}{3}$	0.0		Multiple End-of-File Records	
4		0.1	6.1.1 Explicit Syntax	
5		62	Examples	
5		0.2		70
6	7.0	Synch	ronization	50
7		7.1	Explicit Synchronization	50
8			7.1.1 Extensions Shared by Many Synchronization Methods	50
9			7.1.1.1 Representing States	50
10			7.1.1.2 Testing for Uninitialized State	51
11			7.1.1.3 SYNCHRONIZE Statement	51
12			7.1.1.3.1 Proposed X3H5 Extended Syntax Rule	51
13			7.1.1.3.2 Consistency Rules for the SYNCHRONIZE	
14			Statement	52
15			7.1.1.4 Representing Synchronization Operations	52
16			7.1.1.5 Use of Control Types and Assignment	53
17			7.1.2 Limiting Synchronization Overhead	53
18			7.1.2.1 Proposed X3H5 Extended Syntax Rule	53
19			7.1.2.2 GUARDS Attribute	53
20			7.1.3 Critical Sections	54
21			7.1.3.1 Proposed X3H5 Extended Syntax Rule	54
22			7.1.3.2 Consistency Rules for CRITICAL SECTION	54
23			7.1.3.3 Operations on Objects of TYPE (LATCH)	54
24			7.1.3.4 Default Latch	55
25			7.1.4 Locks	55
26			7.1.5 Events	56
27			7.1.6 Sequences	
28		7.2	Explicit Synchronization	56
29			7.2.1 Critical Sections	
30			7.2.1.1 Explicit Syntax	
31			7.2.1.2 Coding Rules	58
32			7.2.1.3 Interpretation	58
33			7.2.1.4 Examples	58
34			7.2.2 Event Synchronization	63
35			7.2.2.1 Explicit Syntax	63
36			7.2.2.2 Coding Rules	63
37			7.2.2.3 Interpretation	63
38			7.2.2.4 Examples	64
39			7.2.2.5 Intrinsic Functions for Events	64
40			7.2.3 Sequences: Ordinal Synchronization	65
41			7.2.3.1 Explicit Syntax	66
42			7.2.3.2 Coding Rules	66

1	7.2.3.3 Interpretation	66
2	7.2.3.4 Examples	67
3	7.2.3.5 Intrinsic Functions for Ordinals	70
4	7.2.4 Unstructured synchronization - Locks	70
5	7.2.4.1 Explicit Syntax	70
6	7.2.4.2 Coding Rules	71
7	7.2.4.3 Interpretation	71
8	•	
9	7.2.4.5 Intrinsic Functions for Locks	
10	8.0 Nondeterministic Programs	75
11	A A V2115 Directive Binding	76
11	A.0 X3H5 Directive Binding	
12		
13 14	A.1.1 Role of the Directive Binding	
		76 77
15	A.1.3 Synchronization and Serial Execution	77
16	A.1.3.2 Scoping at Parallel Constructs and Serial Execution	78
17	Alternate Intrinsic Functions	78 78
18	A.1.4 Terminology	
19	A.1.5 Directives - General Usage Requirements in Parallel Programs A.1.5.1 Continued Directive	78
20		78 78
21	A.1.6 Parallel Intrinsic Functions	/8
22	A.1.6.1 Parallel Intrinsic Behavior for Equivalent Serial	70
23	Execution	79 70
24	A.1.6.2 Functionality Not Supported Under Serial Interpretation	79 70
25	A.2 Syntax Rules	79 70
26	A.2.1 Parallel Do Construct	79 70
27	A.2.1.1 Syntax	79 70
28	A.2.1.2 Coding Rules	79 70
29	A.2.1.3 Examples	79
30	A.2.2 Parallel Sections Construct	80
31	A.2.2.1 Syntax	80
32	A.2.2.2 Interpretation	80
33	A.2.2.3 Examples	81
34	A.2.3 Synchronization Declarations	82
35	A.2.3.1 Syntax	82
36	A.2.3.2 Coding Rules	83
37	A.2.4 Unstructured Locking Synchronization	83
38	A.2.4.1 Syntax $\dots$	83
39 40	A.2.4.2 Examples	83
40	A.2.4.2.1 Function Values for GATEs in Serial	07
41	Execution	85
42	A.2.5 Critical Sections	85

1	A.2.5.1 Syntax	85
2	A.2.5.1 Examples	85
3		88
4	5	88
5	A.2.6.1.1 Function Values for Events in Serial	
6		89
7		89
8	······································	89
9	A.2.7.1.1 Function Values for Counters in Serial	
10		91
11	8	91
12	$\mathcal{C}$	91
13	······································	91
14		92
15	······································	92
16	5	92
17		92
18		94
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	94
20		98
21	5	98
22		99
23	······································	99
24	A.6 Extended Intrinsic 1	
25	A.6.1 Parallel Intrinsic Functions	
26	A.6.2 Definition of Serial Execution Library	.00
27	<b>B.0</b> Syntax Rules (Informative) 1	.02
28	C.0 Lex/Yacc Syntax Rules (Informative) 1	.05

# 1 **1.0 Introduction**

This standard defines parallel language extensions for Fortran. All of the extensions are designed
to feel Fortran-like to the programmer to be consistent with the X3H5 Language Independent
Model for Parallel Computation (X3H5/93-SD1-Revision A).

5 Wherever possible, the X3H5 extensions are described in terms of those entities which are 6 imported via a MODULE (TYPE definitions, FUNCTIONS, and SUBROUTINES). There is no 7 presumption that this is, in fact, how they shall be implemented.

8 Where the gain in functionality is sufficiently meritorious, the extensions are additions to the 9 syntax definition of Fortran. When the X3H5 module is not used, a conformant implementation 10 need not accept these syntax extensions.

# 11 **1.1 Conceptual Model of Fortran Program Execution**

A parallel program written using the ANSI X3H5 Fortran Language (ANSI X3H5 FL), begins execution in the Fortran main program as it would for an ordinary Fortran program. The initial process as defined in the ANSI X3H5 language Independent Model, begins execution of the main program. Execution proceeds as it would for a serial program until a parallel construct is encountered. A parallel construct is defined by PARALLEL and END PARALLEL statements. A worksharing construct is defined by PDO and END PDO or PSECTION and END PSECTION statements.

The following statement combinations define both a parallel construct and a worksharing
 construct: PARALLEL PDO and END PARALLEL PDO; PARALLEL SECTION and END
 PARALLEL SECTION.

Implicit synchronizations occur at: PARALLEL, END PARALLEL, PARALLEL PDO, END
 PARALLEL PDO, PARALLEL SECTIONS and END PARALLEL SECTIONS.

A group construct is defined by PGROUP and END PGROUP statements.

## 25 **1.2 Pseudo Code Form of the Conceptual Model**

The following is a pseudo code skeleton of a parallel program that uses the constructs described herein.

28	program main
29	! only the initial process is active here
30	! serial execution occurs here
31	parallel
32	! each team member performs the same actions
33	pdo i=1,n,1 ! beginning of worksharing construct

1	! iterative work is distributed among team members
2	
3	end pdo ! end of worksharing construct
4	
5	group ! beginning of group construct
6	! replicated code here executed by all team members
7	
8	psection ! beginning of worksharing construct
9	
10	psection
11	
12	end psection ! end of worksharing construct
13	end group ! end of group construct
14	parallel do j=1,m,1 ! nested parallelism
15	
16	end parallel do ! end of nested parallelism
17	end parallel ! end of parallel construct
18	! serial program execution
19	! possibly more parallel constructs
20	
21	end

## 1 **2.0 Standard Compliance**

This standard describes all <u>standard conforming</u> programs. A program is standard conforming if it uses only those forms and relationships described in this standard and if that program has an interpretation according to this standard. A program unit is standard conforming if it can be included in a program in a manner that allows the program to be standard conforming.

6 A standard conforming implementation executes a standard conforming program in a manner that 7 fulfills the interpretations prescribed by this standard. A standard conforming implementation 8 may allow additional forms and relationships provided that such additions do not conflict with 9 the standard forms and relationships. In order to avoid name space pollution, all standard 10 conforming programs must contain a USE X3H5 statement. A standard conforming processor 11 may ignore all X3H5 constructs when USE X3H5 is omitted.

## 1 **3.0 Terminology and Basic Concepts**

The first time a word or phrase with a special or restricted meaning is used in this document, it is boldfaced and defined. An example of this convention is the word, **Fortran**, (any dialect of ISO/IEC 1539:1991 (E) Fortran 90). All definitions are repeated in the glossary.

In describing the form of statements or constructs, or in explaining examples, the following
 metalanguage conventions and symbols are used. These are similar to those defined by Fortran
 90 (S8 Version 118, X3.198-1991 American National Standard Fortran 90, ISO/IEC 1539:1991)
 on pages 3-5.

- 9 1. The courier type font, such as ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOP, are characters from the Fortran 10 character set and are to be written as shown, except as otherwise noted.
- 112.A construct is referenced by capitalizing the first letter of the words that make up12the construct name (e.g., the Parallel Do construct).
- 133.A statement is referenced by capitalizing all of the letters that make up the14statement key words (e.g., the PARALLEL PDO statement).
- 154.Entities written in lower case italics, such as *name*, indicate general entities for16which specific entities must be substituted in actual statements.
- 17Once a given *name* is used in a syntactic specification to represent an entity, all18subsequent occurrences of that *name* represent the same entity, until that *name* is19used in a subsequent syntactic specification to represent a different entity.
- 205.The entity *name-list* indicates a comma separated list of *name*. The entity *name-*21*list* will not be further defined, but *name* will be.
- 22 6. Square brackets (i.e., "[]") are used to indicate optional items.
- 23
  24
  7. Ellipses (i.e., "...") are used to indicate that only an abbreviated form of a statement has been used, and that any form is allowed.
- 8. Blanks are used to improve readability, but unless otherwise noted, have no significance.
- 27 9. The entity *statements* indicates zero or more statements.
- 28 10. The entity *int-exp* represents an integer expression.

References to sections in this document consist of section number and section title (e.g., "2.
 Terminology and Basic Concepts").

#### 1 **4.0 Control Structures**

#### 2 **4.1 Parallel Region Construct**

The Parallel Region construct and associated grouping and worksharing constructs are all block structured constructs. All of the constructs follow the Fortran rules for block structured constructs.

#### 6 4.1.1 Syntax for Parallel Regions Construct

7 A parallel-region-construct is:

8 9 10 11	[name:]	PARALLEL [(paralle. data-sharing- parallel-body END PARALLEL [name]	-spec
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	where	parallel-body is	MAX PARALLEL = int-expr   ORDERED   MAX PARALLEL = int-expr, ORDERED   ORDERED, MAX PARALLEL = int-expr statements   parallel-construct is parallel-region-construct   pdo-construct group-construct group-construct parallel-pdo-construct parallel-psections-construct   single-process-construct
26	Contaturint	• If the newellel as	matruat has a name profine than the

26 Contstraint: If the parallel-construct has a name prefix, then the it must have 27 the same name as a suffix.

#### 28 **4.1.2 Interpretation**

The Parallel Region construct is used to specify parallel execution of a block of code. The process that executes the PARALLEL statement becomes the base process. The processes that enter the Parallel Region construct are those on the team.

If the MAX PARALLEL qualifier is not specified on the PARALLEL statement, then the number
 of processes on this team is limited only by the maximum number of processes available to the
 program. (See the intrinsic function NPSAVL)

36

32

If the MAX PARALLEL qualifier is specified on the PARALLEL statement, then the number
 of processes on this team is limited by the <u>iexp1</u>.

- All code inside a Parallel Region that is not enclosed by a worksharing construct shall be redundantly executed by all of the processes on the team.
- 5 If one or more processes execute a statement that causes a transfer of control out of the block 6 defined by the parallel region, then the program is not standard conforming. Worksharing 7 constructs are used to identify work that is to be spread among all of the processes on the team 8 that encounter the worksharing construct.

# 9 **4.2 Work Sharing Constructs**

10 Worksharing constructs define units of work that shall bedistributed among the team within a parallel region. Work sharing constructs may be coded outside of the lexical scope of a parallel 11 12 region. However, if parallel performance is to be achieved, a worksharing construct should be encountered within a parallel region construct. Inside a worksharing construct, no new 13 14 parallelism shal begin unless a parallel construct is encountered to signal the formation of a new 15 team. Unless it is enclosed in an intervening parallel construct, the innermost of two nested worksharing constructs shall be executed solely by the process that encounters it, even if idle 16 team members are available. 17

## 18 4.2.1 PDO Construct

- 19 PDO is an iterative worksharing construct as described in the LIM.
- 20 **4.2.1.1 Syntax for the PDO Construct**
- 21 [name:] PDO [(parallel-options)] 22 parallel-body 23 END PDO [name]
- 24 **4.2.1.2** Coding Rules

## 25 **4.2.1.3 Interpretation**

If the MAX PARALLEL qualifier is not specified on a PDO or PSECTIONS statement, then the
 number of processes on this team that may enter the worksharing construct is limited only by the
 number of processes on the team. (See the intrinsic function NPSTM (what is the new name for
 NPSTM?))

30

1

4

If the MAX PARALLEL qualifier is specified on PDO or PSECTIONS statement, then the number of processes on this team that may enter the worksharing construct is limited by the <u>iexp2</u>.

34

A Pdo construct may be executed by a single process. A process executes multiple units of 1 2 parallel work from a Pdo construct as specified by the the Language Independent Model for

- 3 Parallel Computation. For example it must:
- 4 1. for each unit of parallel work to be executed: 5 assign the appropriate value to its index variable a.
  - execute the iterative portion b.
  - if EXTEND is specified, execute the statements up to the END .\* 7 c. 8 EXTEND statement
- 9 make all shared objects updated by this process within the Pdo and the group 2. 10 block available to all processes
- 11 3. wait for all processes that participated in executing the Pdo to complete step 2)
- 12 The value of the loop index of a Parallel Do construct is undefined outside the scope of the 13 Parallel Do construct. The value of a loop index contained within a parallel construct is undefined outside the scope of the enclosing parallel construct. The value of the index of an implied DO 14 contained within a parallel construct is undefined outside the scope of the enclosing parallel 15 16 construct.

#### 17 4.2.1.4 PARALLEL PDO

- 18 The PARALLEL PDO construct is a combined parallel construct and worksharing construct and 19 has the same meaning as
- 20 PARALLEL
- 21 PDO

6

22 4.2.1.4 Parallel PDO Syntax

23 The syntax for the PARALLEL PDO is: 24 25 26 27 [name:] PARALLEL PDO iter-specification parallel-option-list data-sharing-spec parallel-body END PARALLEL PDO [name]

#### 28 4.2.1.6 Examples

<u>Example</u>	SUBROUTINE EX48 (A,B,C,N) REAL A(N),B(N),C(N) PARALLEL PDO I=1,N-1 NEW T
	T = A(I)*B(I) C(I+1) = T * (T-1.0) END PARALLEL PDO END

1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<u>Example</u>	SUBROUTINE EX49 (A,B,C,N) REAL A(N),B(N),C(N) PARALLEL NEW T PDO I=1,N-1 T = A(I)*B(I) C(I+1) = T * (T-1.0) END PDO END PARALLEL END
11 12 13 14	1	allel Region equivalent form of the Parallel Do construct shown in and ? compute the same results and exhibit the same amount of
14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 20 31 32 33 435 36 37 38 940	<u>Example 50</u> 10 20	SUBROUTINE EX50 (ZA,ZB,ZC,ZD,N) REAL ZA(N),ZB(N),ZC(N),ZD(N) PARALLEL SECTIONS NEW T SECTION DO 10 I=1,N T = ZFUNC(ZA(I)) ZC(I) = T * T END DO SECTION DO 20 I=1,N T = ZFUNC(ZB(I)-ZA(I)) ZD(I) = T * T END DO END PARALLEL SECTIONS END
$\begin{array}{c} 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 42\\ 43\\ 445\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ \end{array}$	<u>Example 51</u> 10 20	SUBROUTINE EX51 (ZA,ZB,ZC,ZD,N) REAL ZA(N),ZB(N),ZC(N),ZD(N) PARALLEL NEW T PSECTIONS SECTION DO 10 I=1,N T = ZFUNC(ZA(I)) ZC(I) = T * T END DO SECTION NEW T DO 20 I=1,N T = ZFUNC(ZB(I)-ZA(I)) ZD(I) = T * T END DO END PSECTIONS END PARALLEL END
51 52 53	-	allel Region equivalent form of the Parallel Sections construct shown 50 and 51 compute the same results and exhibit the same amount of
54 55 56	Example 52	SUBROUTINE EX52 (A) REAL A(*)

GETLOCK B GUARDS B(SUM) UNLOCK(B) SUM=0.0 PARALLEL NEW SUML SUML = 0.0 GROUP	
PDO I=1,N	
SUML = SUML + A(I)	
END PDO	
CRITICAL SECTION (B)	
SUM = SUM + SUML	
END CRITICAL SECTION	(B)
END GROUP	
END PARALLEL	
END	

Example 52 shows a typical method for computing a reduction on a machine with a relatively small number of processes. All of the processes initialize their new copy of SUML to zero, then sum up the elements of A that correspond to the iterations assigned to each process, then, without waiting for the other processes on the team, update the global SUM from their local sum (SUML). All of the processes on the team wait at the END GROUP statement before continuing.

Example 53 shows a typical method for reducing fork/join overhead by placing two adjacent parallel loops inside a single Parallel Region. Because GROUP is not coded, the team members wait at the end of the first Pdo construct for all of the work to be complete, and then begin working on the second Pdo construct.

Example 54	SUBROUTINE EX54 $(A,C,N,M)$
	REAL $A(N,0:M),C(N,M)$
	PARALLEL
	DO 10 J=1,M
	PDO I=1,N
	A(I,J) = C(I,J)/A(I,J-1)
	END PDO
10	END DO
	END PARALLEL
	END

51 Example 54 shows a typical method for greatly reducing fork/join overhead by floating the 52 Parallel Region outside of a serial loop.

#### 1 4.2.2 **PSECTION Construct**

- 2 Psection is a non-iterative worksharing construct as described in the LIM.
- 3 4.2.2.1 Syntax for the PSECTION Construct
- 4 [name:] PSECTION 5 sections 6 END PSECTIONS [name]
- 7 where

16

19

20 21

22

23

26 27

35

8	sections is [sections section]
9	section is SECTION [name] [WAIT (name-list)]
10	parallel-region
11	4.2.2.2 Coding Rules for the PSECTION Construct

12 The <u>Parallel Sections</u> construct is a block structured construct. The SECTION statements mark 13 the beginning of each block. The end of each block is delimited by either another SECTION 14 statement or the END PARALLEL SECTIONS statement. The Parallel Sections construct follows 15 all of the rules of Fortran block structured constructs.

- The identifier used for a *section-name* is a seventh class of local names in the sense of Fortran
  page 18-2. This means that
  - A section-name must be unique within a program unit (ISO/IEC 1539:1991 Section 2.2)

*Section-names* share the single name space already shared by array, variable, constant, statement function, intrinsic function, and dummy procedure names

- In a standard conforming program the WAIT clause shall only reference the *section-name* of a lexically preceding SECTION statement of the same Parallel Sections construct.
- 28 4.2.2.1 Interpretation

29 The Parallel Sections construct is used to specify parallel execution of the identified sections of 30 code. Each section of code identified in a Parallel Sections construct is interpreted as a unit of 31 work.

In a standard conforming program the sections of code shall be data independent, except whereappropriate synchronization mechanisms are used.

36 A *section-name* is a label with no programmer-visible storage association.

- 1 A Psections construct may be executed by one or more processes. A process executes multiple 2 units of parallel work from a Psections construct by performing this sequence:
- for each unit of parallel work to be executed: 3 1. if a WAIT clause is coded for this section, then wait until the sections 4 a. 5 indicated by the WAIT clause have completed execution execute the corresponding section of code 6 b. 7 if the EXTEND qualifier is specified, execute the statements up to the END 2. **EXTEND** statement 8 9 make all shared objects updated by this process within the Psections construct 3. available to all processes 10 wait for all processes that participated in executing the Psections construct to 11 4. 12 arrive at step 2) 13 If the MAX PARALLEL qualifier is not specified on a PDO or PSECTIONS statement, then the 14 number of processes on this team that may enter the worksharing construct is limited only by the number of processes on the team. (See the intrinsic function NPSTM (what is the new name for 15 NPSTM?)) 16 17
- 18 If the MAX PARALLEL qualifier is specified on PDO or PSECTIONS statement, then the 19 number of processes on this team that may enter the worksharing construct is limited by the 20 <u>iexp2</u>.
- 21 If one or more processes executes a statement that causes a transfer of control out of the blocks 22 defined by the Parallel Sections construct, then the program is not standard conforming. <Do we 23 need our CYCLE and EXIT words here?>
- The WAIT clause specifies a partial ordering among the sections of code. All sections whose names are listed as *section-names* in the WAIT clause of a section must complete before that section can begin. The WAIT clause does not require use of the ORDERED qualifier.
- The GUARDS clause shall only be specified on the SECTION statement if the WAIT clause is specified. The GUARDS clause explicitly identifies the names of objects that shall be made consistent for the process executing the waiting section.
- The GUARDS clause explicitly identifies the objects that must be made consistent and removes a requirement for an implementation to make any other objects consistent at the point it is specified.
- 36

24

28

32

- 1 If the ORDERED qualifier is not specified, then, except for the partial ordering specified by 2 WAIT clauses, the sections of code must be execution order independent. The implementation 3 may assign the processes to sections of code in any order allowed by the partial ordering 4 specified by the WAIT clauses.
- 5

6 If the ORDERED qualifier is specified, then synchronization mechanisms may be used that 7 require some portion of an earlier (in lexical order) section to complete execution before some 8 portion of a later section begins execution. While use of the ORDERED qualifier in a Parallel 9 Sections construct that does not contain synchronization is standard conforming, it may incur a 10 performance penalty on some implementations.

11

12 If the MAX PARALLEL qualifier is not specified, then the number of processes on this team is limited only by the number of Sections defined or the maximum number of processes available 13 to the program. If the MAX PARALLEL qualifier is specified, then the number of processes on 14 this team must be greater than zero and less than or equal to int-exp. Any lexically contained 15 do loop index variables are treated as newly scoped objects for the parallel section. They inherit 16 the same type as the objects of the same name outside of the parallel section. They have the 17 automatic storage class and have no storage associations thru equivalence classes or common 18 blocks. 19

20 There is an implicit synchronization at the end of a Parallel Sections construct.

# 21 4.2.3 PARALLEL PSECTIONS Construct

- The PARALLEL PSECTIONS construct is a combination of the PARALLEL and PSECTIONS
   constructs.
- 24 **4.2.3.1 Syntax**

25	[name:]	PARALLEL PSECTIONS [parallel-options]	
26	data-sharing-spec		
27 sections		sections	
28		END PARALLEL PSECTIONS [name]	

# 29 **4.2.4 PDONE**

- 30 The PDONE statement shall be used to indicate early completion of work
- 31 within a worksharing construct.
- 32 **4.2.4.1 Explicit Syntax**
- 33 PDONE
- 34 **4.2.4.2 Coding Rules**

- 1 The PDONE statement is an executable statement.
- 2 The PDONE statement shall occur lexically nested within a worksharing3 construct.

## 4 4.2.4.3 Interpretation

- 5 Coded directly inside of a worksharing construct, the PDONE statement
- 6 is used to indicate that no more units of work need to be distributed.
- 7 Any units of work that have been distributed shall be completed.
- 8 A standard conforming implementation may complete all of the work
- 9 specified by the worksharing construct even though a PDONE statement
- 10 is encountered.

## 11 **4.2.4.4 Examples**

12	Subroutine EX58(x,y)
13	Double precision $x(100), y(100)$
14	parallel do i=1,100
15	if $(y(i) .eq. 0.0D0)$ then
16	print*,i
17	pdone
18	cycle
19	endif
20	x(i)=1.0/y(i)
21	end parallel do
22	return
23	end

- In example 58, a process that finds a 0 in Y will print the index and
- 25 indicate that no more iterations need to be done. The other processes
- 26 will complete execution of any iterations the have begun. The CYCLE
- 27 statement must be specified if the iteration setting PDONE is to skip
- 28 the rest of its current iteration.

# 29**4.3 GROUP Construct**

The Group construct is a grouping construct. By default there is a barrier at the end of the Group construct. The barrier is removed by coding the NOWAIT option for the Group construct.

## 32 **4.3.1 Syntax**

33	[name:]	GROUP [(group-option)]
34		parallel-body
35		END GROUP [name]

where

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

group-option is NOWAIT

## 4.3.2 Coding Rules

The Pdo, Psections, and Group constructs may be coded outside of the lexical scope of a parallel region. In addition, PDO and PSECTION may be coded outside of the lexical scope of an associated Group.

## 4.3.3 Examples

Example 52	SUBROUTINE EX52 (A)
	REAL A(*)
	GETLOCK B
	GUARDS B(SUM)
	UNLOCK (B)
	SUM=0.0
	PARALLEL
	NEW SUML
	SUML = 0.0
	GROUP
	PDO I=1,N
	SUML = SUML + A(I)
	END PDO
	CRITICAL SECTION (B)
	SUM = SUM + SUML
	END CRITICAL SECTION (B)
	END GROUP
	END PARALLEL
	END

Example 52 shows a typical method for computing a reduction on a machine with a relatively small number of processes. All of the processes initialize their new copy of SUML to zero, then sum up the elements of A that correspond to the iterations assigned to each process, then, without waiting for the other processes on the team, update the global SUM from their local sum (SUML). All of the processes on the team wait at the END GROUP statement before continuing.

<u>Example 53</u>	SUBROUTINE EX53 (A,B,C,D,N,M) REAL A(N),B(N),C(N),D(N) PARALLEL
	PDO I=1,N
	A(I) = B(I) * C(I)
	END PDO
	PDO I=1,M
	D(I) = A(I) - C(I)
	END PDO
	END PARALLEL
	END

Example 53 shows a typical method for reducing fork/join overhead by placing two adjacent parallel loops inside a single Parallel Region. Because GROUP is not coded, the team members wait at the end of the first Pdo construct for all of the work to be complete, and then begin working on the second Pdo construct.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	
13 14	Example 54 shows a typical method for greatly reducing fork/join overhead by floating the Parallel Region outside of a serial loop.
15	4.4 Single Process Section
16 17 18 19	When executing inside a Parallel Region construct, it is often convenient to use a single process to update objects that are shared among the team. The Single Process construct is a worksharing construct with exactly one unit of work.
20	4.4.1 Explicit Syntax
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Statement Forms SINGLE PROCESS END SINGLE PROCESS SINGLE PROCESS statements END SINGLE PROCESS
30	4.4.2 Explicit Syntax
31	The Single Process construct follows all of the rules of Fortran block structured constructs.
32	4.4.3. Interpretation
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	A block of code surrounded by a Single Process construct is executed by exactly one process of a team per encounter. $\underbrace{Example 55}_{REAL A(N),B(N)} \\ PARALLEL \\ PDO I=1,N \\ A(I) = 1.0 / A(I) \\ END PDO \\ SINGLE PROCESS \\ IF (A(1) .GT. 1.0) A(1) = 1.0 \\ END SINGLE PROCESS \\ \end{aligned}$

Example 55	SUBROUTINE EX55 (A,B,N) REAL A(N),B(N)
	PARALLEL
	PDO I=1,N
	A(I) = 1.0 / A(I)
	END PDO
	SINGLE PROCESS
	IF ( $A(1)$ .GT. 1.0 ) $A(1) = 1.0$
	END SINGLE PROCESS

PDO I=1,N B(I) = B(I) / A(1) END PDO END PARALLEL END
SUBROUTINE EX56 (A,B,N) REAL A(N),B(N) PARALLEL PDO I=1,N A(I) = 1.0 / A(I) END PDO PSECTIONS SECTION IF ( A(1) .GT. 1.0 ) A(1) = 1.0 END PSECTIONS PDO I=1,N B(I) = B(I) / A(1) END PDO END PARALLEL END

Example 56 illustrates the equivalence between a worksharing construct with a single unit of work and a Single Process construct demonstrated in Example 55. Examples 55 and 56 produce the same results and exhibit the same degree of parallelism.

```
Example 57
                  SUBROUTINE EX57 (A, AMAX, N)
                  REAL A(0:N)
                  AMAX = 0.0
                  PARALLEL
                    NEW ALMAX
                    BEGIN GROUP
                    PDO I=1,N
                       IF (ABS(A(I)) .GT. ABS(ALMAX)) ALMAX = A(I)
                    END PDO
                       CRITICAL SECTION
                         IF ( ABS(ALMAX) .GT. ABS(AMAX) ) AMAX = ALMAX
                       END CRITICAL SECTION
                    END GROUP
                    SINGLE PROCESS
                       ALMAX = A(1) + A(N)
                       IF ( AMAX .LT. ALMAX ) AMAX = 1.0 + AMAX
                    END SINGLE PROCESS
                    PDO I=1,N
                       A(I) = ABS(A(I) / AMAX)
                    END PDO
                  END PARALLEL
                  END
```

In Example 57, after the maximum absolute value of an array is computed by the first Pdo construct, a single process performs some manipulation of the maximum value prior to its use in the final Pdo construct. Because AMAX is a shared variable being updated within a Parallel Region construct, but outside of a worksharing construct, some synchronization mechanism must be employed to ensure that only one process performs the update.

## 1 **4.5 Inquiry Functions**

2 The following intrinsic functions shall be provided:

## 3 **4.5.1** Maximum peformance improvement at this time

### 4 DOUBLE PRECISION FUNCTION PERFMAX()

- 5 Returns an implementation dependent run-time measurement that
- 6 indicates the maximum improvement in performance the program could
- 7 reasonabley expect to achieve as described in the ANSI X3H5 LIM.
- 8 **4.5.2 Team size**
- 9 INTEGER FUNCTION NPTEAM()
- 10 Returns the number of processes (active and blocked) on the team for
- 11 the current parallel construct.
- 12 **4.5.3 Looking for work**
- 13 INTEGER FUNCTION NPLOOK()
- Returns the number of processes that are currently looking for work asdefined in the ANSI X3H5 LIM.
- 16 **4.5.4 Blocked processes**
- 17 INTEGER FUNCTION NPBLOCK()
- 18 Returns the number of processes that are currently blocked as
- 19 defined in the ANSI X3H5 LIM.
- 20 **4.5.5** Active processes
- 21 INTEGER FUNCTION NPACTIVE()
- Returns the number of processes that are currently active as defined in the ANSI X3H5 LIM.

#### 1 **5.0 Data Environments**

2 This section describes the *data environments* of *processes* in a parallel *Fortran 90* program.

#### 3 5.1 Terminology

#### 4 **5.1.1** The model terminology mapped to Fortran

#### 5 **5.1.1.1 Object**

- 6 An *object* as described by the *the model* is a Fortran data  $object^{1}$  (constant, variable or subobject), or a Fortran common block<sup>2</sup>.
- 8 *Composite objects* are variables that are *Fortran arrays* and *Fortran structures* (or derived data 9 types) ; and *Fortran common blocks*.

### 10 **5.1.1.2 Read/Modify**

- 11 An *object* or a *subobject of the object* is *read* as described by the *the model* when it is 12 *referenced*<sup>3</sup> as described by *Fortran 90*.
- 13 An *object* or a *subobject of the object* is *modified* as described by *the model* when it is used in 14 a way that causes it to *become defined* as described by Fortran 90<sup>4</sup>. A *Fortran constant* cannot 15 be modified<sup>5</sup>.

### 16 5.1.1.3 Data environment

25 <sup>5</sup>Fortran constant Section 6, page 61, line 37, 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> <sup>1</sup>Fortran data object Section 2.4.3.1, page 13, line 39 of 18 Fortran 90. A Fortran structure is a variable. Fortran structure 19 Section 5.1.1.7, page 43, line 24 of Fortran 90.

<sup>20 &</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Fortran common block Section 5.5.2, page 58, line 18 of 21 Fortran 90.

<sup>22 &</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>referenced Section 2.5.5, lines 20-26; and Section 6, page 61 23 lines 3,4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>defines Section 14.7.5, page 250, lines 4-10.

1 A *data environment* as described by the *the model* is a collection of *objects* as defined in 2 section 5.1.1.1. (*Data enviroment* as used in this document is distiguished from *data environment* 3 as used in Fortran 90<sup>6</sup> by the inclusion of common blocks.)

# 4 **5.1.1.4 Private/Shared**

- 5 An object that has a P/S attribute of *private* for a parallel construct shall be part of only one team 6 member's *data environment*. (Note that *Fortran 90* uses the adjective private for access attributes 7 also. This is distinct from P/S attributes. )
- 8 An object that has a P/S attribute of *shared* for a parallel construct shall be part of all team 9 members' *data environments* for that parallel construct.
- 10 **5.1.2 Fortran terminology extended for the model:**
- 11 **5.1.2.1** Scoping Unit
- A scoping unit in the binding is a Fortran scoping unit<sup>7</sup> augmented to include a parallel
   *construct*.
- 14 **5.1.2.2 Instance of a subprogram**
- An instance of a subprogram is restricted to a single process as defined in section ??? of *model* document. The application of this statement modifies the *Fortran 90* definition in the following
   way: :h5.
- 18 (NOTE ??? was to be added to model document as of 3/93 meeting, but haven't seen latest
   19 copy to get correct reference.)

An instance of a subprogram in the binding is defined with respect to a *process*. When a function or subroutine defined by a subprogram is invoked, an instance of that subprogram is created for the invoking process. Multiple instances of a subprogram may be active concurrently. A process's instance of a subprogram is independent of all other processes' instances of the subprogram.

- Each instance has an independent sequence of execution and an independent set of dummy arguments and local nonsaved data objects. If an internal procedure or statement function contained in the subprogram is invoked directly from an instance of the subprogram or from an internal procedure or statement function that has access to the entities of that instance, the created
- 29 <sup>6</sup>Section 2.4, Data Concepts, page 13, line 2.

30 <sup>7</sup>Section 2.2, page 9, lines 44-49 and Section 14, page 241, 31 lines 3,4.

- instance of the internal procedure or statement function also has access to the entities of thatinstance of the host subprogram.
- All other data entities are shared by all instances of the subprogram within a process. For
   example, the value of a saved data object appearing in one instance may have been defined in
   a previous instance within the process or by initialization in a DATA statement or type
   declaration statement.<sup>8</sup>
- The definition of the save attribute is restricted to a single process as defined in section ??? of *model* document. The application of this statement modifies the *Fortran 90* definition in the
  following way: (NOTE ??? was to be added to model document as of 3/93 meeting, but haven't
  seen latest copy to get correct reference.)
- 11 *Objects declared with the SAVE attribute in the scoping unit of a subprogram are shared by all* 12 *instances* **in a process** *of the subprogram.*<sup>9</sup>
- Items that receive the SAVE attribute implicitly shall be shared by all instances in a process of
   the subprogram.<sup>10</sup>
- 15 **5.1.3** New terminology for the binding
- 16 **5.1.3.1 Iterative Control Variables**
- 17 Iterative control variables are defined to include *do-variables*, used in *loop control*<sup>11</sup>, *implied* 18 *do control*<sup>12</sup>, and parallel loop control.<sup>13</sup>
- 19 **5.1.3.3 Hidden**

Hidden in this binding is used to clarify that a *private access attribute* is being referenced rather than a *private P/S attribute*.

22	<sup>8</sup> Section 12.5.2.4, Instances of a 5.1.2.3 Save Attribute.
23	<sup>9</sup> Section 5.1.2.5, SAVE attribute, page 47, lines 37-38.
24 25	<sup>10</sup> Section 5.1, page 41, lines 9-12. Section 5.2.9, page 52, lines 1-3.
26	<sup>11</sup> Section 8.1.4.1.1, page 100, line 37.
27 28	<sup>12</sup> Section 9.4.2 (Data transfer input/output list), page 123, line 27.
29	<sup>13</sup> Section 4.5 (Construction of array values), page 37, line 40.

## 1 **5.2 Allowable Parallel Access Attribute**

All Fortran *objects*, except *common* and *objects in common*, have an *APA attribute* of *default private, explicitly shared*. *Objects* that are declared *default private* may be *explicitly shared* for a parallel construct if they are *host associated*<sup>14</sup> with a *scoping unit*<sup>15</sup> containing the parallel construct.

- 6 Common blocks and the objects contained in the common block have the same APA attribute.
- 7 *Modules* and the *objects* defined by the *module* have the same *APA attribute*.

8 The *APA attribute* of a common block or module is defined by the *instance attribute* specified 9 in a Fortran program. If the *instance attribute* is *single* then the common block or module has 10 an APA attribute of *always shared*. Neither *common blocks* nor the *objects* contained in the 11 *common blocks* shall be made *private*. Similarly, neither *modules* nor the *objects* contained in the 12 *module* shall be made *private*.

13 If the *instance attribute* is *parallel* then the common block or module has an APA attribute of 14 *default private, explicitly shared. Objects* that are declared *default private* may be *explicitly* 15 *shared* for a parallel construct if they are *host associated*<sup>16</sup> with a *scoping unit*<sup>17</sup> containing 16 the parallel construct.

17 Objects declared within program units declared in modules follow the same rules as other 18 program units.

## 19 **5.2.1 Definition of Instance Attribute**

An instance attribute for global data objects is defined. The instance attribute specifies whether there shall be a single instance of the global object for the entire parallel program or if there may be multiple parallel instances of the global object.

- An instance attribute may only be specified for the following global entities: common blocks
   module program units.
- The instance attribute shall be the same for all references to the global object throughout the program.

27	<sup>14</sup> Section	12.1.2.2.1, page 163, 164, lines 33-39, 1-33.
28	<sup>15</sup> Section	2.2, page 9, line 45-49.
29	<sup>16</sup> Section	12.1.2.2.1, page 163, 164, lines 33-39, 1-33.
30	<sup>17</sup> Section	2.2, page 9, lines 45-49.

- 1 All objects specified in a module program unit shall have the same instance attribute.
- 2 The default instance attribute for COMMON blocks shall be single.
- 3 Blank common shall only have an instance attribute of single.
- 4 The default instance attribute for modules shall be single.
- 5 A global object with an instance attribute of single shall have an APA attriute of "always shared".
- A global object with an instance attribute of parallel shall have an APA attribue of "default
   private, explicitly shared".
- 8 A common block with a parallel instance attribute may have the save attribute. If it has the save 9 attribut, it shall have the same lifetime as its data environment.
- A common block with the parallel instance attribute may be initialized by a block data program.
  This shall occur once per process.
- 12 **5.2.1.1 Instance Statement Syntax**
- 13 INSTANCE (single or parallel)
- 14
- 15 INSTANCE ( single or parallel) list\_of\_common\_block\_names
- 16

or

- 17 INSTANCE ( single or parallel) module\_name
- 18 An instance statement shall appear in the specification statements of a program unit.
- 19 If an INSTANCE statement occurs in a program unit without any names specified, then it shall 20 define the instance attribute for all global objects in that program unit.
- If an INSTANCE statement occurs in a module program unit, it shall specify only the name of
   the containing module program unit.
- 23 If an INSTANCE statement occurs in a main, subroutine or function, or block data program unit,
- it shall specify only names of common blocks defined within the program unit.
- 25 **5.3 Private/Shared Attribute**
- When a parallel construct is encountered all *objects* that are *read or modified* within it shall have their *P/S attribute* determined as follows:

- All iterative control variables contained within the parallel construct shall have a *P/S attribute* of *private* with respect to the parallel construct.
- All *objects* that are *host associated* with a containing *scoping unit* shall have a *P/S attribute* of *shared* with respect to the parallel construct.
- All common blocks and objects contained in common blocks shall have a *P/S attribute* of
   *shared* with respect to the parallel construct.
- All *objects* that are declared within the *scope* of the parallel construct shall have a *P/S attribute* of *private* with respect to the parallel construct.
- 9 All other *objects* shall have a *P/S attribute* of *private* with respect to the parallel construct.
- 10 All Fortran 90 subobjects of an **object** shall have the same **P/S** attribute as their containing 11 object.

# 12 **5.3.1 References through Pointers**

13 The P/S attribute of a pointer object will be used to determine synchronization requirements when

- the value of the pointer is referenced or modified. (Examples of modification include allocate,deallocate, and pointer assignment.)
- 16 The P/S attribute of the target of a pointer shall be used to determine synchronization requirments 17 when the value of the target is referenced or modified thru the pointer in addition to the pointer's 18 synchronization requirements in determining the validity of the address.
- A program shall not *assign* the value of a *private pointer* to a *shared pointer* if the *target of the pointer* is *private* and if the *target of the pointer* may be *inaccessible* when *referenced* with the *shared pointer*.
- These rules are given as interpretations of the statement in the model document, Section 5.4 Basic Mechanics - paragraph discussion early departure of team members: "A team member shall not read or modify an object which is private to another member of the team."

## 25 **5.4 Basic Mechanics**

All *objects* in a parallel Fortran program shall be part of a *data environment*.

# 27 **5.4.1 Types of Data Environments**

## 28 **5.4.1.1 Initial Data Environment**

The *initial data environment* for a parallel Fortran program shall begin with a *new data environment*. In addition, the *initial data environment* contains all *common blocks and modules*  for the Fortran program. During program execution, the *initial data environment* may contain additional *objects* that come into *scope* during program execution. *Objects* that come into *scope* during execution of parallel constructs shall not be part of the *initial data environment* unless the initial process is participating in the execution of the parallel construct as a base process and it encounters the scoping unit.

## 6 5.4.1.2 New Data Environment

A new data environment shall consist of objects with the save attribute (also referred to in
Fortran 90 as saved objects).<sup>18</sup> The objects that are initially defined<sup>19</sup> as described in Fortran
90 shall have their initial values defined.

10 **5.4.1.3 Looking for Work Data Environment** 

11 A *looking for work data environment* shall consist of *objects* with the *saved attribute* with the 12 appropriate *association status, allocation status, definition status and value*<sup>20</sup> maintained from 13 earlier participation in the execution of a parallel construct.

### 14 **5.4.2** Data Environments upon encountering a parallel construct

- 15 When a parallel construct is encountered, the *objects* that are *read* or *modified* within it shall 16 have their *P/S attributes* determined as specified in section 5.3 Private/Shared Attribute.
- 17 If the *object* is *private* or *not available* it shall not be part of the *data environment* of any 18 member of the new team formed to execute the parallel construct.

19 If an *object* is classified as *shared* but another **instance of the object** is declared lexically within 20 the parallel construct, then new *private* instances of the *object* shall be used by all team members. 21 The base process shall not use the *shared* instance of the *object* if it participates in the execution 22 of the parallel construct. (A *shared object* shall not be made *private*.)

- Only *objects* that are in *scope* at the time the parallel construct is *encountered* shall be *shared* for the parallel construct.
- All other objects shall only be shared for a parallel construct if they are accessible and visible at the parallel construct.
- 27 <sup>18</sup>Section 5.1.2.5, SAVE attribute, page 47, lines 31-33.
- 28 <sup>19</sup>Section 14.7.3, Variables that are initially defined, page 29 249, lines 35-39.
- 30 <sup>20</sup>Section 5.1.2.5, SAVE Attribute, page 47, lines 31-33.

## 1 **5.4.3** Object creation

- *Objects* may be created when *program units* or scoping units are entered or when the *objects* are explicitly *allocated*.
- 4 When an *object* is created it is added to the *data environment* of the creating process. (Note that
- 5 *Fortran 90* initialized *data objects* have the *save attribute* implied.<sup>21</sup> Since all *saved objects* are 6 part of a *new data environment*, all initialization of *data objects* has occurred.)
- 7 All *objects* shall have a *P/S attribute* determined when a parallel construct is encountered.
- 8 *Objects* with the *allocatable attribute* may be allocated prior to *encountering* a parallel construct 9 for which their *P/S attribute* will be *shared*. If an *allocatable object* is *shared* for a parallel 10 construct and is to be allocated during the execution of a parallel construct, the program shall
- 11 ensure the allocation is done with appropriate *synchronization*.

# 12 **5.4.4 Destroying Objects**

- 13 *Objects* are *destroyed* as follows:
- *Data objects* without the *saved attribute* are destroyed when they exit the scoping unit for
   which they were created.
- *Data objects* with the *saved attribute* are destroyed when the *data environment* which they
   belong to is *destroyed*.
- 18 Allocatable objects are destroyed when they are deallocated.<sup>22</sup>
- 19 Some *allocated* objects are destroyed when their scope is exited.<sup>23</sup>

## 20 **5.4.5 Exiting parallel constructs**

All *objects* without the *saved attribute* that were created for a **scoping unit** are destroyed upon exiting the **scoping unit**. If the **scoping unit** is contained within the parallel construct, then these **objects** shall not exist in the *data environments* of the processes exiting the parallel construct.

## All objects without the saved attribute that were created for the scoping unit that is the parallel constructs are destroyed.

26 <sup>21</sup>Section 5.2.9, page 52, lines 1-3.

### 29 <sup>23</sup>Section 6.3.3.1, Deallocation of allocatable arrays, page 69, 30 lines 2-15.

<sup>27 &</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Section 6.3.3.1, Deallocation of allocatable arrays, page 69, 28 lines 2-15.

An implementation may destroy objects with the saved attribute in a data environment only if all objects:ehp3 with the saved attribute for that data environment are destroyed. (If an object with a P/S attribute of private whose lifetime is longer than that of this parallel construct is destroyed, then all such objects shall be destroyed.)

5 5.4.6 Early Departures of Team Members

#### 6 **5.5 Binding Considerations**

7 5.5.1 APA and P/S Attributes with Fortran Scoping Rules

8 Fortran 90 defines the following scopes for names: global entities, local entities, statement 9 entities.<sup>24</sup> The binding provides the following APA attributes for these scopes of named 10 entities:

- 11 global entities
- 12 always shared
- 13 default private, explicitly shared
- 14 local entities
- 15 default private, explicitly shared
- 16 statement entities
- 17 default private, explicitly shared
- 18 **The binding** does not provide an option for the *APA attributes* of *always private*.<sup>25</sup>
- The binding does not provide an option for the APA attributes of default shared, explicitly
   private.<sup>26</sup>

#### 21 **5.5.2** Data Environments and Lifetime of Fortran Objects

22

<sup>24</sup>Section 14, Scope, association and definition., page 241.

31 <sup>26</sup>Rationale - In order to restrict the "accidental sharing" of 32 **objects** among parallel constructs. Programs shall explicitly 33 identify **objects** to be shared at parallel constructs or shall 34 explicitly identify **objects** to be always shared.

<sup>23</sup> <sup>25</sup> Rationale - In order to facilitate the use of nested 24 parallel constructs at any point in the parallel program. An 25 implementation may map some **objects** to **process private** storage when those objects cannot be read or modified by other processes in a 26 27 standard-conforming program. (Note: Statement entities will appear 28 to be **always private** because in current binding there are no 29 parallel constructs within a statement for which they could be 30 explicitly shared.)

- All *entities* that are *associated* shall have the same *P/S attributes* for a given parallel construct.
   Association may be by *name, argument, use, pointer or storage.*<sup>27</sup>
- Lifetime of an *object* is tied to the lifetime of the *data environment* it belongs to. An *object* shall
  not exist before or after the *data environment* it belongs to.
- 5 Saved objects shall exist for the lifetime of a *data environment*. Saved objects shall only be 6 *accessible* by a process if the *saved object* is in *scope*.
- 7 *Objects* without the *saved attribute* may exist only when they are in *scope*. *Objects* without the
   8 *saved attribute* shall only be *accessed* when they are in *scope*.
- 9 An *allocatable object* shall only be *accessed* when its status is *allocated*.
- 10 An object with the *private (hidden) access* attribute within a given scope shall not be *accessible*.

# 11 5.5.3 New Instances of Objects for Parallel Constructs

- *Objects* declared within the scope of a parallel construct shall have a *P/S attribute of private* for
   that parallel construct.
- 14 The binding allows the following specifications within a parallel constructs:

## 15 **5.5.3.1 Syntax**

16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	data-sharing-spec	<pre>is new-stmt   use-stmt   type-declaration-stmt specification-stmt parameter-stmt format-stmt pointer-stmt [data-sharing-spec]</pre>
24	new-stmt is NEW va	ariable-list

25 Constraint: specification-stmt shall not contain an access-stmt, common-stmt, 26 data-stmt, optional-stmt, equivalence-stmt, derived-type-stmt, or save-stmt.

27 **5.5.3.2 Interpretation** 

The binding allows *objects* with the following *attributes* to be declared lexically within the scope of a parallel construct:

30 *- type* 

31

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Section 14.6, Association, page 245-247.

- 1 dimension
- 2 allocatable
- 3 pointer
- 4 target

5 The following *objects* shall not be allowed to be specified lexically within the **scope** of a parallel construct:

- the declaration of an assumed size array, dummy argument common block, function or
   function entry point
- 9 character type with an assumed length
- 10 equivalence associated with any object that is shared for this parallel construct
- 11 have the saved attribute
- 12 be data initialized
- 13 The dimensionality of adjustable arrays inherited is that defined at the procedure entry for the 14 corresponding adjustable array declarator.

## 15 **5.5.3.3** New Statement

16 The NEW statement is defined to allow new instances of common blocks and modules with the 17 parallel instance attribute to be created within a parallel construct.

- 18 **5.5.3.3.1 NEW Statement Syntax**
- 19 NEW *external\_name\_list*
- 20 where *external\_name\_list* /<common\_name >/ or <module\_name>

Constraint: only common block names and module names that have the parallel instance attribute
 shall be specified on the NEW statement. A common block or module with an instance attribute
 of single shall not be specified on the NEW statement.

## 24 **5.5.3.4 Iterative Control Variables**

All **iterative control variables** defined by and within the parallel construct shall have a *P/S attribute* of *private* for the parallel construct and shall be exist only for the *scope* of the parallel construct. This shall occur even if the **iterative control variables** are not declared within the scope of the parallel construct. The *values* of the **iterative control variables** shall be *undefined* upon exit from the parallel construct. Only the *type attributes* of the **iterative control variables** shall apply within the **scope of a parallel construct**.

## 31 5.5.4 Alternative APA Attributes for Always Shared

*Common blocks and the objects in common blocks* that have an instance attribute of single shall
 have a *P/S attribute* of *shared* for all parallel constructs. *Modules and the objects in modules* that

3 have an instance attribute of single shall have a *P/S attribute* of *shared* for all parallel constructs.

# 4 5.5.4 External Data Objects and Multiple Processes

5 Fortran 90 global named entities allow objects to be shared across scoping units. The binding

- 6 provides the instance attribute as a mechanism of providing *global*; *default private, explicitly* 7 *shared objects*.
- 8 Additional rules with respect to new language features:

# 9 5.5.5.1 Common and Modules

10 A common block or module shall have a storage sequence whenever such a storage sequence 11 would be required by *Fortran 90* for a common block regardless of its instance attribute.

Within a process, all program units access the same named common block and modules. The instance attribute of parallel provides a means of associating entities in different program units among a team of processes. It allows different teams of processes to have different storage associations for common blocks and modules There may be multiple common blocks or modules of the same name if they have the parallel instance attribute specified in a parallel program.)

- 17 When a parallel construct is encountered, three possibilities exist for common blocks and 18 modules:
- shared the common or module is lexically visible in the scoping unit containing the parallel
   construct and has an instance attribute of single or parallel.
- All team members that participate in the execution of the parallel construct share access to the same common block/module that is lexically visible. Any modifications to that common block or module by any team member are retained and accessible after the parallel construct is exited.
- explicitly private the common or module is specified on the NEW statement within the
   parallel construct and has an instance attribute of parallel
- All team members that participate in the execution of the parallel construct access their own distinct storage sequence for the common block or module. The storage sequences for the common block or modules are not accessible outside of the scoping unit of the parallel construct.
- implicitly private the common or module is not lexically visible in the scoping unit
   containing the parallel construct and is not specified within the parallel construct and has an
   instance attribute of parallel.

If the common block or module is referenced by a process executing the parallel construct, then 1 2 the process references its private copy of the common block or module.

#### 5.6 Objects and Synchronization 3

- Between synchronization points, *objects* shall be *read* and *modified* as follows: 4
- 5 - read
- 6 An **object** is **read** if it is referenced as described by Fortran  $90^{28}$
- modified 7

An object is modified if it an action occurs that causes it to become defined<sup>29</sup> or become 8 undefined as described by Fortran  $90^{30}$ 9

Fortran 90 subobjects (array-element, array-section, structure-component, or substring)<sup>31</sup> are 10 objects in the model and may be read and modified independently of other subobjects by 11 12 different processes. :efn.

13 In parallel programs, it is the users responsibility to protect shared objects in common with the 14 proper synchronization if they are *read and modified* by multiple processes.

#### 5.7 Examples 15

Subroutine EXD01(A,B,C,N)
Real A(n),B(n),C(n)
parallel do i=1,n
Real t
t=a(i)*b(i)
c(i+1)=t* (t-1.0)
end parallel do
end

 $16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25$ In EXD01, the variable I has a P/S attribute of private for

the parallel construct because it is the iterative control variable for the parallel do. The variable 26 27 T has a P/S attribute of private

<sup>28</sup>Section 6, Use of Data Objects, page 61, lines 3-7. 28 29 <sup>29</sup>Section 14.7.5, Events that cause variables to become defined, page 250, 251, lines 3-42, 1-10. 30 31 <sup>30</sup>Section 14.7.6, Events that cause variables to become 32 undefined, page 251, 252, lines 11-45, 1-33. 33 <sup>31</sup>Section 6, Use of Data objects, page 61, lines 16-19.

for the parallel do because it is declared within the parallel construct. The arrays A,B,C, and D
 are shared objects for the parallel construct. The variables I and T are undefined upon exit from
 the parallel do.

```
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
                     Subroutine EXD02(B)
                     Real, Dimension(100) :: B,C
                     parallel do i=1,100
                        call subx1(b(i))
                        call subx2(c(i))
                     end parallel do
                     print*, (c(i),i=1,100)
                     end
12
13
14
15
16
17
                     subroutine subx1(x)
                     real, save:: a
                     a=x
                     return
                     entry subx2(x)
                     x=a
18
                     end
```

In EXD02, the SAVE attribute ensures that the value of A defined by SUBX1 will be available for entry SUBX2 to use within any iteration of the parallel do construct. Thus, the effect of this example is to copy B to C and print the result. If the SAVE attribute was not specified, the results are undefined; (Note that if the parallel do was a serial do and the save attribute was not specified the results are also undefined.)

```
Subroutine EXD03()
Real, Dimension(100) :: B
common /abc/ b
call subx1(100)
print*, (b(i),i=1,100)
end
subroutine subx1(icnt)
parallel do i=1,icnt
   call work(i)
end parallel do
return
end
subroutine work(i)
Real, Dimension(100) :: B
common /abc/ b
b(i)=i
return
end
```

40

41

In EXD03, there is only one copy of the common block /abc/, that all processes share access to.
 The modifications made to the array elements, or subobjects, of b are data independent. No
 explicit synchronization is required.

```
45subroutine EXD04(in,A)46real, dimension(in,in):: A47real, dimension(:,:), allocatable:: B,E48allocate B(in,in)
```

```
parallel do i=1, in
 real, dimension(:), allocatable:: C
 Allocate C(in)
 C(:) = 0
 parallel do j=1,in
   c(j)=c(j)+A(i,j)
   if (fn(c(j)).neq.0) then
       Critical section
         if (.not.allocated(E)) then
            allocate E(in, in)
         endif
       end critical section
       E(i,j)=C(j)
   endif
 end parallel do
 B(i,:)=C(i)
 deallocate C
end do
A(:,:) = B(icnt:1:-1,:)
return
end
```

In EXD04, the allocateable array B is shared for both parallel constructs and the allocateable array C is private for the parallel do i loop but shared for the parallel do j loop. The allocateable array E is shared, but is only allocated based on a function of C(j). The user is responsible for providing the proper synchronization to ensure that only one team member allocates the shared array.

```
subroutine EXD06(in)
integer pi(in),i(in)
pointer pi
target i
allocate I
PI=>I
icnt=0
parallel
integer pj(in),j(in) ,id
pointer pj
target j
critical section
 icnt=icnt+1
 id=icnt
end critical section
 if(id .eq.1) then
  PJ=>I
 else
  allocate J
  PJ=>J
 endif
 pdo i=1,100
  . . .
 end pdo
 if(id .gt.1) then
  deallocate j
 endif
end parallel
```

In EXD06, references with pointer PI in the parallel do loop will be appropriately synchronized among all processes executing the parallel construct. In this example, the user wants to use the allocated array I for the first process, and only allocate additional private arrays if additional processes execute part of the parallel construct. References with pointer PJ will be to objects with P/S attributes of shared or proivate; an implementation must ensure that the proper synchronization is done for the shared target.

 $\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 25 \end{array}$ 

subroutine exd1() common/abc/a(100), b(100)common/def/d(100),e(100) common/ghi/g(100),h(100) instance parallel /def/,/ghi/ parallel do i=1,100 new/def/ . . . end parallel do subroutine exd2() common/abc/a(100), b(100)common/def/d(100),e(100) common/ghi/g(100),h(100) instance parallel /def/,/ghi/ instance single /abc/ parallel do i=1,100 new/def/d(100),e(100) end parallel do

In both examples exd1 and exd2, common /abc/ is always shared. There is only one copy for the entire program. All processes share the same copy. Common /def/ and /ghi/ are default private, explicitly shared. Since /def/ is specified within the parallel do construct, each team member participating in the execution of an iteration of the parallel do will have its own copy. The variables in common /def/ may be referenced without synchronization. Since /ghi/is visible at the parallel point it will be shared among all team members participating in the execution of the parallel construct.

33	module data
34	dimension a(100),b(100)
35 36 37	real a,b
36	private b
37	public a
38	end data

Module data will be an always shared global object. All team members of all teams will
reference same A and B. Both A and B have an instance attribute of single and therefore have
APA attributes of always shared. The Fortran access attribute of private (or hidden) does not
affect the APA attribute.

43 module exd7

5 Module exd7 will be default private, explicitly shared. If the program unit containing a parallel 6 construct has a use of exd7 then a and b will be shared for team members of that parallel 7 construct. If not, then each team member will have private copies of the module exd7 created.

8 9 10	module data instance parallel
$10_{11}$	dimension a(100),b(100)
11	real a,b
12	common/abc/a,b
13	subroutine x()
14	instance parallel
15	common/abc/a,b
16	dimension y(10)
17	
18	end
19	end data

20 The common block /abc/ has a parallel instance attribute.

The reference to /abc/ within subroutine x must specify the same instance attribute for /abc/ as the containing module. The rules stated that objects defined within program units within modules would have their instance attribute determined based on the program unit rules. The object y is a local object to subroutine x - it does not have an instance attribute.

Example 33	LOGICAL FUNCTION EX33 (A,IZERO,N) REAL A(N)
	PARALLEL PDO I=1,N
	IF $(A(N) . EQ. 0.0)$ THEN
	CRITICAL SECTION
	IZERO = I
	END CRITICAL SECTION
	EX33 = .TRUE.
	PDONE
	ENDIF
	END PARALLEL PDO
	EX33 = .FALSE.
	END

Example 33 demonstrates how to carry the value of a new object out of a parallel construct. The loop index of the Parallel Do is new by default, so the loop index value is undefined outside of the scope of the Parallel Do. The Critical Section ensures that updating the global variable IZERO is performed by one process at a time. Note that this code does not ensure that the smallest index of a zero element of A is returned. Also, multiple processes may set IZERO.

1 2 3 4 5 6	Example 41	SUBROUTINE EX41 (B) REAL B(100) PARALLEL PDO I=1,100 CALL SUB(B(I)) END PARALLEL PDO END
7 8 9 10 11 12 13		SUBROUTINE SUB (X) INSTANCE PARALLEL COMMON /BLOCKA/ A A = X CALL SQUARE X = A END
14 15 16 17 18		SUBROUTINE SQUARE INSTANCE PARALLEL COMMON /BLOCKA/ A A = A*A END
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Example 42	SUBROUTINE EX42 (B) INSTANCE PARALLEL COMMON /BLOCKA/ A REAL B(100) PARALLEL PDO I=1,100 NEW /BLOCKA/ CALL SUB(B(I)) END PARALLEL PDO END
28 29 30 31 32 33		SUBROUTINE SUB (X) INSTANCE PARALLEL COMMON /BLOCKA/ A A = X CALL SQUARE END
34 35 36 37 38		SUBROUTINE SQUARE INSTANCE PARALLEL COMMON /BLOCKA/ A A = A*A END

Example 42 and Example 41 provide the same results. Both ensure that within the parallel construct, team members have their own copies of common blocka for communication among program units within a process. Example 41 uses an implict private copy of blocka for the parallel construct.

43 Example 42 specifes an explicit private copy of blocka for the parallel construct.

	Example 43:	C This	example	is 1	NON-STANDARD	CONFORMING	С
45		I	NSTANCE	PAR.	ALLEL /NC/		
46		C	COMMON /N	JC/.	A(100)		
47			••				

1	y_calls:	PARALLEL PDO I=1,100
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	10	CALL Y END DO y_calls  RETURN END
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		SUBROUTINE Y  PARALLEL PDO J=1,100  CALL Z END DO  RETURN END
17 18 19 20 21 22		SUBROUTINE Z INSTANCE PARALLEL /NC/ COMMON /NC/ A(100)  RETURN END
23 24 25	▲ ·	ck, NC, is shared for the parallel y_calls loop in the main icitly private at the parallel do loop subroutine Y and is struct in subroutine Z.

- 26 Possible modifications to make it standard conforming include:
- 27 1. Specify /NC/ on a NEW statement in the parallel y\_calls loop in the main program. 28
- Include the COMMON statement defining /NC/ in subroutine Y. 29 2. Then /NC/ will be shared for all parallel constructs. 30
- 3. Include the COMMON statement defining /NC/ in subroutine Y and 31 specify /NC/ on a NEW statement for the parallel do loop. 32

33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Example	45	SUBROUTINE EX45 (B) REAL B(100), C(100) PARALLEL PDO I=1,100 CALL SUB1(B(I)) CALL SUB2(C(I)) END PARALLEL PDO PRINT *, (C(I), I = 1, 100) END
41 42 43			SUBROUTINE SUB1 (X) INSTANCE PARALLEL COMMON /BLOCKA/ A

1	SAVE /BLOCKA/
2	A= X
3	END
4	SUBROUTINE SUB2 (X)
5	INSTANCE PARALLEL
6	COMMON /BLOCKA/ A
7	SAVE /BLOCKA/
8	X = A
9	END

In Example 45, the SAVE statement ensures that the value of A defined SUB1 will be available
for SUB2 to use within any iteration of the Parallel Do contruct. Thus, the effect of SC6 is to
copy B to C and print the result. If the SAVE statement is not coded, the results are undefined.
Note that without the SAVE statement, the serial form of this program would not conform to
Fortran section 15.9.4.

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Example 46	<pre>SUBROUTINE EX46 (B) REAL B(100), C(100) INSTANCE PARALLEL /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A PARALLEL PDO I=1,100     NEW /BLOCKA/     CALL SUB1(B(I))     CALL SUB2(C(I)) END PARALLEL PDO PRINT *, (C(I), I = 1, 100) END</pre>
26 27 28 29 30		SUBROUTINE SUB1 (X) INSTANCE PARALLEL /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A A = X END
31 32 33 34 35		SUBROUTINE SUB2 (X) INSTANCE PARALLEL /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A X = A END

Example 46 demonstrates an alternative to coding the SAVE statement. It is sufficient to declare
 /blocka/ in the calling program and code a NEW statement for /BLOCKA/ inside the parallel
 construct. Examples 45 and 46 both compute the same result.

39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Example 39	SUBROUTINE EX39 (B,C,N) REAL B(N),C(N) PARALLEL PDO I=1,N REAL A A=B(I)+C(I) CALL EX39A(A,B,I) END PARALLEL PDO
46		END
47		SUBROUTINE EX39A (AA,BB,N)

1 2 3 4 5 6	REAL BB(N),BX DATA BX/1.0/ BX= AA * (AA-4.0)/BX PARALLEL PDO J=1,N BB(J) = BB(J)*BX END PARALLEL PDO
1	END

8 In Example 39, the variable BX has a data sharing attribute of newfor the parallel do insubroutine 9 EX39, but a shared data sharing attribute for the Parallel Doin subroutine EX39A.The DATA 10 statement initializing BX applies on aper process basis. Thefirst time a process calls subroutine 11 EX39A, the value of BX for thatprocess is guaranteed tobe that specified by the DATA 12 statement. Subsequent calls of subroutineEX39A by the sameprocess use the value of BX from 13 the end of the previous call to BX bythe same process.

14 15 16 17 18	Example ??	PROGRAM MAIN COMMON/COM1/CA(100) INTEGER LA,MS,ND DATA /ND,1/
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		SAVE /COM1/,MS SAVE /COM1/,MS PARALLEL PDO I=1,100 NEW LA,MS,ND CALL Y CALL Y END Parallel DO  END
29 30 31 32 33 34		BLOCK DATA X COMMON/COM1/CA(100) INSTANCE PARALLEL /SCOM1/ COMMON/SCOM1/ SC(100) DATA /CA,100*0.0/,/SC,100*0.0/ END
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45		SUBROUTINE Y COMMON/COM1/CA(100) COMMON/COM2/CB(100) INSTANCE PARALLEL /SCOM1/,/SCOM2/ COMMON/SCOM1/ SC(100) COMMON/SCOM2/ SD(100) INTEGER IS(100),JA(100),KD DATA KD/0/ SAVE /COM1/,/SCOM1/,IS  END
46 47 48 49		is single_copy_external, static storage is single_copy_external, dynamic storage
50 51		is parallel_external, static storage is parallel_external, automatic storage

Local variables: IS,MS is construct\_local, static storage JA,LA is construct\_local, automatic storage KD,ND is construct\_local, data initialized static storage NEW variables: LA' is construct\_local, automatic storage MS' is construct\_local, ?? (auto or static) ND' is construct\_local, ??

# 1 6.0 Input/Output

Each Fortran unit number is shared among all processes of a parallel program. An
 implementation shall provide synchronization among all processes accessing a specified unit.

When a unit number is connected to a file (for example through the use of an open statement), then all processes are able to access that file by using the same unit number. The unit shall not be explicitly connected to a file by an OPEN statement if it is currently connected to a file y a previous OPEN statement.

- 8 The effect of executing a data transfer input/output statement shall be as if the operations were 9 performed in the order specified on page 125, lines 17-26 in the Fortran 90 standard. If multiple 10 processes are executing the program, then the order of operations shall be augmented as follows:
- 11 Insert the following step between steps 2 and 3:
- 12 (2.5) Obtain an implementation lock associated with the unit
- 13 Insert the following step between steps 7 and 8:
- 14 (7.5) Free the implementation lock obtained for the unit

The result shall be that once a process obtains the lock for a given unit, the data transfer of the input/output list specified for the I/O statement will be completed prior to another process transferring data to or from the same unit.

18 The implementation lock obtained for the unit shall control the synchronization of the file pointer 19 to the unit among all processes. The I/O statements shall not be synchronization points for 20 program data objects. A program shall use the explicit or implicit synchronization points defined 21 by the model for program data objects.

If the user wishes to cause I/O statements executed by distinct, simultaneously-executing processes to be applied to a unit number in a particular order, explicit, user-coded synchronization shall be used.

25 A program shall control synchronization of concurrent I/O to multiple units if required.

When a READ statement detects an end-of-file for a unit, all subsequent reads issued by other processes to that unit number - prior to a file repositioning statement (REWIND, BACKSPACE, CLOSE followed by OPEN, direct-access READ, direct access WRITE) will also detect end-of-file.

### 30 6.1 Multiple End-of-File Records

For cases where multiple end-of-file records can be detected on a unit after executing a single open (example, unlableled tapes with multiple files in many implementations) it is necessary to provide an additional I/O statement to skip past the current end-of-file record. Implementatins that allow only a single end-of-file per file may implement this statement as a CONTINUE statement.

#### 6 6.1.1 Explicit Syntax

SKIP PAST EOF just-like-backspace-both forms

#### 8 6.2 Examples

#### 6.2.1

7

9

10

11

```
subroutine exio1()
dimension a(100)
parallel sections 10 i=1,n
section /a/
read (*,7) n
...
section /b/
...
section /c/ wait(a)
if (n.gt.100) print*,'error', n
read (*,7) a(1:n)
...
end parallel sections
```

In example EXIO1, section c waits for section a to complete so that it knows the number of elements of A to read. The user must program the required synchronization to ensure that the read of the n value occurs before trying to read n elements of A.

#### 6.2.2

```
subroutine exio2()
dimension a(100)
parallel sections 10 i=1,n
   section /a/
     i=6
     write(*,6) f1(i)
   section /b/
     i=8
     write(*,8) f1(i)
      . . .
end parallel sections
return
end
function fl(i)
. . .
read (*,i+1) ...
. . .
return
end
```

In example EXIO2, the user is responsible for ensuring that there is no synchronization required between I/O to units; or for providing the necessary synchronization. The example as written is correct since the process executing section A will write to units 6 and 7; while the process executing section B will write to units 8 and 9. However, if function f1 tried to read from unit 8 when i=6 and to read from unit 6 when i=8 there would be a chance of deadlock. To prevent the deadlock, the user would have to use explicit synchronization to ensure that only one process was executing the write statements in sections a and b.

## 1 **7.0** Synchronization

## 2 Implicit synchronization occurs at the following statements:

3 PARALLEL
4 END PARALLEL
5 END PARALLEL PDO
6 END PDO (WAIT)
7 PARALLEL SECTIONS
8 END PARALLEL SECTIONS
9 END PSECTIONS (WAIT)
10 END PGROUP

11 and after the execution of the statement that terminates a "labeled" PDO or PARALLEL PDO.

## 12 **7.1 Explicit Synchronization**

13 (The following is material suggested by Bruce Leasure on March 7,1993)

The X3H5 module defines new types to support explicit synchronization. As a group, these types
 are referred to as control types. These types have no public fields. Use of objects of these types
 is restricted by the Fortran 90 typing mechanism. The control types defined are

18TYPE ( LOCK )for19TYPE ( EVENT )for	latch lock event sequence
---------------------------------------	------------------------------------

21 \*\*\*\*\* Aside to X3J3 \*\*\*\*\*

In the next revision of Fortran, consider extending R502 to make these types base types. Two possibilities seem plausible: make each of these types a base type (such as INTEGER is now), or make them all different KINDs of the same base type.

# 25 **7.1.1 Extensions Shared by Many Synchronization Methods**

## 26 **7.1.1.1 Representing States**

The X3H5 module defines defines 7 symbolic INTEGER constants to represent the states of objects of TYPE (LATCH), TYPE (LOCK), and TYPE (EVENT). An implementation shall assign unique values to each of the symbolic constants representing a state of a single type. An implementation should assign unique values to each of these constants. The symbolic constants representing states are

32	for TYPE ( LATCH ):	
33	STATE_UNINITIALIZED	for state uninitialized
34	STATE_UNLATCHED	for state unlatched

1	STATE_LATCHED	for state latched
2	for TYPE ( LOCK ):	
3	STATE_UNINITIALIZED	for state uninitialized
4	STATE_UNLOCKED	for state unlocked
5	STATE_LOCKED	for state locked
6	for TYPE ( EVENT ):	
7	STATE_UNINITIALIZED	for state uninitialized
8	STATE CLEAR for	state clear

8 STATE\_CLEAR for state clear 9 STATE SET for state set

## 10 7.1.1.2 Testing for Uninitialized State

The X3H5 module defines the unary operator .UNINITIALIZED. where the single argument is an object of a control type and the result type is LOGICAL. The operator returns ".TRUE." if the corresponding object is uninitialized, otherwise the operator returns ".FALSE.". When applied to an array argument, the operator is elemental.

An implementation may always return ".FALSE." as the result of this operator, if the implementation does not detect an error when any operation except initialize is performed on an object of a control type that has state "uninitialized".

## 18 **7.1.1.3 SYNCHRONIZE Statement**

### 19 7.1.1.3.1 Proposed X3H5 Extended Syntax Rule

20	X701	sync-stmt	is SYNCHRONIZE( sync-param-list ) [ guards-spec ]
21 22 23 24	X702	(	is [ CONTROL= ] sync-object or [ OPERATION= ] sync-operation or [ POSITION= ] ordinal-position or [ STATUS= ] sync-status
25	X703	sync-operation	is scalar-character-expression
26 27 28 29	X704	(	is scalar-latch-variable or scalar-lock-variable or scalar-event-variable or scalar-ordinal-variable
30	X705	ordinal-position	n is scalar-integer-expression
31	X706	sync-status	is scalar-integer-variable

1 2	CONSTRAINT: Exactly one sync-object shall be specified in each sync-param-list.
3 4	CONSTRAINT: Exactly one sync-operation shall be specified in each sync-param-list.
5 6	CONSTRAINT: More than one ordinal-position shall not be specified in any sync-param-list.
7 8	CONSTRAINT: An ordinal-position shall be specified only if sync-object is of TYPE ( ORDINAL ).
9 10	CONSTRAINT: More than one sync-status shall not be specified in any sync-param-list.
11	If the sync-status variable is coded, the variable is assigned the integer correspondence

If the sync-status variable is coded, the variable is assigned the integer corresponding to the final
 state of the sync-object after the execution of the sync-operation. The sync-status variable may
 be undefined when execution of the SYNCHRONIZE statement begins.

14 A SYNCHRONIZE statement shall not be executed if sync-object has a state of "uninitialized".

# 15 **7.1.1.3.2** Consistency Rules for the SYNCHRONIZE Statement

16 If the sync-stmt specifies a guards-spec, the implementation shall make the objects in the 17 guarded-obj-list consistent as a part of the execution of the sync-stmt.

18 If the sync-stmt specifies a sync-obj with a GUARDS attribute then the implementation shall 19 make the objects in the guarded-obj-list from that attribute consistent as a part of the execution 20 of the sync-stmt.

If the sync-stmt has no guards-spec and has a sync-obj with no GUARDS attribute, the implementation shall make all shared objects, used or defined as a result of the execution of "block", consistent as a part of the execution of the sync-stmt.

# 24 **7.1.1.4 Representing Synchronization Operations**

The X3H5 module defines defines symbolic CHARACTER constants to represent the operations on objects of TYPE ( LOCK ), TYPE ( EVENT ) and TYPE ( ORDINAL ) that act as explicit synchronization points. An implementation shall assign unique values to each of the symbolic constants representing a operations on a single type. An implementation should assign unique values to each of the operations.

30 TYPE ( LOCK )

- 1 OP\_CONDITIONAL\_SET for operation conditional set
- for operation set with wait 2 OP\_SET\_WITH\_WAIT
- 3 OP CLEAR for operation clear
- TYPE (EVENT) 4
- 5 **OP\_SET** for operation set
- for operation clear OP\_CLEAR 6
- OP\_WAIT for operation wait 7

8	TYPE ( ORDINAL )	
9	OP_WAIT_THEN_POST_VALUE	for operation post a value with wait
10	OP_WAIT_VALUE fe	or operation wait for a value

- 11 7.1.1.5 Use of Control Types and Assignment
- 12 The X3H5 module defines the assignment operator to represent the initialize operation, the destroy operation, and the query operation. 13
- 7.1.2 Limiting Synchronization Overhead 14

15 A new attribute is defined that only has meaning for the synchronization types defined in the X3H5 module. R503 is extended to accomplish this. 16

7.1.2.1 Proposed X3H5 Extended Syntax Rule 17

18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	R503	Or Or NEW Or Or Or Or Or Or Or Or	is PARAMETER access-spec ALLOCATABLE DIMENSION ( array-spec ) EXTERNAL guards-spec INTENT ( intent-spec ) INTRINSIC OPTIONAL POINTER SAVE TARGET
30	X707	guards-spec	is GUARDS ( guarded-obj-list )
31 32 33 34	X708	OI	is variable-name array-element array-section substring
35 36 37	CONSTR		script, substring, or section-subscript in a ust be an integer initialization expression 7.1.6.1)

- (see Fortran 7.1.6.1)
- 38 7.1.2.2 GUARDS Attribute

1 The GUARDS attribute specifies that the entities whose names are declared on this statement 2 control the consistency of the objects in the guarded-obj-list.

- 3 The GUARDS attribute may only be used with an object of a control
- 4 type.

5 The GUARDS attribute reduces the default list of objects that the implementation must make 6 consistent at a SYNCHRONIZE statement with an associated object of a control type from all 7 shared object to only those shared objects listed in the GUARDS attribute of the associated 8 object.

9 7.1.3 Critical Sections

## 10 7.1.3.1 Proposed X3H5 Extended Syntax Rule

```
11
        X709
                critical-block
                                            is critical-stmt
12
                                    block
13
                                 end-critical-stmt
14
        X710
                critical-stmt
                                            is CRITICAL SECTION [ ( scalar-latch-variable ) ]
15
        [ guards-spec ]
16
17
        X711
                end-critical-stmt
                                            is END CRITICAL SECTION [ ( scalar-latch-variable
        ) ]
18
19
        CONSTRAINT: If the end-critical-section-stmt specifies a
               scalar-latch-variable, the corresponding critical-section-stmt shall specify the same
20
\overline{2}1
               scalar-latch-variable.
```

# 22 7.1.3.2 Consistency Rules for CRITICAL SECTION

- If the *critical-stmt* specifies a *guards-spec*, the implementation shall make the objects in the *guarded-obj-list* consistent at entry and exit to the *critical-block*.
- If the *critical-stmt* specifies a *scalar-latch-variable* with a GUARDS attribute then the implementation shall make the objects in the *guarded-obj-list* from that attribute consistent at entry and exit to the *critical-block*.
- If the *critical-stmt* has no *guards-spec* and no *scalar-latch-variable*, the implementation shall make all shared objects, used or defined as a result of the execution of "block", consistent at entry and exit to the *critical-block*.
- If the *critical-stmt* has no *guards-spec* and has a *scalar-latch-variable* with no GUARDS attribute, the implementation shall make all shared objects, used or defined as a result of the execution of block, consistent at entry and exit to the critical-block.

# 34 **7.1.3.3** Operations on Objects of TYPE (LATCH)

- 1 The initialize operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LATCH) by assignment of the 2 value STATE\_UNLATCHED to the object.
- The *enter\_critical\_section* operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LATCH) by executing
   a CRITICAL SECTION statement referencing the latch.
- 5 The *exit\_critical\_section* operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LATCH ) by executing
- 6 an END CRITICAL SECTION statement that corresponds to a CRITICAL SECTION statement
- 7 referencing the latch.
- 8 The destroy operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LATCH) by assignment of the value
   9 STATE\_UNINITIALIZED.
- 10 The query operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LATCH) by assignment of the object 11 to a variable of type INTEGER.

# 12 **7.1.3.4 Default Latch**

13 If a *critical-stmt* does not specify a *scalar-latch-variable*, the *critical-stmt* behaves as if the 14 *critical-stmt* referenced a unique, initialized, *scalar-latch-variable* that is shared with every 15 process. This *scalar-latch-variable* does not have a GUARDS attribute.

# 16 **7.1.4 Locks**

- The initialize operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LOCK) by assignment of the value
   STATE\_UNLOCKED to the object.
- The conditional set operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LOCK) by executing a SYNCHRONIZE statement specifying the object as *sync-object* and a *sync-operation* of OP\_CONDITIONAL\_SET. The program should use either the query operation or a sync-status variable to determine if the lock was obtained.
- The set with wait operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LOCK) by executing a SYNCHRONIZE statement specifying the object as sync-object and a sync-operation of OP\_SET\_WITH\_WAIT.
- The clear operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LOCK) by executing a SYNCHRONIZE statement specifying the object as sync-object and a sync-operation of OP\_CLEAR.
- The destroy operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LOCK) by assignment of the value
   STATE\_UNINITIALIZED.

1 The query operation is performed on an object of TYPE (LOCK) by assignment of the object 2 to a variable of type INTEGER.

# 3 **7.1.5 Events**

4 The initialize operation is performed on an object of TYPE (EVENT) by assignment of the 5 value STATE\_CLEAR to the object.

- 6
- 7 The set operation is performed on an object of TYPE (EVENT) by executing a 8 SYNCHRONIZE statement specifying the object as sync-object and a sync-operation of OP\_SET.
- 9 The clear operation is performed on an object of TYPE (EVENT) by executing a 10 SYNCHRONIZE statement specifying the object as sync-object and a sync-operation of 11 OP\_CLEAR.
- 12 The wait operation is performed on an object of TYPE (EVENT) by executing a 13 SYNCHRONIZE statement specifying the object as sync-object and a sync-operation of 14 OP\_WAIT.
- The destroy operation is performed on an object of TYPE (EVENT) by assignment of the value
   STATE\_UNINITIALIZED. No more processes.

# 17 **7.1.6 Sequences**

The initialize operation is performed on an object of TYPE ( ORDINAL ) by assignment of 18 either an *scalar-integer-exp* or a one-dimensional INTEGER array with 2 elements to the object. 19 20 When a scalar-integer-exp is used, the arithmetic sequence begins at the value of scalar-integer-exp and has a stride of 1. When a one-dimensional INTEGER array with 2 21 elements is used, the arithmetic sequence begins at the value of the first element of the array, and 22 has a stride of the second element of the array. A program shall not use a stride of zero. The 23 implementation shall detect a zero stride as an error. The post a value with wait operation is 24 performed on an object of TYPE ( ORDINAL ) by executing a SYNCHRONIZE statement 25 specifying the object as sync-object, a sync-operation of OP\_WAIT\_THEN\_POST\_VALUE, and 26 an ordinal-position of the value of the arithmetic sequence to post. 27

- The clear operation is performed on an object of TYPE (ORDINAL) by executing a SYNCHRONIZE statement specifying the object as sync-object, a sync-operation of OP\_WAIT\_VALUE, and an ordinal-position of the value of the arithmetic sequence to wait for.
- The destroy operation is performed on an object of TYPE (ORDINAL) by assignment of the value STATE\_UNINITIALIZED.
- 33 (The following is material put in during the march 1-3, 1993 meeting.)

#### 1 7.2 Explicit Synchronization

- Derived Types are defined in the X3H5 module for each of the synchronization objects specified
   by the model.
- 4 Relationship between model synchronizer types and Fortran synchronizer types:

5	model synchronizer type	derived type name
6	lock	Type (lock)
7	latch	Type (latch)
8	event	Type (event)
9	sequence	Type (ordinal)

10 A new attribute, the "guards" attribute for synchronizers is defined only for use with these 11 derived types. This attribute associates one or more objects with the synchronizer:

```
12 GUARDS (guarded-list) sync-object
13 or
14 GUARDS :: sync-guards-list
15 where guarded is variable-name,
16 array-name,
17 array-element,
18 array-section,
19 module-name, or
20 /common-block-name/ and
21 sync-guards-list is sync-object (guarded-list) [, sync-guards-list]
```

#### 22 **7.2.1 Critical Sections**

Critical sections provide an easy to use method of allowing only one process at a time to execute the enclosed portion of code. Only one process is allowed within all critical sections that share a Lock. Critical sections are a structured use of lock synchronization. The structured approach is much more reliable than using the equivalent unstructured synchronization. Critical section synchronization can be used anywhere in the program. Most uses of critical sections preserve execution order independence so use within a worksharing construct without the ORDERED qualifier is standard conforming.

**30 7.2.1.1 Explicit Syntax** 

```
Statement Forms
```

31 32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

```
[label:] CRITICAL SECTION [(lock)] [GUARDS(object-name-list)]
END CRITICAL SECTION [(lock)] [label]
```

Structured As

```
[label:] CRITICAL SECTION ...
statements
END CRITICAL SECTION ...[label]
```

1 2	Where		
3	lock is a variable name or array element of type lock		
4	object-name is a data object		
5	7.2.1.2 Coding Rules		
6			
7 8	The Critical Section construct is a block structured construct. The Critical Section construct follows all of the rules of Fortran block structured constructs. <* so we mean EXIT and Cycle		
9	WORK?*>		
10			
11	If the lock is coded on the END CRITICAL SECTION statement, it must match the	)	
12	corresponding lock on the CRITICAL SECTION statement.		
13			
14	7.2.1.3 Interpretation		
15		~	
16	A program that executes a CRITICAL SECTION statement with a lock that has a value of		
17	undefined is not standard conforming.		
18			
19	Entering a Critical Section construct, is equivalent to executing a GET_LOCK statement on the		
20	specified <u>lock</u> with an identical GUARDS clause. Leaving the critical section, by executing the		
21 22	END CRITICAL SECTION statement or executing a PDONE statement, is equivalent to executing an UNLOCK statement on the <u>lock</u> controlling the section with an identical GUARDS		
23	clause, and then resuming execution at the appropriate statement outside the block.		
24	An unnamed Critical Section (one without a lock specified) is functionally equivalent to a Critical	1	
25	Section that specifies a <u>lock</u> that is		
26			
27	a) shared among all teams		
28			
29	b) initialized at program start-up to "unlocked"		
30			
31	c) is only referenced by that Critical Section construct		
32	These rules cause lexically distinct unnamed Critical Sections to function independently. Any	V	
33	single unnamed Critical Section controls all processes, allowing at most one process within the		
34	Critical Section at any point in time.		
35	7.2.1.4 Examples		

36	Example 12	SUBROUTINE	EX12	(A,B,SUM)
37		REAL B(0:100)		
38		Lock A		

PARALLEL PDO I=1,10 NEW T CRITICAL SECTION (A) T = B(I) \* B(I-1) SUM = SUM + T END CRITICAL SECTION (A) END PARALLEL PDO END

In Example 12, the lock A is used to control access to all shared objects and limit access to the enclosed block of code. The implementation must ensure that the shared object SUM is consistent upon entry and exit to the Critical Section construct, and that the shared array B is consistent upon entry to the <u>Critical Section</u> construct. (Note that B may be changed by a process that is not visible and that A and SUM must be initialized outside of the EX12 subroutine.)

Example 13	SUBROUTINE EX13 (A,B,SUM) REAL B(0:100)
	Lock A
	GUARDS A(SUM)
	PARALLEL PDO I=1,10
	NEW T
	CRITICAL SECTION (A)
	T = B(I) * B(I-1)
	SUM = SUM + T
	END CRITICAL SECTION
	END PARALLEL PDO
	END

In Example 13, the lock A is used to control access to the variable SUM. Because of the GUARDS statement, the implementation need only ensure that the shared variable SUM is consistent upon entry and exit to the Critical Section construct. This differs from the previous example in that shared array, B, is not required to be consistent during the critical section.

```
Example 14

SUBROUTINE EX14 (A,B,SUM)

REAL B(0:100)

Lock A

PARALLEL PDO I=1,10

NEW T

CRITICAL SECTION (A) GUARDS(SUM)

T = B(I) * B(I-1)

SUM = SUM + T

END CRITICAL SECTION (A)

END PARALLEL PDO

END
```

In Example 14, the lock A is used to control access to the variable SUM. Because of the <u>GUARDS</u> clause on the CRITICAL SECTION statement, the implementation shall ensure that the shared variable SUM is consistent upon entry and exit to the Critical Section construct. Example 14 is identical in functionality to Example 13.

59

Example 15	SUBROUTINE EX15 (A,B,MAXA,GMAXA,N)
	REAL A(N), B(N), MAXA
	Lock GMAXA
	GUARDS GMAXA(MAXA)

	PARALLEL SECTIONS NEW AM SECTION AM = A(1) DO 10 I=2,N IF(AM.LT.A(I))AM=A(I)
10	CONTINUE
	CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
	IF(MAXA.LT.AM) MAXA=AM
	END CRITICAL SECTION
	SECTION
	CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
	AM=MAXA
	END CRITICAL SECTION
	DO 20 I=1,N
	B(I) = B(I) / AM
20	CONTINUE
	END PARALLEL SECTIONS
	END

In Example 15, the lock GMAXA is used to control access to the variable MAXA. The scaling of array B by the maximum element of the array A is performed in a <u>nondeterministic fashion</u>, <u>depending</u> upon the number of processes available, the assignment of the sections to processes, and the relative execution speed of the processes. In particular, the scaling may be done with the value of MAXA that was available upon invocation of this routine, or it may be done with the value of MAXA that will be returned to the calling program. This is an example of a program that is non-deterministic but standard conforming.

<u>Example 16</u>	SUBROUTINE EX16 (A,B,MAXA,GMAXA,N) REAL A(N), B(N), MAXA Lock GMAXA GUARDS GMAXA(MAXA) PARALLEL SECTIONS NEW AM SECTION
	CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA) AM=MAXA
	END CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
	DO 10 $I=2,N$
	IF(AM.LT.A(I)) THEN
	CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
	IF(MAXA.LT.A(I)) MAXA=A(I)
	AM=MAXA
	END CRITICAL SECTION
	ENDIF
10	CONTINUE
	SECTION
	DO 20 I=1,N
	CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
	B(I) = B(I) / MAXA
	END CRITICAL SECTION
20	CONTINUE
	END PARALLEL SECTIONS END

55 In Example 16, the lock GMAXA is used to control access to the variable MAXA. The scaling 56 of array B by MAXA is performed in a non-deterministic fashion because the scaling does not wait for the computation of MAXA to be complete. The value of MAXA used at any point in the scaling process depends upon the number of processes available, the assignment of the sections to processes, and the relative execution speed of the processes. In particular, the scaling of an individual element of B may be done with the value of MAXA that was available upon invocation of this routine, or it may be done with the value of MAXA that will be returned to the calling program, or with some intermediate value. All elements of B need not be scaled with the same value. While non-deterministic, this program is standard conforming.

```
Example 17

SUBROUTINE EX17 (B,SUM)

REAL B(0:100)

SUM = 0.0

PARALLEL PDO I=1,10

NEW T

CRITICAL SECTION GUARDS(SUM)

T = B(I) * B(I-1)

SUM = SUM + T

END CRITICAL SECTION

END PARALLEL PDO

END
```

In Example 17, an unnamed Critical Section construct is used to control access to the shared variable SUM. Behavior is as if all processes used the same lock variable to control the access, even if the processes that called this routine happened to be on distinct teams, and SUM was a new object at those higher levels of parallelism (think of nested parallelism).

Example 18	SUBROUTINE EX18 (B,SUM,PROD) REAL B(100) PARALLEL SECTIONS NEW T SECTION T = 0.0
	I = 0.0 DO 10 I=1,10
10	T = T + B(I)
10	CRITICAL SECTION GUARDS(SUM)
	SUM = T
	END CRITICAL SECTION
	SECTION
	T = 1.0
	DO 20 I=1,10
20	T = T * B(I)
	CRITICAL SECTION GUARDS(PROD) PROD = T
	END CRITICAL SECTION
	END PARALLEL SECTIONS
	END

In Example 18, unnamed Critical Sections are used to control access to distinct shared variables SUM and PROD. Each lexical occurrence of an unnamed Critical Section construct operates independently, so one process can be executing inside the first Critical Section and another process can be executing inside the second Critical Section.

Example 19 C C >>> NOT STANDARD CONFORMING <<< C

```
REAL A(N), B(N), MAXA
      PARALLEL SECTIONS
      NEW AM
      SECTION
        AM = A(1)
        DO 10 I=2,N
           IF(AM.LT.A(I))AM=A(I)
10
        CONTINUE
        CRITICAL SECTION GUARDS (MAXA)
           IF(MAXA.LT.AM) MAXA=AM
        END CRITICAL SECTION
      SECTION
        CRITICAL SECTION GUARDS(MAXA)
           AM=MAXA
        END CRITICAL SECTION
        DO 20 I=1,N
           B(I)=B(I)/AM
20
        CONTINUE
      END PARALLEL SECTIONS
      END
```

In Example 19, two unnamed Critical Section constructs are used in an attempt to control access to the variable MAXA. But, because each unnamed Critical Section construct has its own unique lock variable, this program is not standard conforming because it allows one process to be reading the value of a shared variable while another process is updating it.

```
Example 20

SUBROUTINE EX20 (B,SUM)

REAL B(0:100)

Lock A

UNLOCK(A)

PARALLEL PDO I=1,10

NEW T

T = B(I) * B(I-1)

CRITICAL SECTION (A)

SUM = SUM + T

END CRITICAL SECTION (A)

END PARALLEL PDO

END
```

In Example 20, the lock A is used to control access to all shared objects and limit access to the enclosed block of code, but a good implementation can remove the shared array B from the list of controlled objects because the lock A is new to the team created by the Parallel Do construct. (Note that B may be not changed by a process that is not visible because the visibility of the lock A does not extend outside of this program unit.) It is important for an implementation to reduce the amount of code within a Critical Section to a minimum. This can easily be done if only updated objects or read objects are listed in the GUARDS clause or applicable GUARDS statement. The programmer should also make an effort to code small Critical Sections, but the easy optimizations should be done by an implementation.

Example 21	SUBROUTINE EX21 (A,B,SUM)
	REAL B(0:100)
	Lock A
	PARALLEL PDO I=1,10
	CRITICAL SECTION (A) GUARDS(SUM)
	SUM = SUM + B(I) * B(I-1)
	END CRITICAL SECTION

END	PARALLEL	PDO
END		

1 2 3 4 In Example 21, the a good implementation would move the multiplication of elements of B out 5 of the Critical Section.

#### 7.2.2 Event Synchronization 6

Event synchronization is most often used to signify when something has occurred, especially in 7 those cases where more than one process is interested in the occurrence. 8

- 9 Event synchronization provides operations to indicate that an event has not occurred (CLEAR), to indicate that an event has occurred (POST), and to ensure that an event has occurred (WAIT). 10
- 12 Event synchronization may be used anywhere in the program. Care shall be taken to
- 13 preserve execution order independence if used within a worksharing construct without the 1. ORDERED qualifier. 14
  - 2. ensure that the synchronization pattern described does not require more than one process for correct execution.
- 18 7.2.2.1 Explicit Syntax

Statement Forms			
POST	(event)	[GUARDS( <i>object-name-list</i> )]	
WAIT	(event)	[GUARDS(object-name-list)]	

CLEAR (event) [GUARDS(object-name-list)]

#### Where 25 26 event is a variable or array element of type event

- *object-name* is a variable name, an array name, an array element, or a common block 27 name enclosed in /'s 28
- 29 7.2.2.2 Coding Rules
- 31 POST, WAIT and CLEAR are executable statements.
- 32 33

30

11

15

16 17

19 20

21 22 23

24

- 7.2.2.3 Interpretation
- An event may assume one of two values: "cleared" or "posted". 34

1	When	a CLEAR statement is executed,
2	a)	the appropriate shared variables are made consistent
3 4	b)	event is set to "cleared", no matter what its value was previously.
5 6	When	a <u>POST</u> statement is executed,
7	a)	the appropriate shared variables are made consistent
8 9	b)	the value of event is set to "posted", no matter what its value was previously.
10 11	When	a <u>WAIT</u> statement is executed,
12	a)	the appropriate shared variables are made consistent
13 14 15	b)	the value of <u>event</u> is tested to see if it is "posted" if it is not, the process retry's this step at a later time,
16	The is	nitial value of an event is undefined. It becomes defined only upon the evenution of a

16 The initial value of an event is undefined. It becomes defined only upon the execution of a 17 CLEAR or POST statement. A program that executes a WAIT statement on an <u>event</u> with an 18 undefined value is not standard conforming.

19 **7.2.2.4 Examples** 

**TT7**1

20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	<u>Example 22</u>	SUBROUTINE EX22 (B,E) REAL B(100),C EVENT E(100) PARALLEL PDO I=1,97 IF (I .LT. 4) THEN POST E(I) ELSE CLEAR E(I) ENDIF END PARALLEL PDO PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) I=4,100 NEW C C = SIN(B(I)) WAIT E(I-3) B(I) = B(I) + B(I-3)*C POST E(I) END PARALLEL PDO END PARALLEL PDO
36 37 38		END PARALLEL PDO END

Example 22 computes a recurrence to solve for B. Each computed value of B is used in the
 computation of the value of B three iterations later of the loop. The code above permits the SIN
 calculations to be done completely in parallel, while the computation of B is synchronized.

## 42 **7.2.2.5 Intrinsic Functions for Events**

## 1 LOGICAL FUNCTION POSTED(event)

This intrinsic function returns a logical value that is .TRUE. if the event is "posted" and otherwise it returns .FALSE..

```
Example 23
                      SUBROUTINE EX23 (C,D)
          С
          С
             >>> NOT STANDARD CONFORMING <<<
          С
                REAL C,D
                EVENT A, B
                CLEAR A
                CLEAR B
                PARALLEL SECTIONS (ORDERED)
                SECTION
                  WAIT A
                  C = C + 1
                  POST B
                SECTION
                  POST A
                  WAIT B
                  D = C + 2
                END PARALLEL SECTIONS
                END
```

If Example 23 is executed by a single process, it will <u>deadlock</u> because that process will be assigned to the first section and immediately go into a permanent wait. Example 23 is not standard conforming.

Deadlock avoidance is the responsibility of the programmer. Here are some hints that can help in avoiding deadlock. (A standard conforming program need not follow these hints.)

- (1) Do not use event synchronization in unordered parallel loops or unordered parallel sections.
- (2) In Parallel Do and Pdo constructs with the ORDERED qualifier, make sure that POST statement is executed for an iteration earlier in the serial order than the iteration containing the corresponding WAIT statement.

In Parallel Sections and Psections constructs with the ORDERED qualifier, make sure that the section containing the POST statement occurs lexically before the section containing the corresponding WAIT statement.

# 41 **7.2.3 Sequences: Ordinal Synchronization**

- 42 <u>Ordinal synchronization</u> is used to communicate between iterations of a loop, or to communicate 43 between distinct loops. Any series of events that can be numbered can be synchronized with 44 ordinal synchronization.
- 45 Ordinal synchronization describes an arithmetic sequence. It provides operations to define an 46 arithmetic sequence (SET), indicate that computation for a particular element of the sequence is

- complete (POST), and to ensure that the computation for a particular element of the sequence
   completes (WAIT).
- Ordinal synchronization may be used anywhere in the program. If a Parallel Do or Pdo construct
  is used to create the arithmetic sequence being synchronized, then the ORDERED qualifier is
  required. Care shall be taken to
- preserve execution order independence if used within a worksharing construct without the
   <u>ORDERED</u> qualifier.
- 9 2. ensure that the synchronization pattern described does not require more than one process
   10 for correct execution.
- Most uses of a single ordinal synchronizer do not describe a synchronization pattern that requires
   more than one process for correct execution.

# 13 **7.2.3.1 Explicit Syntax**

# 14 <u>Statement Forms</u> 15 POST (seq, iexp1) [GUARDS(object-name-list)] 16 WAIT (seq, iexp2) [GUARDS(object-name-list)] 17 SET (seq [, iexp3[, iexp4]]) [GUARDS(object-name-list)]

# 18 Where

20

28

31

- 19 *seq* is a variable or array element of type ordinal
- 21 *iexp1*, *iexp2* and *iexp3* are integer expressions
- 22 *iexp4* is an integer expression not equal to zero
- *object-name* is a variable name, an array name, an array element, or a common block
   name enclosed in /'s
- 25 **7.2.3.2 Coding Rules**
- 26 <u>POST, WAIT</u> and <u>SET</u> are executable statements.

# 27 **7.2.3.3 Interpretation**

- All integer expressions are evaluated just once, before any of the statement specific actions areperformed.
- 32 When a SET statement is executed,

- 11. the appropriate shared objects are made consistent as specified by the Language2Independent Model, X3H5 Language Independent Model.
- 2. <u>iexp3</u> is the initial value of <u>seq</u>. If <u>iexp3</u> is not coded, an initial value of 0 is assumed.
   <u>iexp4</u> is the <u>increment</u> between elements of the sequence. If <u>iexp4</u> is not coded, an increment of 1 is assumed.
- 6 When a <u>POST</u> statement is executed,

7

8

20

23

- 1. the appropriate shared objects shall be made consistent as specified by the Language Independent Model, X3H5 Language Independent Model.
- 9 2. the value of <u>seq</u> is compared with <u>iexp1</u> <u>increment</u>. If <u>seq</u> is less than, and <u>increment</u> 10 >0, or if <u>seq</u> is greater than, and <u>increment</u> <0 then the process repeats step 1) at a later 11 time
- 12 3. if the value of seq is equal to  $\underline{iexp1}$   $\underline{increment}$  then set the value of seq to be  $\underline{iexp1}$ .
- 13 When a <u>WAIT</u> statement is executed
- 141. the appropriate shared objects shall be made consistent as specified by the Language15Independent Model, X3H5 Language Independent Model.
- 16
  2. the value of seq is compared with iexp2 If seq is less than, and increment >0, or if seq
  17
  is greater than, and increment <0 then the process repeats step 1) at a later time</li>
- 18 The initial value of an object of type ordinal is undefined. It becomes defined only by execution19 of a SET statement.
- A program that executes a POST or WAIT with a <u>seq</u> that has an undefined value is not standard conforming.
- Anything that can be done with ordinal synchronization, can also be done with an array of type event, but the reverse is not true. In the cases where ordinal synchronization can be used, it permits a significant storage savings.

### 27 **7.2.3.4 Examples**

Example 24	SUBROUTINE EX24 (B,SUM) REAL B(100),SUM(100) ORDINAL A
	GUARDS A(SUM)
	SUM(1) = 0.0
	SET A
	PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) I=2,10
	NEW T
	T = B(I) * B(I-1)

```
WAIT (A,I-1)
                  SUM(I) = SUM(I-1) + T
                  POST (A,I)
                END PARALLEL PDO
                END
                      SUBROUTINE EX25 (B, SUM)
Example 25
                REAL B(100), SUM(100)
                EVENT AA(100)
                GUARDS AA(SUM)
                POST(AA(1))
                PARALLEL PDO I=2,100
                  CLEAR AA(I)
                END DO
                SUM(1) = 0.0
                PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) I=2,10
                  NEW T
                  T = B(I) * B(I-1)
                  WAIT AA(I-1)
                  SUM(I) = SUM(I-1) + T
                  POST AA(I)
                END PARALLEL PDO
                END
```

To illustrate, consider Examples 24 and 25 which perform exactly the same computation using ordinal and event synchronization. However, Ordinal synchronization is not general enough to code every program that can be built with events with equivalent efficiency.

```
SUBROUTINE EX26 (B,C,N)
Example 26
                REAL B(N),C(N)
                PARAMETER (MAXN=1000)
                EVENT E(MAXN)
                PARALLEL PDO 10 I=1,N
                  IF (I .lt. 4) THEN
                     POST E(I)
                  ELSE
                     CLEAR E(I)
                  ENDIF
          10
                CONTINUE
                PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) 20 I=4,N
                  C(I) = FUNC(B(I))
                  WAIT E(I-3) GUARDS(B(I-3))
                  B(I) = B(I) + B(I-3)*C(I)
                  POST E(I) GUARDS (B(I))
          20
                CONTINUE
                END
```

Consider Example 26 where the user function FUNC may have widely varying execution times

```
Example 27

SUBROUTINE EX27 (B,C,N)

REAL B(N),C(N)

ORDINAL E

GUARDS E(B)

SET (E,3)

PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) 20 I=4,N

C(I) = FUNC(B(I))

WAIT (E, I-3))

B(I) = B(I) + B(I-3)*C(I)
```

POST (E,I) 20 CONTINUE END

and the obvious transcription to ordinal synchronization provided by Example 27. Examples 26 and 27 both compute the same result as long as the value of N is less than MAXN. Both examples are standard conforming. Example 26 allows 3 processes to execute totally independently, but uses more storage, and must know the maximum value of N. Example 27 requires that all of the POST statements be completed in serial iteration order (recall that posting a ordinal synchronizer has an implied wait for the previous value in the sequence to be posted), thus providing more synchronization than is absolutely necessary to compute the result. Example 27 does not require as much storage for synchronizers.

```
SUBROUTINE EX28 (A, B, C, N1, N2, N3)
Example 28
                REAL A(*),B(*),C(*)
                ORDINAL D
                GUARDS D(C)
                SET (D,N1,N3)
                PARALLEL SECTIONS
                SECTION
                   DO 10 I=N1,N2,N3
                      C(I) = MAX(A(I), A(I-N3))
                      POST(D,I)
          10
                   CONTINUE
                SECTION
                  DO 20 I=N1,N2,N3
                      WAIT(D,I)
                      B(I) = B(I)/C(I)
          20
                   CONTINUE
                END PARALLEL SECTIONS
                END
```

Example 28 demonstrates use of <u>Ordinal</u> synchronization to perform pipeline style synchronization. In this case, the result of one DO loop is piped into another DO loop operating on the same index set. In Example 28, the first loop computes the maximum element of A encountered so far, and stores this local maximum in C. The second loop scales the array B based upon the local maximum.

Example 29	SUBROUTINE EX29 (B) REAL B(100)
	ORDINAL A
	SET (A,2)
	PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) I=1,99
	NEW T
	T = B(I+1)
	POST (A,I+1)
	B(I) = T
	END PARALLEL PDO
	B(100) = 0.0
	END

50 Example 29 demonstrates the use of ordinal synchronization utilizing the implied wait function 51 that is built-in to the POST statement. This subroutine shifts the array B to the left, throwing

away B(1). There is no need to wait, because when the POST statement is executed, the implied 1 wait insures that the previous iteration has already been posted. 2

#### 7.2.3.5 Intrinsic Functions for Ordinals 3

#### **INTEGER FUNCTION INT(seq)** 4

This intrinsic function, which is already defined for other Fortran data types, is extended to return 5 the integer value of the current position in the arithmetic sequence described by seq, which is of 6 7 type ordinal.

## Avoiding Deadlock

As with event synchronization, <u>deadlock</u> is a possibility with ordinals.

```
Example 30
                      SUBROUTINE EX30 (B,C)
          C
          С
             >>> NOT STANDARD CONFORMING <<<
          C
                REAL B(100), C
                ORDINAL A
                SET (A, -99)
                PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) 10 I = 1,99
                  WAIT (A, -(I+1))
                  B(I) = B(I+1) + C
                  POST (A,-I)
          10
                CONTINUE
                END
```

27 In Example 30, the program will deadlock with any number of processors less than 99, because the iterations are handed in order from first to last. If there are only 98 processors, they will all 28 wait for the last iteration to execute its POST statement. This program unit is not standard 29 conforming because it requires at least 99 processes to avoid deadlock. To be standard 30 conforming, a program unit must be capable of completing execution with any number of 31 32 processes.

#### 33 7.2.4 Unstructured synchronization - Locks

34 Unstructured control of LOCKs should not be used if some other LOCK synchronization 35 mechanism is more appropriate (try critical sections or ordinal synchronization). Unstructured control of LOCKs is prone to many, hard to find, programming errors. 36

37

Unstructured control of LOCKs can be used anywhere within the program. Care should be taken 38 to preserve execution order independence if used within a worksharing construct without the 39 ORDERED qualifier. Care should be taken to ensure that the synchronization pattern described 40 does not require more than one process for correct execution. 41

#### 7.2.4.1 Explicit Syntax 42

8 9

10 11

1 2 3	Statement Fo	o <mark>rms</mark> LOCK (lock) [GUARDS(object-name-list)] CK (lock) [GUARDS(object-name-list)]	
4 5	$\frac{\text{Where}}{lock}$ is a variable or array element of type lock		
6	object	<i>t-name</i> is a data object>	
7	7.2.4.2 Coding Rules		
8 9	The GET_LOCK and UNLOCK statements are executable statements. <get_lock and="" are="" defined="" in="" module.="" subroutines="" the="" unlock="" x3h5=""></get_lock>		
10	7.2.4.3 Interpretation		
11 12 13	the value of the	A lock may assume one of two values: "locked" and "unlocked". Execution of UNLOCK causes he value of the specified LOCK to become "unlocked", no matter what the value was previously. When UNLOCK is executed, these actions take place:	
14 15	U1)	the appropriate shared objects are made consistent	
16 17 18	U2)	if the current value of the <u>lock</u> is "locked", the value is changed to "unlocked". GET_LOCK has the following effect:	
18	L1) approp	priate shared objects are made consistent	
20	L2) if the current value of the specified LOCK is "unlocked" then		
21	L2a)	the value is changed to "locked"	
22	L2b)	execution continues with the next statement	
23	L3) if the	L3) if the value of the specified LOCK is "locked", the process retries step L2) at a later t	
24 25	Step L2) and	Step L2) and L2a) above are executed as a single atomic operation.	
26 27 28 29	The initial value of a LOCK is undefined. It becomes defined only at the execution of UNLOCK.		
30 31 32	A program conforming.	that executes GET_LOCK on <u>lock</u> with an undefined value is not standard	
33 34		OS clause is specified then for the duration of the synchronization statement, the shall be used to augment the set of objects guarded by that synchronizer if the	

synchronizer was specified in a <sync-list> of the GUARDS statement. The merged set of guarded objects shall be made consistent when the synchronization statement is encountered. By explicitly identifying names of objects that shall be made consistent, the GUARDS clause and GUARDS statement remove a requirement for the implementation to make any other objects consistent when the synchronization statement is encountered.

## 6 **7.2.4.4 Examples**

17

256789012334356

37

```
Example 7

REAL FUNCTION SUM(A,B)

REAL B(0:100)

LOCK A

sumproduct: PARALLEL PDO I=1,10

NEW T

GET_LOCK (A)

T = B(I) * B(I-1)

SUM = SUM + T

UNLOCK (A)

END PARALLEL PDO sumproduct

END
```

In Example 7, the Lock A is used to control access to the variable SUM. The implementation must ensure that all necessary shared objects, SUM and B are consistent at the GET\_LOCK statement and the UNLOCK statement. Because of the possibility that another process executing some other parallel construct might change elements of the array B, both elements of B would have to be read from shared memory on every iteration of the loop unless the implementation could determine that those elements of B would not change while this parallel construct was executing.

```
Example 8 SUBROUTINE EX8 (A,B,SUM)

REAL B(0:100)

LOCK A

GUARDS A(SUM)

PARALLEL PDO I=2,10

NEW T

GET_LOCK (A)

T = B(I) * B(I-1)

SUM = SUM + T

UNLOCK (A)

END PARALLEL PDO

END
```

In Example 8, the variable A is used as a lock to control access to the variable SUM. Because of the GUARDS statement, the implementation need only ensure that the shared variable SUM is consistent at the GET\_LOCK statement and at the UNLOCK statement. No action is required with respect to array B because B is not changed during this operation.

### 42 **7.2.4.5** Intrinsic Functions for Locks

# 43 LOGICAL FUNCTION TRY\_LOCK(lock)

44

The value of an object of type lock may be determined using the intrinsic function TRY\_LOCK. TRY\_LOCK accepts a single argument of type lock, returning a result of type logical. If the value of the <u>lock</u> is locked, the result is .TRUE., otherwise it is .FALSE..

```
Example 9

SUBROUTINE EX9 (NAME,A)

CHARACTER*(*) NAME

CHARACTER*10 PG

LOCK A

IF ( TRY_LOCK (A) ) THEN

PG = "LOCKED"

ELSE

PG = "UNLOCKED"

ENDIF

PRINT *,"Lock ",NAME," was ",PG

END
```

In Example 9, the subprogram prints the current value of the lock A. The intrinsic TRY\_LOCK is used to obtain the current value of the lock without modifying it.

#### 20 LOGICAL FUNCTION GET\_LOCK(lock)

1 2

3

4

56789 1011 1213

14

15

16 17

18

19

28

36 37

38

39

44 45

46 47

49

50

This intrinsic function locks the lock if possible, but does not wait if it is already locked. GET\_LOCK accepts a single argument of type lock, returning a result of type logical. The GET\_LOCK intrinsic attempts to lock the <u>lock</u>. If the GET\_LOCK intrinsic is successful in locking the <u>lock</u>, then the GET\_LOCK intrinsic returns .TRUE.. If the <u>lock</u> is already locked, then the GET\_LOCK intrinsic returns .FALSE.. The GET\_LOCK intrinsic works exactly like the GET\_LOCK statement, except that the GET\_LOCK intrinsic does not wait if the lock is already locked.

```
Example 10 SUBROUTINE EX10 (A)

Lock A

5 IF (.NOT. GET_LOCK(A)) THEN

CALL USEFUL

GO TO 5

ENDIF

CALL UPDATE

UNLOCK (A)

END
```

In Example 10, the subprogram does some useful work rather than waiting for the lock to change values.

```
Example 11 SUBROUTINE EX11 (A)

Lock A

5 IF (TRY_LOCK (A)) THEN

CALL USEFUL

GO TO 5

ELSE

GET_LOCK(A)

CALL UPDATE

UNLOCK (A)

ENDIF
```

END

- 2 Notice the subtle difference between Examples 10 and 11. The TRY\_LOCK intrinsic does not actually lock the lock, so it is possible for another process to lock the lock A in between the test performed with the TRY\_LOCK intrinsic and the lock performed by the GET\_LOCK statement.

#### 1 **8.0** Nondeterministic Programs

In parallel programming, there are situations in which the same program when run twice may not produce the same results. Such a program is **nondeterministic**. The X3H5 Fortran standard allows some standard conforming programs to be nondeterministic. In such cases, it is the programmer's responsibility to ensure that nondeterministic behavior is acceptable to the functioning of the program.

If a program is nondeterministic, an implementation is free to choose between the possible
nondeterministic results. An implementation may always produce the same value for a
nondeterministic result, or an implementation may be nondeterministic, and produce different
results from one run to the next.

## 1 A.0 X3H5 Directive Binding

## 2 A.1 Directives - Introduction

15

The use of directives to provide information to a compiler is an established practice. The ability to parallelize programs with directives has been demonstrated to be useful on a number of parallel systems. Given an appropriate set of directives, an advantage of this approach has been that the directives may be treated as comments and the program will still run correctly. This has allowed programs that are parallelized with such directives to be run serially on a computer that may not understand those directives by treating them as comments.

9 This is understood to be particularly important to some code developers who must support both 10 parallel and serial targets with a single source code. This is viewed by the committee to be an 11 interim problem, given that there may be some time before compilers on serial systems handle 12 the parallel statements defined herein in an appropriate serial manner.

13 The system of directives described in this appendix is imperative -- they are not advisory. The 14 directives assert specific behavior for the parallel program or for the implementation.

16 Directive syntax and structure are specified in this appendix. Because of a basic one to one 17 association between the directives and corresponding language statements, the specification for 18 the directives will not replicate specifications given in this document for those associated 19 language statements. Interpretations and coding rules are provided only when they are in addition 20 to those provided for the corresponding language statement.

Examples in this appendix have been derived from those in the body of this document when useful for illustrating some aspect of the directive binding. Corresponding example numbers have been used to facilitate comparison between language and directive bindings, although this does not result in a sequential numbering of the examples in this appendix.

## 25 A.1.1 Role of the Directive Binding

This directive binding is specified for the Fortran-77 language only and is provided as a conversion aid. It will not be specified or extended to use additional features of the Fortran-90 language. To aid as an interim conversion aid, this set of directives has been designed to be easily replaced, either manually or mechanically, by their corresponding language statements.

The directive binding has a direct correspondence to statements in the language binding and these directives instruct the implementation just as if the corresponding language statement were present. When they are coded, they result in exactly the same interpretation being taken by the implementation as if it encountered the corresponding language statements.

## 34 A.1.2 Single Process Execution Requirement for Compliant Programs

1 The X3H5 LIM requires that a compliant parallel program be written so that it may be executed 2 with an arbitrary number or processes. Notably, the program must be executable by a single 3 process. A key implication of this rule is that when a compliant program is being executed by 4 a single process, the process shall never encounter a barrier that would cause it to be blocked.

## 5 <u>Equivalent Serial Execution:</u>

6 A compliant parallel program using this binding can be written so that it has an "equivalent serial 7 execution". A program has an "equivalent serial execution", if that program is written so that 8 the semantic features introduced by the parallel directives are rendered superfluous by the 9 construction of the code. Serial execution of such a program, achieved by ignoring directives, will 10 produce a result that is one of the possible results from the parallel execution of that parallel 11 program.

- 12 There are two features of a X3H5 parallel directives to be discussed when considering the serial 13 interpretation of a X3H5 compliant program:
- 14 A) Implicit and explicit synchronization points, and
- 15 B) The introduction of scoping at parallel constructs.
- Following this discussion, the X3H5 intrinsic functions will be examined in the context of serialexecution.
- 18 Coding to provide an equivalent serial execution is not a requirement when using the X3H5 19 directive binding, but ignores the primary advantage for use of directives. Unless otherwise 20 noted the examples in this appendix are coded so that they have an equivalent serial execution.

## 21 A.1.3 Synchronization and Serial Execution

- A parallel program is similar to a traffic grid synchronization is the system of traffic lights that keep multiple processes from "running into each other". When those streets are used by a single vehicle, it is free to ignore all of the lights without worry of a collision at an intersection.
- The single process execution requirement guarantees that a "serial process" may ignore the synchronization points (implicit or explicit) in a compliant parallel program without hazard. Those synchronization points can never block that single process. Because there is a single process executing the program, there is not need to communicate values of shared objects at synchronization points.

## 30 A.1.3.2 Scoping at Parallel Constructs and Serial Execution

The addition of a scope at the level of the parallel construct allows the mapping associated with a construct private object to change at the construct boundary. The definition/reference pattern for that object will determine whether change in storage association is significant to the semantics of the program when the construct is ignored. Naming private objects for a parallel construct uniquely from any objects used outside the scope of that construct is sufficient to ensure an equivalent serial execution. Uniquely naming the objects used within a parallel construct nullifies the effect of the new scope -- allowing the directives to be safely ignored.

## 5 Alternate Intrinsic Functions

6 Because the synchronization points in a serial execution will be ignored, the values of 7 synchronizers between synchronization points are meaningless. The intrinsic inquiry functions that 8 relate to binary states are specified to return fixed values that allow the serial process to proceed 9 undeterred.

Although the directive binding supports the INT function for ORDINALs, this function is not supported under serial execution. This is because ORDINAL synchronizers do not have a binary state and a suitable version of the INT function for serial use cannot be constructed. A program using the X3H5 directive binding that is to be interpreted serially can not use the INT function.

## 14 A.1.4 Terminology

15 A program using this directive binding has an "equivalent serial execution" if coded in a fashion 16 that ensures the result of its serial interpretation will be one of the results of the parallel 17 execution of the program.

18 A "directive sentinel" is the special pattern of characters that appears beginning in column 1, and 19 indicates that the line is to be interpreted as an X3H5 parallel directive. The X3H5 directive 20 sentinel is 'C\$PAR'.

## 21 A.1.5 Directives - General Usage Requirements in Parallel Programs

This set of directives is intended to be easily replaced, either manually or mechanically, by their corresponding language statements. Because of this, they may only be coded at statement boundaries.

## 25 A.1.5.1 Continued Directives

Unlike X3H5 parallel statements which may be continued by the conventional Fortran continuation mechanism, there is no mechanism in Fortran for comments of which directives are a special case. In the case of a long directive in a construct, the optional clauses may be combined with a "directive sentinel", to form an additional directive. Such a directive must immediately follow the base directive. The specifications of individual directives that may require continuation in this manner contain specific instructions.

## 32 A.1.6 Parallel Intrinsic Functions

1 A program utilizing the X3H5 directive binding uses the same set of intrinsic functions as in the 2 case of the language binding. These functions are specified in the main portion of this document.

## 3 A.1.6.1 Parallel Intrinsic Behavior for Equivalent Serial Execution

When a program with these parallel directives is to be executed serially, it is linked with an alternate library. In this library, fixed values are returned by intrinsic to reflect the values that are appropriate for a serial execution on a single processor computing system. The behavior of these functions is defined in the appropriate sections of this appendix, paralleling the corresponding sections in the body of this standard.

## 9 A.1.6.2 Functionality Not Supported Under Serial Interpretation

When the SET and POST directives for ORDINALs are ignored, a value to be returned by the INT function cannot be reconciled in a way that reflects the state of the sequence. Therefore, the INT function for ORDINAL data types can not be coded in a program that is to be interpreted serially.

## 14 A.2 Syntax Rules

- 15 A.2.1 Parallel Do Construct
- 16 A.2.1.1 Syntax
- 17 Directive Forms for Component Directives:
- 18 C\$PAR PARALLEL PDO [(option\_list)]
- 19 C\$PAR END [PARALLEL] DO
- 20 Structured As:

21C\$PARPARALLEL PDO [(option\_list)]22[C\$PARNEW obj\_list]23>> Fortran do-loop <<</td>24[C\$PAREND PARALLEL PDO]

## 25 A.2.1.2 Coding Rules

No executable statements may appear between the PARALLEL PDO directive and the beginningof the do-loop.

The coding of the END PARALLEL PDO directive is optional. If the END PARALLEL PDO
 directive is coded, no executable statements may appear between the last statement of the do-loop
 and the END PARALLEL PDO directive.

- 31
- 32 **A.2.1.3 Examples**

$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\end{array}$	Example 1	SUBROUTINE EX1 (A,B,C,E,T,N) REAL A(N),B(N),C(N+1),E(N),T
4 5 6 7 8 9	C\$PAR 10	PARALLEL PDO DO 10 I=1,N E(I) = A(I)*B(I) C(I+1) = E(I) * (T-1.0) CONTINUE END
10 11 12	Example 2	SUBROUTINE EX2 (A,B,C,E,T,N) REAL A(N),B(N),C(N+1),E(N),T
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C\$PAR C\$PAR END F	PARALLEL PDO DO I=1,N E(I) = A(I)*B(I) C(I+1) = E(I) * (T-1.0) END DO PARALLEL PDO END

- 20 A.2.2 Parallel Sections Construct
- 21 A.2.2.1 Syntax
- 22 Directive Forms for Component Directives:
- 23C\$PARPARALLEL SECTIONS [(qual\_list)]24C\$PARSECTION [/sec\_nm/] [WAIT (sec\_nm\_list)] [GUARDS (obj\_nm\_list)]
- 25 C\$PAR END [PARALLEL] SECTIONS
- 26 Structured As:

C\$PAR PARALLEL SECTIONS [(option\_list)]] [C\$PAR NEW obj\_list] C\$PAR SECTION ... >> statements << [ ... zero or more additional section blocks ] C\$PAR END PARALLEL SECTIONS

#### 33 A.2.2.2 Interpretation

A "section block" is composed of a SECTION directive followed by some number of executable
 Fortran statements. The end of a section block is signalled by the next SECTION or END
 PARALLEL SECTIONS directive.

The WAIT and GUARDS clauses may appear as separate directives immediately following the corresponding SECTION directive. This is achieved by coding a line with the directive sentinel and the particular clause. Multiple instances of the WAIT and GUARDS clauses associated with a particular SECTION directive are additive, having the same effect as if they had appeared in a single clause for that section block.

#### A.2.2.3 Examples

```
Example 3
                SUBROUTINE EX3 (A, B, C, D, E, F, N)
                REAL A(N), B(N), C(N), D(N), E(N), F(N)
                PARALLEL SECTIONS
        C$PAR
        C$PAR
                SECTION
                  DO 10 I=1,N
                     A(I) = B(I) * C(I)
            10
                  CONTINUE
        C$PAR
                SECTION
                  DO 20 J=1,M
                     D(I) = F(J) / E(I)
            20
                  CONTINUE
        C$PAR
                END PARALLEL SECTIONS
                END
Example 4
                SUBROUTINE EX4 (A, B, C, D, E, F, N)
                REAL A(N), B(N), C(N), D(N), E(N), F(N)
        C$PAR
                PARALLEL SECTIONS
        C$PAR
                SECTION
        C$PAR
                  PARALLEL PDO
                  DO I=1,N
                     A(I) = B(I) * C(I)
                  END DO
        C$PAR
                SECTION
        C$PAR
                  PARALLEL PDO
                  DO J=1,M
                     D(I) = F(J) / E(I)
                  END DO
                END PARALLEL SECTIONS
        C$PAR
                END
Example 5
                SUBROUTINE EX5 (Z,ZA,ZB,ZC,ZD,ZE)
                REAL Z(5)
        C$PAR
                PARALLEL SECTIONS (ORDERED)
        C$PAR
                SECTION /A/
                  ZA = ZFUNC(Z(1))
        C$PAR
                SECTION /B/
                  ZB = 2 \times ZFUNC(Z(2))
        C$PAR
                SECTION /C/ WAIT (A)
                  ZC = ZA * ZA + ZFUNC(Z(3))
        C$PAR
                SECTION /D/ WAIT (A,B)
                  ZD = ZB - ZA + ZFUNC(Z(4))
                SECTION /E/ WAIT (C,B)
        C$PAR
                  ZE = ZC - ZB + ZFUNC(Z(5))
        C$PAR
                END PARALLEL SECTIONS
                END
Example 6
                SUBROUTINE EX6
                REAL Z(10)
  C$PAR SCOMMON /Z/
     COMMON /Z/ ZB,ZD,ZE,ZTOT
        C$PAR
                PARALLEL SECTIONS
                SECTION /A/
        C$PAR
                  ZA = ZFUNC(Z(1))
```

53 54 55

1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C\$PAR SECTION /BC/ ZB = ZFUNC(Z(2)) ZC = ZFUNC(Z(3)) C\$PAR SECTION /D/ WAIT (A) ZD = ZFUNC(ZA) C\$PAR SECTION /E/ WAIT (A,BC) GUARDS (ZA,ZC) ZE = ZJOIN(ZA,ZC)) C\$PAR END PARALLEL SECTIONS ZTOT = ZJOINS(ZE,ZD,ZB) END
11 12 13 14 15	Example 6A SUBROUTINE EX6A REAL Z(10) C\$PAR SCOMMON /Z/ COMMON /Z/ ZB,ZD,ZE,ZTOT
$16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 30$	C\$PAR PARALLEL SECTIONS C\$PAR SECTION /A/ ZA = ZFUNC(Z(1)) C\$PAR SECTION /BC/ ZB = ZFUNC(Z(2)) ZC = ZFUNC(Z(3)) C\$PAR SECTION /D/ WAIT (A) ZD = ZFUNC(ZA) C\$PAR SECTION /E/ C\$PAR SECTION /E/ C\$PAR WAIT (A,BC) C\$PAR GUARDS (ZA,ZC) ZE = ZJOIN(ZA,ZC)) C\$PAR END PARALLEL SECTIONS ZTOT = ZJOINs(ZE,ZD,ZB) END

31This example derived from example 6 illustrates how a long SECTIONdirective may be32"continued" by decomposing it into components.

## 33 A.2.3 Synchronization Declarations

- 34 A.2.3.1 Syntax
- 35 Directive Forms
- 36C\$PARGATE declarator\_list37C\$PAREVENT declarator\_list38C\$PARORDINAL declarator\_list
- 39 C\$PAR GUARDS guards\_list
- 40 Directive Forms
- 41 C\$PAR IMPLICIT sync\_type
- 42 Structured As

43 C\$	PAR IMPLICIT IMPLICIT	>"just-list-an-implicit-range"_list<
		Just

where
 sync\_type is one of GATE, EVENT or ORDINAL

## 3 A.2.3.2 Coding Rules

Variables identified in a GATE or EVENT declaration directive shall be Fortran variables that
 occupy exactly one numeric storage location. Variables identified in an ORDINAL declaration
 shall be Fortran variables that occupy exactly two numeric storage locations. An X3H5
 compliant compiler shall verify the storage requirements and flag noncompliance as an error.

- 8 The GATE, EVENT and ORDINAL directives are specifications, and may be coded anywhere 9 a Fortran specification statement may be coded.
- 10 The IMPLICIT directive must appear immediately preceding the Fortran IMPLICIT statement
- 11 to which it applies. The "IMPLICIT directive/IMPLICIT statement" pairs may be coded anywhere
- 12 a Fortran IMPLICIT statement may be coded.

## 13 A.2.4 Unstructured Locking Synchronization

- 14 A.2.4.1 Syntax
- 15 Directive Forms
- 16 C\$PAR GETLOCK (gate) [GUARDS (obj\_nm\_list)]
- 17 C\$PAR UNLOCK (gate) [GUARDS (obj\_nm\_list)]

18 The GUARDS clause may appear as separate directive immediately following the corresponding 19 GETLOCK or UNLOCK directive. This is achieved by coding a line with the directive sentinel 20 and the particular GUARDS clause. Multiple instances of the GUARDS clauses associated with 21 a particular GETLOCK or UNLOCK directive are additive, having the same effect as if they had 22 appeared in a single clause.

## 23 **A.2.4.2 Examples**

24 25 26 27 28	Example 7 C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX7 (A,B) REAL B(0:100) GATE A INTEGER AA
29 30 31 32	C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	PARALLEL PDO NEW T DO I=1,10 T = B(I) * B(I-1)
33 34	C\$PAR	LOCK (A) SUM = SUM + T
34 35 36	C\$PAR	UNLOCK (A) END DO
37	C\$PAR	END PARALLEL PDO

1		END
2 3 4 5	Example 8	SUBROUTINE EX8 (A,B,SUM) REAL B(0:100)
5	C\$PAR	GATE A
6 7 8	C\$PAR C\$PAR	GUARDS A(SUM) UNLOCK (A) SUM = 0.0
9 10 11 12	C\$PAR C\$PAR	PARALLEL PDO NEW T DO I=1,10 T = B(I) * B(I-1)
11 12 13 14 15	C\$PAR	GETLOCK (A)
15	C\$PAR	SUM = SUM + T UNLOCK (A)
16 17 18	C\$PAR	END DO END PARALLEL PDO END
19	Note that variab	le A defaults to type REAL, having one numeric storage unit as required.
20 21 22 23 24	Example 9	SUBROUTINE EX9 (NAME,A) CHARACTER*(*) NAME CHARACTER*10 PG
	C\$PAR	GATE A
25 26 27 28 29 30 31		IF ( LOCKED (A) ) THEN PG = "LOCKED" ELSE PG = "UNLOCKED" ENDIF PRINT *,"GATE ",name," is ",PG END
32	Example 10	
32 33 34	C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX10 (A) GATE A
35 36 37 38 39 40	5	IF (.NOT. LOCK(A)) THEN CALL USEFUL GO TO 5 ENDIF CALL UPDATE
40	C\$PAR	UNLOCK (A) END
42 43	Example 11	
43	C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX11 (A) GATE A
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	5	IF (LOCKED (A)) THEN CALL USEFUL GO TO 5 ELSE
49 50	C\$PAR	GETLOCK(A) CALL UPDATE
51 52 53	C\$PAR	UNLOCK (A) ENDIF END

#### 1 A.2.4.2.1 Function Values for GATEs in Serial Execution

The X3H5 directive binding uses the same intrinsic functions as specified for the X3H5 Fortran
language. These functions are specified in the body of this standard.

A program containing these functions that is to be executed serially should be bound to a set of corresponding intrinsic that always return a value that indicates that the synchronizer is "open".

6	function name	value returned
7	LOCKED(gate_name)	.FALSE.
8	LOCK(gate_name)	.TRUE.

- 9 A.2.5 Critical Sections
- 10 A.2.5.1 Syntax
- 11 Directive Forms
- 12 C\$PAR CRITICAL SECTION [(gate)] [GUARDS (obj\_nm\_list)]
- 13 C\$PAR END CRITICAL SECTION [(gate)]
- 14 Structured As

15	C\$PAR	CRIT	TICAL	SECT	TION	
16			>sta	teme	nts<	
17	C\$PAR	END	CRIT	ICAL	SECTION	

18 The GUARDS clause may appear as separate directive immediately following the corresponding 19 CRITICAL SECTION directive. This is achieved by coding a line with the directive sentinel and 20 the particular GUARDS clause. Multiple instances of the GUARDS clauses associated with a 21 particular CRITICAL SECTION directive are additive, having the same effect as if they had 22 appeared in a single clause.

#### 23 A.2.5.1 Examples

24 25 26 27	Example 12 C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX12 (A,B,SUM) REAL B(0:100) GATE A
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	UNLOCK(A) PARALLEL PDO NEW T DO I=1,10 T = B(I) * B(I-1)
33 34	C\$PAR	CRITICAL SECTION (A) SUM = SUM + T
35 36	C\$PAR	END CRITICAL SECTION (A) END DO
37 38	C\$PAR	END PARALLEL PDO END

Example 13	SUBROUTINE EX13 (A,B,SUM) REAL B(0:100)
C\$PAR	GATE A
C\$PAR C\$PAR	GUARDS A(SUM) UNLOCK(A) SUM = 0.0
C\$PAR C\$PAR	
C\$PAR	$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{CRITICAL} & \text{SECTION} & (A) \\ & T &= B(I) & * B(I-1) \\ & \text{SUM} &= & \text{SUM} + & T \end{array}$
C\$PAR	END CRITICAL SECTION END DO
C\$PAR	END PARALLEL PDO END
Example 14	SUBROUTINE EX14 (A,B,SUM)
C\$PAR	REAL B(0:100) GATE A
C\$PAR	UNLOCK(A) SUM = 0.0
C\$PAR C\$PAR	PARALLEL PDO NEW T DO I=1,10
C\$PAR	T = B(I) * B(I-1) CRITICAL SECTION (A) GUARDS(SUM) SUM = SUM + T
C\$PAR	END CRITICAL SECTION END DO
C\$PAR	END PARALLEL PDO END
Example 15	
	SUBROUTINE EX15 (A,B,MAXA,GMAXA,N) REAL A(N), B(N), MAXA
C\$PAR C\$PAR	GATE GMAXA GUARDS GMAXA(MAXA)
C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	PARALLEL SECTIONS NEW AM SECTION AM = A(1) DO 10 I=2,N
10 C\$PAR	IF(AM.LT.A(I))AM=A(I) CONTINUE CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA) IF(MAXA.LT.AM) MAXA=AM
C\$PAR	END CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
C\$PAR C\$PAR	SECTION CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
C\$PAR	AM=MAXA END CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA) DO 20 I=1,N
20 C\$PAR	B(I)=B(I)/AM CONTINUE END PARALLEL SECTIONS

 $\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array}$ 

86

END

Example 16	SUBROUTINE EX16 (A,B,MAXA,GMAXA,N)
<i>a</i> +===	REAL A(N), B(N), MAXA
C\$PAR C\$PAR	GATE GMAXA GUARDS GMAXA(MAXA)
CŞPAR	GUARDS GMAXA (MAXA)
C\$PAR	PARALLEL SECTIONS
C\$PAR	NEW AM
C\$PAR	SECTION
C\$PAR	CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
C\$PAR	AM=MAXA END CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
CŲTAIC	DO 10 I=2,N IF(AM.LT.A(I)) THEN
C\$PAR	CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA) IF(MAXA.LT.A(I)) MAXA=A(I)
	AM=MAXA
C\$PAR	END CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA) ENDIF
10	CONTINUE
C\$PAR	SECTION
	DO 20 I=1,N
C\$PAR	CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
C\$PAR	B(I)=B(I)/MAXA END CRITICAL SECTION (GMAXA)
20	CONTINUE
C\$PAR	END PARALLEL SECTIONS
- 1	END
Example 17	SUBROUTINE EX17 (B,SUM)
	REAL B(0:100)
C\$PAR	SUM = 0.0 PARALLEL PDO
C\$PAR	NEW T
	DO I=1, 10
CODAD	T = B(I) * B(I-1)
C\$PAR	CRITICAL SECTION GUARDS(SUM) SUM = SUM + T
C\$PAR	END CRITICAL SECTION
	END DO
	END
Example 18	
1	SUBROUTINE EX18 (B,SUM,PROD)
	REAL B(100)
C\$PAR	PARALLEL SECTIONS
C\$PAR	NEW T
C\$PAR	SECTION
	T = 0.0
	DO 10 I=1,10
	T = T + B(I)
C\$PAR	CRITICAL SECTION GUARDS(SUM) SUM = T
C\$PAR	END CRITICAL SECTION
C\$PAR	SECTION
	T = 1.0
20	DO 20 I=1,10 T = T * $B(I)$
20	$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{T})$

1 2 3 4 5	C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	CRITICAL SECTION GUARDS(PROD) PROD = T END CRITICAL SECTION END PARALLEL SECTIONS END
6 7 8 9	Example 20 C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX20 (B,SUM) REAL B(0:100) GATE A
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	UNLOCK(A) PARALLEL PDO NEW T DO I=1,10 T = B(I) * B(I-1) CRITICAL SECTION (A) SUM = SUM + T END CRITICAL SECTION (A) END DO END
20 21 22 23	Example 21 C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX21 (A,B,SUM) REAL B(0:100) GATE A
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	UNLOCK(A) PARALLEL PDO DO I=1,10 CRITICAL SECTION (A) GUARDS(SUM) SUM = SUM + B(I) * B(I-1) END CRITICAL SECTION (A) END DO END
32	A.2.6 Event Synch	ronization
33	A.2.6.1 Syntax	

34 Directive Forms

35	C\$PAR	<pre>POST (event) [GUARDS (obj_nm_list)]</pre>
36	C\$PAR	WAIT (event) [GUARDS (obj_nm_list)]
37	C\$PAR	CLEAR (event) [GUARDS (obj_nm_list)]

The GUARDS clause may appear as separate directive immediately following the corresponding POST, WAIT, CLEAR directive. This is achieved by coding a line with the directive sentinel and the particular GUARDS clause. Multiple instances of the GUARDS clauses associated with a particular POST, WAIT, CLEAR directive are additive, having the same effect as if they had appeared in a single clause.

43	Example 22	
44		SUBROUTINE EX22 (B,E)
45		REAL B(100),C
46	C\$PAR	EVENT E(100)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	PARALLEL PDO DO I=1,97 IF (I .lt. 4) THEN POST (E(I)) ELSE CLEAR (E(I)) ENDIF END DO
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) NEW C DO I=4,100 C = SIN(B(I)) WAIT (E(I-3)) B(I) = B(I) + B(I-3)*C POST (E(I)) END DO
17		END

#### 18 A.2.6.1.1 Function Values for Events in Serial Execution

A program containing these functions that is to be executed serially should be bound to a set of corresponding intrinsic that always return a value that indicates that the synchronizer is "open".

21	function name	value returned
22	POSTED(event_name)	.TRUE.

#### 23 A.2.7 Ordinal (Sequence) Synchronization

- 24 A.2.7.1 Syntax
- 25 Directive Forms

) /	C\$PAR POST	(seq, iexpl) [GUARDS (obj_nm_list)]
8	C\$PAR	WAIT (seq, iexp2) [GUARDS (obj_nm_list)]
)	C\$PAR	CLEAR (seq[, iexp3[, iexp4]]) [GUARDS (obj_nm_list)]

The GUARDS clause may appear as separate directive immediately following the corresponding POST, WAIT, CLEAR directive. This is achieved by coding a line with the directive sentinel and the particular GUARDS clause. Multiple instances of the GUARDS clauses associated with a particular POST, WAIT, CLEAR directive are additive, having the same effect as if they had appeared in a single clause.

36 37 38 39 40	Example 24 C\$PAR C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX24 (B,SUM) REAL B(100),SUM(100) ORDINAL A GUARDS A(SUM)
41 42 43	C\$PAR C\$PAR	SUM(1) = 0.0 SET (A) PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED)

C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	NEW T DO I=2,10 T = B(I) * B(I-1) WAIT (A,I-1) SUM(I) = SUM(I-1) + T POST (A,I) END DO
Example 25 C\$PAR C\$PAR	END SUBROUTINE EX25 (B,SUM) REAL B(100),SUM(100) EVENT AA(100) GUARDS AA(SUM)
C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	PARALLEL PDO DO I=2,100 CLEAR (AA(I)) END DO
C\$PAR C\$PAR	
C\$PAR C\$PAR	WAIT $(AA(I-1))$ SUM(I) = SUM(I-1) + T POST $(AA(I))$ END DO
Example 26 C\$PAR	END SUBROUTINE EX26 (B,C,N) REAL B(N),C(N) PARAMETER (MAXN=1000) EVENT E(MAXN)
C\$PAR	PARALLEL PDO
C\$PAR C\$PAR	DO 10 I=1,N IF (I .lt. 4) THEN POST (E(I)) ELSE CLEAR (E(I)) ENDIF
10 C\$PAR	CONTINUE PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) DO 20 I=4,N C(I) = FUNC(B(I))
C\$PAR	WAIT $(E(I-3))$ GUARDS $(B(I-3))$ B(I) = B(I) + B(I-3)*C(I)
C\$PAR 20	POST (E(I)) GUARDS (B(I)) CONTINUE END
Example 27	SUBROUTINE EX27 (B,C,N) REAL B(N),C(N)
C\$PAR C\$PAR	ORDINAL E GUARDS E(B)
C\$PAR C\$PAR	SET (E,3) PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) DO 20 I=4,N

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
9 10 11 12 13
12345678 901123 45678901123 45678901123 45678901123 45678901123 45678901123 45678901123 45678901222222222222222222222222222222222222
29 30 31 32 33
$\begin{array}{c} 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 445\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ \end{array}$
50 51 52 53 54
55 56 57

1 2 3 4 5 6	C\$PAR C\$PAR 20	C(I) = FUNC(B(I)) WAIT (E, I-3)) B(I) = B(I) + B(I-3)*C(I) POST (E,I) CONTINUE END
7 8 9 10 11	Example 28 C\$PAR C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX28 (A,B,C,N1,N2,N3) REAL A(*),B(*),C(*) ORDINAL D GUARDS D(C)
$12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25$	C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR 10 C\$PAR C\$PAR 20 C\$PAR	
26 27 28 29	Example 29 C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX29 (B) REAL B(100) ORDINAL A
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR C\$PAR	SET $(A,2)$ PARALLEL PDO (ORDERED) NEW T DO I=1,99 T = B(I+1) POST $(A,I+1)$ B(I) = T END DO B(100) = 0.0 END

#### 40 A.2.7.1.1 Function Values for Counters in Serial Execution

The X3H5 intrinsic function INT(ordnl\_var) will not produce a correct result under serial interpretation. If one expects to run a directive based parallel program serially, this function should not be used.

- 44 A.3 Data Sharing
- 45 A.3.1 Data Sharing Directives
- 46 A.3.1.1 Syntax
- 47 Directive Forms

1 C\$PAR NEW obj\_nm\_list

#### 2 **A.3.1.2 Rules**

3 The NEW directive may only appear within a PARALLEL, PARALLEL PDO or PARALLEL

4 SECTIONS construct. It appear with other NEW directives after the PARALLEL directive and 5 the first executable statement.

#### 6 A.3.2 Partially Shared Common Blocks

- 7 A.3.2.1 Syntax
- 8 Directive Forms
- 9 C\$PAR SCOMMON sname\_list
- 10 Structured As

```
11 C$PAR SCOMMON /COMM1/
12 COMMON /COMM1/ A(99), B(99,73), X, Y, ZZ
```

#### 13 A.3.2.2 Rules

14 The SCOMMON directive shall be located immediately before common block that is to be 15 interpreted as an SCOMMON block.

16 COMMONs and SCOMMONs occupy the same name space, therefore if a COMMON block is 17 associated with an SCOMMON directive anywhere in a parallel program, it shall have an 18 associated SCOMMON directive everywhere that it occurs.

19 20 21 22 23	Example	40 C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX40 (B) SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A(100) REAL B(100)
24 25 26 27 28 29		C\$PAR	<pre>PARALLEL PDO DO I=1,100 A(I) = I * I B(I) = A(I) + B(I) END DO END</pre>
30 31 32	Example REA	41 L B(100	SUBROUTINE EX41 (B) ))
33 34 35 36 37	DO C	I=1,100 ALL SUP DO	

C\$PAR A=X	SCOMM	E SUB(X) ON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A
CAI X=7 ENI		RE
SUE		E SQUARE SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A
A=Z ENI	A*A D	
Example	41A	
	C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX41A (B) SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A REAL B(100)
		PARALLEL PDO NEW /BLOCKA/ DO I=1,100 CALL SUB(B(I)) END DO END
	C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE SUB (X) SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A A = X CALL SQUARE END
	C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE SQUARE SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A A = A*A END
Example	45	SUBROUTINE EX45 (B) REAL B(100), C(100)
	C\$PAR	PARALLEL PDO DO I=1,100
C	CALL SUE	CALL SUB1(B(I)) 32(C(I)) END DO PRINT *, (C(I), I = 1, 100) END
	C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE SUB1 (X) SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A SAVE /BLOCKA/ A = X END
	C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE SUB2 (X) SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A SAVE /BLOCKA/

 $\begin{array}{c}
 1 \\
 2 \\
 3 \\
 4 \\
 5 \\
 6 \\
 7 \\
 8 \\
 9 \\
 10 \\
 11 \\
 12 \\
 \end{array}$ 

$\frac{1}{2}$		X = A END
3 4 5 6 7	Example 46 C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE EX46 (B) REAL B(100), C(100) SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C\$PAR C\$PAR	<pre>PARALLEL PDO NEW /BLOCKA/ DO I=1,100 CALL SUB1(B(I)) CALL SUB2(C(I)) END DO PRINT *, (C(I), I = 1, 100) END</pre>
17 18 19 20	C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE SUB1 (X) SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A A = X END
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	C\$PAR	SUBROUTINE SUB2 (X) SCOMMON /BLOCKA/ COMMON /BLOCKA/ A X = A END
28 29 30	Example 39 SUBROUTIN	E EX39(B,C,N) REAL B(N),C(N)
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	C\$PAR NEW A PARALLEL A=B(I)+	PDO I=1,N
38 39 40	SUBROUTIN REAL BB(N DATA BX/1	
41 42 43 44 45 46	BX=AA*(AA C\$PAR PARAL DO J=1,N BB(J)=B END DO END	LEL PDO
47	A.4 Parallel Regi	on Construct
48	A.4.1 Syntax	
49	Directive Forms -	Parallel Region parallel construct
50	C\$PAR PARALL	EL [(roption_list)]
51	רלים דאים האים האים האים האים האים האים האים ה	סאדד דיד

51 C\$PAR END PARALLEL

component directives

1	Structured As
2 3 4 5	C\$PAR PARALLEL [(roption_list)] [C\$PAR NEW obj_list] >> Statements <<
5	C\$PAR END PARALLEL
6	Directive Forms - Pdo worksharing construct component directives
7	C\$PAR PDO [(poption_list]
8	C\$PAR END PDO
9	Structured As:
10 11 12	C\$PAR PDO >> legal do loop << [C\$PAR END PDO]
13	Directive Forms - Psections worksharing construct component directives
14	C\$PAR PSECTIONS [(poption_list)]
15	C\$PAR SECTION [/sec_nm/] [wait (sec_nm_list)] [GUARDS(obj_nm_list)]
16	C\$PAR END PSECTIONS
17	Structured As:
18 19 20 21 22	C\$PAR PSECTIONS C\$PAR SECTION >> statements << [ zero or more section blocks ] C\$PAR END PSECTIONS
23	Directive Forms - Grouping construct component directives
24	C\$PAR GROUP [(poption_list)]
25	C\$PAR END GROUP
26	Structured As:
27 28 29 30 31 32	<pre>C\$PAR GROUP [(goption_list)]     &gt;&gt; statements &lt;&lt; ! replicated code for wsc 1     &gt;&gt; worksharing construct 1 &lt;&lt;     &gt;&gt; statements &lt;&lt; ! replicated code for wsc 1     [ zero or more redundant-code/worksharing blocks ] C\$PAR END GROUP</pre>

The WAIT and GUARDS clauses may appear as separate directives immediately following the corresponding SECTION directive. This is achieved by coding a line with the directive sentinel and the particular clause. Multiple instances of the WAIT and GUARDS clauses associated with a particular SECTION directive are additive, having the same effect as if they had appeared in a single clause for that section block. Example 48 SUBROUTINE EX48 (A,B,C,N) REAL A(N), B(N), C(N)C\$PAR PARALLEL PDO C\$PAR NEW T DO I=1,N T = A(I) \* B(I)C(I+1) = T \* (T-1.0)END DO END Example 49 SUBROUTINE EX49 (A, B, C, N) REAL A(N), B(N), C(N)C\$PAR PARALLEL C\$PAR NEW T C\$PAR PDO DO I=1,N T = A(I) \* B(I)C(I+1) = T \* (T-1.0)END DO C\$PAR END PARALLEL END Example 50 SUBROUTINE EX50 (ZA,ZB,ZC,ZD,N) REAL ZA(N), ZB(N), ZC(N), ZD(N) C\$PAR PARALLEL SECTIONS C\$PAR NEW T C\$PAR SECTION /DS5A/ DO 10 I=1,N T = ZFUNC(ZA(I))ZC(I) = T \* T10 CONTINUE C\$PAR SECTION /DS5B/ DO 20 I=1,N T = ZFUNC(ZB(I)-ZA(I))ZD(I) = T \* T20 CONTINUE C\$PAR END PARALLEL SECTIONS END Example 51 SUBROUTINE EX51 (ZA,ZB,ZC,ZD,N) REAL ZA(N), ZB(N), ZC(N), ZD(N)C\$PAR PARALLEL C\$PAR NEW T C\$PAR PSECTIONS C\$PAR SECTION /DS5A/ DO 10 I=1,N T = ZFUNC(ZA(I))ZC(I) = T \* T10 CONTINUE C\$PAR SECTION /DS5B/ DO 20 I=1,N T = ZFUNC(ZB(I) - ZA(I))ZD(I) = T \* T20 CONTINUE C\$PAR END PSECTIONS

1 2 3

456789

10

11 12 13

23 24 25

 $\begin{array}{r} 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 55\\ 55\\ 57\\ \end{array}$ 

96

```
C$PAR END PARALLEL
               END
Example 52
     SUBROUTINE EX52 (A)
               REAL A(*)
               GATE B
               GUARDS B(SUM)
               UNLOCK(B)
               SUM=0.0
        C$PAR
               PARALLEL
        C$PAR
               NEW SUML
                  SUML = 0.0
        C$PAR
                  PDO
                  DO I=1,N
                     SUML = SUML + A(I)
                  END DO
                  CRITICAL SECTION (B)
        C$PAR
                    SUM = SUM + SUML
        C$PAR
                  END CRITICAL SECTION (B)
        C$PAR
               END PARALLEL
               END
```

All team members initialize SUML and execute the Critical Section construct regardless of whether they participated in the execution of the Pdo construct.

```
Example 52A
     SUBROUTINE EX52A (A)
               REAL A(*)
                GATE B
                GUARDS B(SUM)
                UNLOCK(B)
                SUM=0.0
        C$PAR
                PARALLEL
        C$PAR
               NEW SUML
  C$PAR
            GROUP
                    SUML = 0.0
        C$PAR
                    PDO
                    DO I=1,N (NOWAIT)
                       SUML = SUML + A(I)
                    END DO
        C$PAR
                    CRITICAL SECTION (B)
                      SUM = SUM + SUML
        C$PAR
                    END CRITICAL SECTION (B)
        C$PAR
                  END GROUP
        C$PAR
                END PARALLEL
                END
```

In this example, derived from EX52, team members to not enter the Group construct once all work in the Pdo construct has been assigned. Use of the Group construct helps prevent unnecessary executions of the Critical Section construct. Typical of Group construct usage, this example shows a pattern of private object initialization, worksharing construct execution, and reduction into a shared variable.

```
51 Example 53
52 SUBROUTINE EX53 (A,B,C,D,N,M)
```

1	REAL $A(N)$ , $B(N)$ , $C(N)$ , $D(N)$
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C\$PAR PARALLEL C\$PAR PDO DO I=1,N A(I) = B(I) * C(I) END DO
7 8 9 10	C\$PAR PDO DO I=1,M D(I) = A(I) - C(I) END DO
11 12	C\$PAR END PARALLEL END
13 14 15	Example 54 SUBROUTINE EX54 (A,C,N,M) REAL A(N,0:M),C(N,M)
16 17	C\$PAR PARALLEL DO 10 J=1,M
18 19	C\$PAR PDO DO I=1,N A(I,J) = C(I,J)/A(I,J-1) END DO
20 21 22 23 24	10 CONTINUE C\$PAR END PARALLEL END
25	A.4.2 Single Process Sections
26	A.4.2.1 Syntax
27	Directive Forms
28	C\$PAR SINGLE PROCESS
29	C\$PAR END SINGLE PROCESS
30	Structured as
31 32 33	C\$PAR SINGLE PROCESS >> Statements << C\$PAR END SINGLE PROCESS
34 35 36	Example 55 SUBROUTINE EX55 (A,B,N) REAL A(N),B(N)
37 38 39 40	C\$PAR PARALLEL C\$PAR PDO DO I=1,N A(I) = 1.0 / A(I)
41 42	END DO C\$PAR SINGLE PROCESS
43 44 45 46 47	IF ( A(1) .GT. 1.0 ) A(1) = 1.0 C\$PAR END SINGLE PROCESS C\$PAR PDO DO I=1,N B(I) = B(I) / A(1)
48	E(1) = E(1) + R(1) END DO

1 2 C\$PAR END PARALLEL END Example 56 SUBROUTINE EX56 (A,B,N) REAL A(N), B(N) PARALLEL C\$PAR C\$PAR PDO DO I=1,N A(I) = 1.0 / A(I)END DO PSECTIONS C\$PAR C\$PAR SECTION IF (A(1) .GT. 1.0) A(1) = 1.0C\$PAR END PSECTIONS C\$PAR PDO DO I=1,N B(I) = B(I) / A(1)END DO C\$PAR END PARALLEL END Example 57 SUBROUTINE EX57 (A, AMAX, N) REAL A(0:N) 25 26 27 AMAX = 0.0C\$PAR PARALLEL C\$PAR NEW ALMAX 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 C\$PAR GROUP C\$PAR PDO (NOWAIT)) DO I=1,N IF (ABS(A(I)) .GT. ABS(ALMAX)) ALMAX = A(I)END DO C\$PAR CRITICAL SECTION IF ( ABS(ALMAX) .GT. ABS(AMAX) ) AMAX = ALMAX C\$PAR END CRITICAL SECTION END GROUP C\$PAR 37 38 39 40 C\$PAR SINGLE PROCESS ALMAX = A(1) + A(N)IF ( AMAX .LT. ALMAX ) AMAX = 1.0 + AMAX C\$PAR END SINGLE PROCESS 41 42 C\$PAR PDO DO I=1,N 43 A(I) = ABS(A(I) / AMAX)44 END DO 45 C\$PAR END PARALLEL 46 END

- 47 A.5 Exits from Parallel Constructs
- 48 A.5.1 Syntax
- 49 Directive Forms

$\frac{1}{2}$	C\$PAR PDONE
2 3 4 5 6	Example 3 SUBROUTINE EX3 (A,N,*) REAL A(N) LOGICAL FOUND
7	FOUND=.FALSE.
8	C\$PAR PARALLEL PDO
9	DO I=1,N
10	IF ( A(I) .EQ. 0.0 ) THEN
11	C\$PAR PDONE
12	FOUND=.TRUE.
13	ENDIF
14	END DO
15	IF ( .NOT. FOUND ) THEN
16	PRINT*,'ALL ELEMENTS ARE NON-ZERO'
17	RETURN 0
18	ELSE
19	PRINT*,'ERROR: THERE IS A ZERO ELEMENT IN A'
20	ENDIF
21	END

Note that because the PDONE directive/statement is not preemptive, it may be coded anywhere in the conditional above with the same effect.

#### 24 A.6 Extended Intrinsic

#### 25 A.6.1 Parallel Intrinsic Functions

- 26 The X3H5 directive binding uses the same intrinsic functions as specified
- 27 for the X3H5 Fortran language. These functions are specified in the
- body of this standard.

#### 29 A.6.2 Definition of Serial Execution Library

30	Intrinsic	/alue Returned
31	INTEGER FUNCTION NPRCFG(	) 1
32	INTEGER FUNCTION MPRTOT	) 1
33	INTEGER FUNCTION NPRAVL(	) 0
34	INTEGER FUNCTION NPRUSE(	) 1
35	INTEGER FUNCTION NPSCFG()	1
36	INTEGER FUNCTION MPSTOT(	) 1
37	INTEGER FUNCTION NPSAVL(	) 0
38	INTEGER FUNCTION NPSUSE()	1
39	INTEGER FUNCTION NPSTM()	1
40	SUBROUTINE SPRTOT(integer-e	xpr) none, routine has no effect
41	SUBROUTINE SPSTOT(integer-ex	xpr) none, routine has no effect

1 A parallel-region-construct is: 2345678910112131415161771819[name:] PARALLEL [(parallel-option)] data-sharing-spec parallel-body END PARALLEL [name] where parallel-option is MAX PARALLEL = int-expr ORDERED MAX PARALLEL = int-expr, ORDERED ORDERED, MAX PARALLEL = int-expr parallel-body is statements parallel-construct parallel-construct is parallel-region-construct | pdo-construct psections-construct group-construct parallel-pdo-construct parallel-psections-construct | single-process-construct 20 21 Contstraint: If the parallel-construct has a name prefix, then the it must have the same name as a suffix. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 data-sharing-spec is new-stmt | use-stmt type-declaration-stmt specification-stmt parameter-stmt format-stmt pointer-stmt [data-sharing-spec] 30 new-stmt is NEW variable-list 31 32 Constraint: specification-stmt shall not contain an access-stmt, common-stmt, data-stmt, optional-stmt, equivalence-stmt, derived-type-stmt, or save-stmt. [name:] PDO [(parallel-options)] parallel-body END PDO [name] [name:] PSECTION sections END PSECTIONS [name] 39 where 40 sections is [sections section] section is SECTION [name] [WAIT (name-list)] 41 42 parallel-region 43 PARALLEL PDO iter-specification parallel-option-list [name:] 44 data-sharing-spec 45 parallel-body 46 END PARALLEL PDO [name]

1 2 3 4	[name:	] PARALLEL PSECTIONS [parallel-options] data-sharing-spec sections END PARALLEL PSECTIONS [name]
5 6 7	[name:	] GROUP [(group-option)] parallel-body END GROUP [name]
8	where	
9		group-option is NOWAIT
10 11 12 13 14 15	R503	attr-spec is PARAMETER or access-spec or ALLOCATABLE or DIMENSION ( array-spec ) or EXTERNAL NEW or guards-spec
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		or INTENT ( intent-spec ) or INTRINSIC or OPTIONAL or POINTER or SAVE or TARGET
22	X707	guards-spec is GUARDS ( guarded-obj-list )
23 24 25 26	X708	guarded-obj is variable-name or array-element or array-section or substring
27 28 29	CONSTR	CAINT: each subscript, substring, or section-subscript in a <i>guards-spec</i> must be an integer initialization expression (see Fortran 7.1.6.1)
30 31 32	X709	critical-block is critical-stmt block end-critical-stmt
33 34		critical-stmt is CRITICAL SECTION [ ( scalar-latch-variable ) ] rds-spec ]
35 36	X711 ) ]	end-critical-stmt is END CRITICAL SECTION [ ( scalar-latch-variable
37 38 39 40	CONSTR	PAINT: If the end-critical-section-stmt specifies a scalar-latch-variable, the corresponding critical-section-stmt shall specify the same scalar-latch-variable.
41 42 43 44 45 46 47	or GUARDS	G (guarded-list) sync-object G :: sync-guards-list guarded is variable-name, array-name, array-element, array-section,

module-name, or /common-block-name/ and sync-guards-list is sync-object (guarded-list) [, sync-guards-list]

#### 1 C.0 Lex/Yacc Syntax Rules (Informative)

The following is a simple Yacc grammar for recognizing X3H5 extensions for Fortran. This is an informative exercise to help keep the X3H5 grammar consistent and parsable by a simple parser.

- 5 It also might be a useful starting point for building real grammar rules for X3H5 Fortran 6 extensions.
- 7 %{
- 8 #include <stdio.h>
- 9 %}
- 10 %union {
- 11 char string[33];
- 12 }
- 13 %token PARALLEL MAX\_PARALLEL WAIT GUARDS ORDERED NAME VARIABLE
- 14 %token SECTION BLOCK PARALLEL\_PSECTIONS PSECTIONS PARALLEL\_PDO15 INTEGER
- 16 %token PDO INT\_EXPR TYPE\_STMTS END\_PARALLEL END END\_PDO END\_PSECTIONS
- 17 %token CODE\_BLOCK DO\_VARIABLE PARALLEL\_PDO END\_PARALLEL\_PDO
- 18 %token PARALLEL\_SECTIONS END\_PARALLEL\_SECTIONS GROUP NOWAIT
- 19 %token PARALLEL\_SPECIFICATION\_PART CONTINUE
- 20 %type <string> NAME
- 21 %type <string> name
- 22 %type <string> INTEGER
- 23 %%
- 24 pgm : blocks 25 :
- 26 blocks : /\* empty \*/
- 27 | blocks block28 ;
- 29 block : unnamed\_p\_block 30 | named\_p\_block | code\_block 31 32 unnamed\_p\_block : parallel\_block 33 34 | parallel\_pdo 35 parallel sections | pdo\_block 36

	psection_block   group_construct ;	
/* /* Constrain /* /*	t: An unnamed_p_block shall not contain an exit stop, or entry-statement.	*/ , return, */ */
named_p_bl	ock : name ':' unnamed_p_block name { if(strcmp(\$1,\$4))	
-	tf("The starting and ending names of a block are ntf("They are %s, %s\n",\$1,\$4);	e different\n");
1.54	} } ;	su /
/* /*	t: The name coded at the beginning of a named_ the same as the name coded at the end of the na	med_p_block. */ */
parallel_bloc	ck : PARALLEL ptoption blocks END_PARAI	LEL
	<pre>: /* empty */   poption   parallel_specification_part   poption parallel_specification_part ;</pre>	
parallel_spec	cification_part : PARALLEL_SPECIFICATION_	PART ;
/*	EC 1539:1991 (E) page 304 for Fortran 90 spec	*/
	pecification_part : use_part decl_part ;	*/

1	/* use_	part : */ /* empty */	
2	/*	use-stmt use_part	*/
3	/*	;	
4	/* decl	_part : */ /* empty */	
5	/*	declaration_construct decl_part	*/
6	/*	;	*/
7	/*		*/
8	/*		*/
9	/* Cons	straint: specification-stmt must not contain an access-s	tmt, */
10	/*	allocatable-stmt(check with data section), commo	n-stmt(check */
11	/*	with data section), data-stmt, intent-stmt, optional	-stmt, */
12	/*	pointer-stmt (check with data section) or save-stm	nt. */
13	/*	The decl_part shall not contain the entry_stmt, or	*/
14	/*	stmt_function_stmt.	*/
15	/*		_ */

16 17	poptions	: /* empty */   poption
18		. 2
19	poption	: '(' popt ')'
20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21	popt	: MAX_PARALLEL '=' INT_EXPR
22	I I I	ORDERED
23		ORDERED MAX_PARALLEL '=' INT_EXPR
24		:
25	psection bloc	k : PSECTIONS poptions
26	1 –	sections
27		END_PSECTIONS
28		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
29		,
30	sections	: section
31		sections section
32		
		7
33	section	: SECTION section_name wait_list guards_list
34		block
35		
36	section name	e : /* empty */
37	—	'/' name '/'
38		•
39	wait_list	: /* empty */
40	—	WAIT '(' wlist ')'
41		•
42	wlist	. /* empty */
		1 2

1		wlist name
2	1 1 4	· , /৬ · ৬/
3	guards_list	: /* empty */
4		GUARDS '(' glist ')'
5	1.	
6	glist	: /* empty */
7		glist name
8		· ,
9		
10	pdo_block	: PDO iter_spec poptions blocks END_PDO
11		PDO INTEGER iter_spec poptions blocks
12		INTEGER CONTINUE
13		{
14		if(strcmp(\$2,\$6))
15		{
16	prin	tf("The starting and ending labels of a pdo block are different\n");
17	prin	tf("They are %s, %s $n$ ",\$2,\$6);
18	1	}
19		}
20		
20		,
21 22	iter_spec	: do_variable '=' INT_EXPR ',' INT_EXPR ',' INT_EXPR   do_variable '=' INT_EXPR ',' INT_EXPR
23		;
24		*/
25	/*	*/
26	/* Constraint	: The pdo-variable must be a named scalar variable of type */
27	/* i	integer and cannot be an element of a common block. */
28	/*	*/
29	/*	*/
30	group constr	uct : GROUP goption
31	0 1-	blocks
32		END GROUP
33		
34	goption	': /* empty */
35	goption	NOWAIT
36		, NOWAII
30		,
37	/*	now provide for combined constructs */
38 39	parallel_pdo	: PARALLEL_PDO iter_spec ptoption blocks END_PARALLEL_PDO .
40	parallel_secti	, ons : PARALLEL_SECTIONS ptoption

1	sections
2	END_PARALLEL_SECTIONS
3	•
4	
5	/*here we provide stubs for various productions from the */
6	/* native language (Fortran 90)*/
7	code_block : CODE_BLOCK ;
8	do_variable : DO_VARIABLE ;
9	name : NAME
10	{ strcpy(\$\$,\$1); }
11	;
12	% %
13	#include "lex.yy.c"
14	main()
15	{
16	if (yyparse())
17	{ printf("error in line number: %d\n", line);
18	printf("Errors in this code\n");}
19	else
20	<pre>printf("YIPPEE no errors\n");</pre>
21	}

```
2
       %{
       int line;
 3
 4
       % }
 5
       name
              [a-zA-Z]+[a-zA-Z0-9_]*
       integer [1-9][0-9]*
 6
 7
       endline [n]
 8
       blank [\t]+
9
       %p 10000
       %o 10000
10
11
       %a 19000
12
       %%
13
       {endline}
                      line ++
                                ;
14
       {blank}
15
       PARALLEL
                            {return (PARALLEL);}
                            {return (CONTINUE);}
16
       CONTINUE
17
       END" "PARALLEL
                              {return (END_PARALLEL);}
18
       PARALLEL PDO
                              {return (PARALLEL_PDO);}
19
       END PARALLEL PDO
                                 {return (END_PARALLEL_PDO);}
20
       PARALLEL_SECTIONS
                                {return (PARALLEL_SECTIONS);}
       END PARALLEL SECTIONS {return (END PARALLEL SECTIONS);}
21
       PDO
22
                         {return (PDO);}
23
                         {return (WAIT);}
       WAIT
24
       GUARDS
                           {return (GUARDS);}
25
       ORDERED
                            {return (ORDERED);}
26
       MAX PARALLEL
                               {return (MAX_PARALLEL);}
27
       SECTION
                           {return (SECTION);}
28
       PSECTIONS
                            {return (PSECTIONS);}
29
       BLOCK
                          {return (BLOCK);}
30
                         {return (END); }
       END
31
       GROUP
                          {return (GROUP);}
32
       NOWAIT
                           {return (NOWAIT);}
33
       CODE{blank}BLOCK
                               {return (CODE_BLOCK);}
                             { /* printf("Found pdo\n"); */
34
       END{blank}PDO
35
                       return (END PDO);}
36
       END{blank}PSECTIONS
                                {return (END_PSECTIONS);}
37
       INT_EXPR
                           {return (INT_EXPR);}
38
       VARIABLE
                            {return (VARIABLE);}
39
       DO_VARIABLE
                              {return (DO_VARIABLE);}
40
       {integer}
                        {strcpy(yylval.string,yytext);
41
                       printf("Found integer %s\n", yytext);
42
                       return (INTEGER);}
43
                           {return (INTEGER);}
       INTEGER
                            {return (PARALLEL_SPECIFICATION_PART);}
44
       PSPEC_PART
```

Dummy Lexical Analizer for X3H5 Fortran

1

1	{name}	{strcpy(yylval.string,yytext);
2		return (NAME);}
3		{
4		return (yytext[0]);}
5	%%	

1	
2	Α
3	atomic operation 71
4	C
5	CLEAR 63
6	Critical Section 59
7	Critical sections 57
8	CLEAR 64
9	Critical Sections 57
10	D
11	deadlock 65, 70
12	Ε
13	Event synchronization 63
14	G
15	GATE synchronization 70
16	GUARDS 59
17	Ι
18	intrinsic 70
19	Μ
20	metalanguage conventions 11
21	Ν
22	nondeterministic 60, 75
23	0
24	ORDERED 63, 66
25	Ordinal 65
26	Р
27	Parallel Sections 17
28	POST 64, 67
29	POST, 66
30	Ordinal 65
31	S
32	Sequence 69
33	Sequence synchronization 65
34	SET 66
35	standard conforming 10
36	Synchronization
37	Explicit synchronization 50
38	Implicit synchronization 50
39	Ordinal 65
40	structured 57
41	synchronization objects 57
42	unstructured 70
43	SET
44	Define ordinal 65
45	Unstructured

## Index

- synchronization 70 1 2 3 W

# WAIT 64-67B.0 Syntax Rules (Informative)