Subject: Issues 62 and 21
From: Van Snyder

1 Introduction

Issue 62 notices that the description of accessibility of type components doesn't account for mixed public and private components. Issue 21 asks whether the description of the scope of a component name is wrong. It is. It also asks whether the descriptions of the scope of a type parameter name and a binding name were introduced correctly. They were.

Upon examining 14.1.2.5, it was noticed that the discussion of accessibility is incomplete, and making it complete would duplicate 4.5.1.6. Redundant text is instead replaced by a reference to 4.5.1.6.

2 Edits

Edits refer to 99-007r2. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by immediately following text, while a page and line number followed by + indicates that immediately following text is to be inserted after the indicated line. Remarks for the editor are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.

[Editor: Replace "same ... component" by "scope of a type definition."]	366:44
[Editor: Delete "If the type ..." to the end of the paragraph.]	366:46-2
[Editor: Delete the J3 note.]	367:3-24
[Editor: Delete "If the type ..." to the end of the paragraph.]	367:27-29
[Editor: Delete "If the binding ..." to the end of the paragraph.]	367:31-33
[Editor: Add a new paragraph:] The accessibility of type parameters, components, and bindings is specified in 4.5.1.6.	367:33+