X3J3 / 96-023

X3J3 ANSI Fortran Standards Committee
Evaluation of Intrinsic Procedures

Craig T. Dedo
January 12, 1996
Page 1 of 2

Evaluation of Intrinsic Procedures

by Craig T. Dedo
January 12, 1996

NUMBER: 202
TITLE: Evaluation of Intrinsic Procedures
KEYWORDS: Algorithm, Mathematical, Computational
DEFECT TYPE: Interpretation
STATUS: X3J3 Consideration in Progress

QUESTION: 1.   When the standard specifies an algorithm for computing a mathematical procedure, must a processor use the specified algorithm?

Example: ANINT is defined as INT (A + 0.5). On some processors ANINT (16 000 001.0) evaluates to 16 000 002.0 using this algorithm.

2.   May a processor return a mathematically equivalent result in the evaluation of a numeric intrinsic procedure?

Example: If ANINT (16 000 001.0) can be computed as the more expected 16 000 001.0, may a processor do so?

ANSWER: 1.   No, a processor is not required to use the algorithm from the standard.

2.   Yes, a processor may return the mathematically equivalent result in the evaluation of any numeric intrinsic procedure.

DISCUSSION: The use or specification of an algorithm in the standard is intended to specify the value and properties of the required end result. It is not intended to require that a processor use a particular method of achieving that result. Thus, an algorithm specifies the mathematical results, not the computational results, of the evaluation of intrinsic procedures.

Although the standard does not directly address this issue, one may infer from several passages that the standard intends to permit a processor to use any mathematically equivalent method in the evaluation of intrinsic procedures. This practice is expressly permitted for the evaluation of numeric intrinsic operations in 7.1.7.3 [81:17-19].

> The rules given in 7.2.1 specify the interpretation of a numeric intrinsic operation. Once the interpretation has been established in accordance with those rules, the processor may evaluate any mathematically equivalent expression, provided that the integrity of parentheses is not violated.

Further into the section are two tables specifying allowable and nonallowable alternative forms for certain mathematical expressions [81:30-40, 82:3-10].

It is true that rule R701 in section 7.1.1.1 defines a *<<function-reference>>* as a *<<primary>>*. However, section 7.1.7, "Evaluation of operations", contains several references to rules concerning the evaluation of functions [79:40, 80:4-17, 81:1-8]. Thus the context indicates that the standard intends to permit a processor this freedom of operation in the evaluation of intrinsic procedures as well.

X3J3 ANSI Fortran Standards Committee
Evaluation of Intrinsic Procedures

X3J3 / 96-023
Craig T. Dedo
January 12, 1996
Page 2 of 2

The standard intends to permit a processor to use infinite accuracy if available. Section 1.3.2, Exclusions, states in part, "This International Standard does not specify: . . . (6) The physical properties of the representation of quantities and the method of rounding, approximating, or computing numeric values on a particular processor." [1:19, 1:29-30]

EDITS: None.

SUBMITTED BY: Keith H. Bierman

HISTORY: 95-247   m134   Submitted with proposed response, adopted 9-4
                95-256   m134   Ballot failed, 10-6
                95-260   m135   Proposed response, no action
                96-022   m136   Proposed response