## **Derived Type Encapsulation**

## Problem:

It is possible to define an opaque derived type OT that has a user-defined assignment procedure. However, the user-defined assignment procedure is <u>not</u> invoked when assigning objects of another type T containing components of type OT. This applies both to pointer and non-pointer components. Since the very reason type OT has user-defined assignment is probably that the intrinsic assignment was inappropriate, this means that an inappropriate assignment will be performed unless the writer of type T takes special care (i.e. also has user-defined assignment).

Example: MODULE iso\_varying\_string TYPE varying\_string PRIVATE CHARACTER, POINTER :: value(:) END TYPE INTERFACE ASSIGNMENT(=) MODULE PROCEDURE assign\_vs\_to\_vs END INTERFACE CONTAINS SUBROUTINE assign vs to vs(var,expr) TYPE(varving string).INTENT(OUT) :: var TYPE(varying\_string),INTENT(IN) :: expr ALLOCATE(var%value(SIZE(expr%value,1))) var%value = expr%value END SUBROUTINE **END MODULE PROGRAM** programme USE iso\_varying\_string TYPE mytype TYPE(varying string) name END TYPE TYPE(varying string) x, y TYPE(mytype) a, b x = y ! invokes "assign\_vs\_to\_vs(x,y)" a%name = b%name ! invokes "assign vs to vs(a%name,b%name)" a = b ! does intrinsic assignment on A%name and B%name END

## Other Problems:

(1) It is very easy to drop the user-defined assignment even for normal variables - a simple "USE iso\_varying\_string,ONLY:varying\_string" quietly loses the appropriate assignment.

(2) Deliberate subversion of the "opaque" data type is just as easy.

Solution:

1. Add "default assignment" that is used by the assignment statement for derived types. Default assignment will

(i) do pointer-assignment on pointer components

(ii) do intrinsic assignment on non-pointer components of intrinsic type

(iii) do defined assignment on non-pointer components of derived type if there is a defined assignment procedure for the component type; and

(iv) do default assignment on other non-pointer components of derived type. And, add a note to section 1.x noting that this is a change from F95 to F2002.

## X3J3/97-145