

Reference number of working document: **ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG5 Nxxxx**

Date: 2018-08-11

Reference number of document: **ISO/IEC TS 99999:2018(E)**

Committee identification: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22

Secretariat: ANSI

**Information technology — Programming languages — Fortran —  
Coroutines and Iterators**

*Technologies de l'information — Langages de programmation — Fortran —  
Coroutines et Iterators*

© ISO/IEC 2018

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying or microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher. Droits de reproduction réservés. Aucune partie de cette publication ne peut être reproduite ni utilisée sous quelque forme que ce soit et par aucun procédé, électronique ou mécanique, y compris la photocopie et les microfilms, sans l'accord écrit de l'éditeur.

ISO/IEC Copyright Office • Case Postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève • Switzerland

## Contents

0	Introduction . . . . .	ii
0.1	History . . . . .	ii
0.2	What this technical specification proposes . . . . .	iii
1	General . . . . .	1
1.1	Scope . . . . .	1
1.2	Normative References . . . . .	1
2	Requirements . . . . .	2
2.1	General . . . . .	2
2.2	Summary . . . . .	2
2.3	Coroutine syntax and semantics . . . . .	3
2.4	ITERATOR and ITERATE construct syntax . . . . .	9
2.5	VALUE attribute . . . . .	13
2.6	PRESENT (A) . . . . .	13
3	Examples . . . . .	14
3.1	Forward communication example . . . . .	14
3.2	First reverse communication example . . . . .	14
3.3	Second reverse communication example . . . . .	15
3.4	Example using a coroutine . . . . .	16
3.5	Iterator for a queue . . . . .	17
3.6	Preserving automatic variables . . . . .	18
4	Required editorial changes to ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010(E) . . . . .	19

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75% of the member bodies casting a vote.

ISO/IEC TS 99999:2018(E) was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC/JTC1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC22, *Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces*.

This technical specification specifies an extension to the computational facilities of the programming language Fortran. Fortran is specified by the International Standard ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010(E).

It is the intention of ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG5 that the semantics and syntax specified by this technical specification be included in the next revision of the Fortran International Standard without change unless experience in the implementation and use of this feature identifies errors that need to be corrected, or changes are needed to achieve proper integration, in which case every reasonable effort will be made to minimize the impact of such changes on existing implementations.

## 0 Introduction

### 0.1 History

Many problems in computational mathematics require the algorithm that solves the problem to access software that is provided by the user of that algorithm, to specify the problem. Examples include evaluating integrals, solving differential equations, minimization, and nonlinear parameter estimation.

In Fortran this has been provided in three ways.

- The procedure that implements the algorithm invokes a procedure of a specific name,
- The name of the procedure that defines the problem is passed to the procedure that implements the algorithm, or
- The procedure that implements the algorithm returns to the invoker whenever it requires a computation that defines the problem.

The first two of these methods are called *forward communication*; the last is called *reverse communication*.

Forward communication works well in the simple cases where the procedure that implements the algorithm can provide all the information needed by the procedure that defines the problem.

Before Fortran 2003, when additional information was needed, programs exploited methods known to reduce the reliability of programs or increase the cost of their development and maintenance: global data. Fortran 2003 provides type extension, which reduces the problem substantially, but can introduce other problems such as performance penalties caused by pointer components.

Programs developed in Fortran 2003 would probably use type extension to pass additional data to the procedure that defines the problem. Revising existing programs that use reverse communication to use type extension could be prohibitively expensive, especially if rigorous recertification is required, while revising them to use coroutines would be relatively inexpensive.

Reverse communication does not require information necessary to define the problem to be passed through the procedure that implements the algorithm, or require the procedure that defines the problem to access such information by using global data or type extension. There is, however, no structured support for reverse communication in Fortran. In order for the procedure to continue after the calculations that define the problem, it has to know it isn't starting a problem, and how to find its way to continue its process. This usually involves GO TO statements, or transformation of the procedure into an inscrutable "state machine." The state of the computation is usually represented in SAVE variables, which causes the procedure that implements the algorithm not to be thread safe.

In some problems, it is desirable to preserve the activation record, primarily to avoid re-creating automatic variables. If a procedure is used to solve a large number of related problems, and it requires substantial "working storage," re-creating working storage as automatic variables, or allocating allocatable variables or pointers that do not have the SAVE attribute, can be a significant fraction of the total cost of solving one problem. Alternatives are allocatable variables or pointers with the SAVE attribute. This is not thread safe.

If coroutines had been available during the development of Fortran 2003, defined input/output would not have been needed. Instead, it could have been possible to specify a coroutine to process the input or output list, having an unlimited polymorphic argument to associate with each list item in turn.

## 0.2 What this technical specification proposes

This technical specification proposes a form of procedure known as a *coroutine*. A coroutine has the property that an instance of it can be suspended and later resumed, to proceed from the point where it was suspended. Local entities and the state of execution of the procedure are preserved in an *activation record*, and do not become undefined when the procedure is suspended. The invoking scope retains the activation record, and can have a separate activation record for each thread.

A related form of procedure, known as an *iterator*, is also proposed.

Suspending and resuming a coroutine (or iterator) is more efficient than returning from and calling a subroutine again, because the activation record does not need to be destroyed and reconstructed.

Coroutines and iterators have a long history in languages, including CLU, Sather, C#, Java, Python, and Julia. Tasks and protected variables in Ada are very similar to coroutines.

# Information technology – Programming Languages – Fortran

## Technical Specification: Coroutines and iterators

### 1 General

#### 1.1 Scope

This technical specification specifies extensions to the programming language Fortran. The Fortran language is specified by International Standard ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010(E). The extensions are varieties of procedures known as *coroutines* and *iterators*. They have the property that an instance of one can be suspended, and later resumed to continue execution from the point where it was suspended. Local entities and the state of execution of the procedure are preserved in an *activation record*, and do not become undefined when the procedure is suspended. The invoking scope retains the activation record, and can have a separate activation record for each thread.

Clause 2 of this technical specification contains a general and informal but precise description of the extended functionalities. Clause 3 contains several illustrative examples. Clause 4 contains detailed instructions for editorial changes to ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010(E).

#### 1.2 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010(E) : *Information technology – Programming Languages – Fortran; Part 1: Base Language*

## 2 Requirements

### 2.1 General

The following subclauses contain a general description of the extensions to the syntax and semantics of the Fortran programming language to provide coroutines and iterators.

### 2.2 Summary

#### 2.2.1 What is provided

This technical specification defines new forms of procedures, called *coroutines* and *iterators*, an instance of which can be suspended and later resumed to continue execution from the point where it was suspended. Local entities and the state of execution of the procedure are preserved in an *activation record*, and do not become undefined when the procedure is suspended. The invoking scope retains the activation record, and can have a separate activation record for each thread. There is presently nothing comparable in Fortran, but coroutines and iterators have been provided by other programming languages, including CLU, Sather, C#, Java, Python, and Julia. Tasks and protected variables in Ada are very similar to coroutines.

This technical specification defines statements to define coroutines and iterators, statements to suspend, resume, and terminate coroutines, an inquiry function to determine whether a coroutine is suspended, and a looping control construct that invokes an iterator.

#### 2.2.2 Coroutines

A coroutine is a procedure that can be suspended, and later resumed to continue execution from the point where it was suspended. Local entities and the state of execution of a coroutine are preserved in an *activation record*, and do not become undefined when it is suspended. The invoking scope retains the activation record, and can have a separate activation record for each thread. A coroutine can be pure, but it cannot be elemental. Each invocation of a coroutine creates a new instance, independently of whether an instance is already in a state of execution. A coroutine identifier shall have explicit interface.

#### 2.2.3 Iterators

An iterator is a procedure that produces a result value, as does a function subprogram. It is intended to be used as an abstraction to produce the elements of a data structure, one at a time. It can be invoked or resumed only within the `ITERATE` statement of an `ITERATE` construct. Local entities and the state of execution of an iterator are preserved in an *activation record*, and do not become undefined when it is suspended. An iterator identifier shall have explicit interface.

#### 2.2.4 `ITERATE` construct

The `ITERATE` construct uses an iterator to process the elements of a data structure, one at a time. When execution of the construct commences, the iterator is invoked and a new instance of it is created. Therefore, an `ITERATE` construct within another `ITERATE` construct can use the same iterator. Each time the iterator suspends it provides a value, and the body of the construct is executed. After the construct body is executed, the iterator is resumed at the first executable construct after the `SUSPEND` statement that suspended execution of the iterator. Execution of the `ITERATE` construct completes, the activation record of the instance is destroyed, and the instance of the iterator ceases to exist when

- the iterator executes a `RETURN` or `END` statement,
- an `EXIT` statement that belongs to the construct is executed,

- an EXIT or CYCLE statement that belongs to an outer construct and is within the range of the construct is executed,
- a branch occurs from a statement within the ITERATE construct to a statement that is neither the *end-iterate-stmt* nor within the range of the construct, or
- a RETURN statement within the construct is executed.

### 2.2.5 SUSPEND statement

When an instance of a coroutine or iterator executes a `SUSPEND` statement, execution of the instance is suspended; local variables of the instance do not become undefined. For a coroutine, the sequence of execution continues after the `CALL` statement that invoked the coroutine, or after the `RESUME` statement that resumed execution of the same instance of the coroutine, whichever occurred most recently. For an iterator, the sequence of execution proceeds to the *block* of the `ITERATE` construct.

### 2.2.6 RESUME statement

When a RESUME statement is executed the procedure designator in the RESUME statement shall designate an instance variable of a suspended instance of a coroutine. Execution of the specified instance of the specified coroutine is resumed by re-establishing argument associations and transferring control to the first executable construct after the SUSPEND statement that most recently suspended execution of the specified instance of the coroutine. Expressions in the specification part are not re-evaluated, and the specification part is not elaborated again. Therefore, local variables of the instance, including automatic variables, retain the same bounds, length parameter values, definition status, and values if any, that they had when the instance was suspended.

### NOTE 2.1

Because argument associations are re-established, dummy arguments might have different extents, length parameter values, allocation status, pointer association status, or values (if any).

### 2.2.7 The TERMINATE statement

When a **TERMINATE** statement is executed, the activation record of the specified instance of the specified coroutine is destroyed and that instance of the coroutine cannot thereafter be resumed. The procedure designator in the **TERMINATE** statement shall designate an instance variable of a suspended instance of the coroutine.

An instance of a coroutine that is not suspended shall not be terminated.

## 2.3 Coroutine syntax and semantics

### 2.3.1 Coroutine definition syntax

A coroutine is a subprogram. It can be an external subprogram, a module subprogram, an internal subprogram, or a separate module procedure. It can be bound to a type. It can be pure, but it cannot be elemental. Each invocation of a coroutine creates a new instance, independently of whether an instance is already in a state of execution.

[illegible]



- 1 R1226b *coroutine-stmt* is [ *prefix* ] COROUTINE *coroutine-name* ■  
 2 ■ [ ( [ *dummy-arg-name-list* ] ) ]
- 3 R1226c *end-coroutine-stmt* is END COROUTINE [ *coroutine-name* ]
- 4 C1251a (R1226b) Neither *declaration-type-spec* nor ELEMENTAL shall appear in *prefix*.
- 5 C1251b (R1226a) An internal coroutine subprogram shall not contain an *internal-subprogram-part*.
- 6 C1251c (R1226c) If a *coroutine-name* appears in the *end-coroutine-stmt* it shall be identical to the  
 7 *coroutine-name* in the *coroutine-stmt*.

**NOTE 2.2**

When a coroutine is invoked by a CALL statement, a new activation record is created, regardless whether it is invoked recursively. Therefore, whether RECURSIVE or NON\_RECURSIVE appears in the prefix is irrelevant.

**Unresolved Technical Issue Recursive Coroutine**

The appearance of RECURSIVE or NON\_RECURSIVE in the prefix could be prohibited instead of ignored.

**2.3.2 Coroutine interface body**

9 The interface of a coroutine can be declared by an interface body.

- 10 R1205 *interface-body* is ...  
 11 or *coroutine-stmt* ■  
 12 ■ [ *specification-part* ]  
 13 ■ *end-coroutine-stmt*

**2.3.3 Coroutine reference****2.3.3.1 General**

16 An identifier of a coroutine shall have explicit interface.

**2.3.3.2 Coroutine instance variables**

18 Within a scoping unit, if the *coroutine-name* of a coroutine, or a name associated with one by use or host  
 19 association, appears as the *procedure-designator* in a CALL statement, or as an actual argument that  
 20 corresponds to a dummy argument that does not have the VALUE attribute, a local instance variable  
 21 identified by that *procedure-designator* exists and has a scope of that inclusive scope.

22 A coroutine procedure pointer, or a dummy procedure that has a coroutine interface, is an instance  
 23 variable.

24 If an object is of a type that has a type-bound coroutine, that object contains an instance variable for  
 25 that coroutine, identified by that binding.

26 An instance variable is not a local variable if it is

- 27 • a dummy coroutine without the VALUE attribute,
- 28 • accessed by use or host association, or
- 29 • represented within an object of derived type that has a binding to the coroutine, and the object is  
 30 not a local variable.

Otherwise, it is a local variable.

An instance variable is a derived-type object that identifies a coroutine and represents an instance of it. The types of different instance variables are not necessarily the same, but they all have a private allocatable activation record component, and a private procedure pointer component that identifies the coroutine. If it is a dummy procedure with a coroutine interface, the association of the procedure pointer component is that of the corresponding actual argument. Otherwise, if it is a coroutine pointer, the procedure pointer component has default initialization of NULL(). Otherwise, the procedure pointer component is associated with the coroutine specified by the *procedure-designator*.

### 2.3.3.3 Coroutine activation records

An instance variable has a private allocatable component that represents the coroutine's activation record. It is allocated if and only if the instance of the coroutine is active. The activation record represents the state of execution of the instance, and its unsaved local variables. If a local variable of a coroutine has the SAVE attribute, it is shared by all instances; it is not part of an activation record.

The activation record component of a local instance variable is initially deallocated, even if it is a dummy coroutine with the VALUE attribute. A local instance variable does not initially represent an active instance when the procedure is invoked, even if it is a dummy coroutine with the VALUE attribute and the corresponding actual argument represents an active instance. Unlike a dummy data object with the VALUE attribute, the allocation status, and value if any, of the allocatable component that represents its activation record, is not copied from the actual argument that corresponds to a dummy coroutine with the VALUE attribute.

#### NOTE 2.3

Because the activation record component of an instance variable is allocatable, it is or becomes deallocated, and the instance it represents is terminated, under the same conditions that an allocatable component of a derived-type object is or becomes deallocated.

An instance of a coroutine is accessible if and only if it is represented by an accessible instance variable that represents an active instance.

### 2.3.3.4 Creating an instance of a coroutine

When a coroutine is invoked by a CALL statement, an instance of the coroutine is created. The activation record component of its instance variable is allocated as if by an ALLOCATE statement. Expressions within its specification part are evaluated and its specification part is elaborated, creating local variables of the instance that do not have the SAVE attribute. When the instance executes a RETURN, END, or SUSPEND statement, execution of the CALL statement is completed.

### 2.3.3.5 Suspending a coroutine instance

When an instance of a coroutine executes a SUSPEND statement, execution of the instance of the coroutine is suspended and the execution sequence continues by executing the executable construct following the CALL statement that invoked that instance of that coroutine, or the RESUME statement that resumed execution of that instance of that coroutine, whichever occurred most recently. The activation record component of its instance variable is not deallocated.

### 2.3.3.6 Resuming a coroutine instance

An instance of a coroutine is resumed by executing a RESUME statement (2.2.6) with a designator that designates its instance variable. When it is resumed, argument associations are re-established and control is transferred to the first executable construct after the SUSPEND statement that most recently

suspended execution of the instance of the coroutine represented by the instance variable used to resume it. Its activation record is not re-created. Expressions in the specification part are not re-evaluated, and the specification part is not elaborated again. Therefore, local variables of the instance, including automatic variables, retain the same bounds, length parameter values, definition status, and values if any, that they had when the instance was suspended.

#### NOTE 2.4

Because argument associations are re-established, dummy arguments might have different extents, length parameter values, allocation status, pointer association status, or values (if any).

If a coroutine is invoked before a DO CONCURRENT construct begins execution, the same instance of it shall not be resumed during more than one iteration of that execution of that construct. A coroutine shall not be invoked using the same instance variable during more than one iteration of a DO CONCURRENT construct. If a coroutine is invoked during an iteration of a DO CONCURRENT construct, that instance of it shall be terminated during that iteration, and it shall not be terminated or resumed during a different iteration of that execution of that construct.

If a coroutine is invoked from within a CRITICAL construct or from within a procedure invoked during execution of a CRITICAL construct, the same instance of it shall be terminated during that execution of that construct, and it shall not be resumed after that execution of that construct completes. If a coroutine is invoked before execution of a CRITICAL construct begins, the same instance of it shall not be resumed from within that execution of that CRITICAL construct or from within a procedure invoked during that execution of that CRITICAL construct.

#### Unresolved Technical Issue Critical

The restrictions concerning critical sections might not be necessary or useful.

An instance of a coroutine that has ceased to exist shall not be resumed.

#### 2.3.3.7 Terminating a coroutine instance

An instance of a coroutine is terminated, and the activation record component of the instance variable used to terminate the instance is deallocated, when

- a RETURN or END statement is executed by the instance of the coroutine,
- a TERMINATE statement that designates the instance variable is executed,
- a CALL statement invokes the coroutine using its instance variable,
- the instance variable is an unsaved local variable, and execution of the procedure in which it is a local variable is terminated by execution of a RETURN or END statement,
- the instance variable is the *proc-pointer-object* in a pointer assignment statement that is executed,
- the instance variable is a *proc-pointer-object* in a NULLIFY statement that is executed, or
- the instance variable corresponds to a dummy procedure pointer that has INTENT(OUT) and the CALL statement or function reference is executed.

#### Unresolved Technical Issue Duplicate

Executing a CALL statement that references a coroutine using a designator with which an instance is associated could alternatively be defined to be an error.

#### 2.3.4 Coroutine procedure pointers

A coroutine procedure pointer is an instance variable. The ASSOCIATED intrinsic function inquires whether the procedure pointer component is associated with a coroutine. The SUSPENDED intrinsic

function inquires whether its activation record component is allocated, that is, whether it represents an instance of a coroutine that has not terminated.

A coroutine procedure pointer shall not be a coindexed object or a subobject of a coindexed object.

### 2.3.5 SUSPEND statement

Execution of a suspend statement within a coroutine suspends execution of an instance of that coroutine (2.3.3.5).

Execution of a suspend statement within an iterator suspends execution of an instance of that iterator (2.4.4).

R1241a *suspend-stmt* is SUSPEND

C1270a (R1241a) A *suspend-stmt* shall appear only within the inclusive scope of a coroutine or iterator.

### 2.3.6 RESUME statement

Execution of a RESUME statement causes execution of an instance of a coroutine to be resumed (2.3.3.6).

R1223a *resume-stmt* is RESUME *procedure-designator* [ ( [ *actual-arg-spec-list* ] ) ]

C1237b (R1223a) The *procedure-designator* shall designate a coroutine instance variable.

C1237b (R1223a) The *procedure-designator* shall not be a coindexed object or a subobject of a coindexed object.

The *procedure-designator* shall designate a suspended instance of a coroutine.

When a RESUME statement is executed, argument associations are re-established, but expressions in the specification part of the coroutine are not re-evaluated and the specification part is not elaborated again. Therefore, local variables, including automatic variables, of the instance retain the same bounds, length parameter values, definition status, and values if any, that they had when the instance was suspended.

#### NOTE 2.5

Because argument associations are re-established, dummy arguments might have different extents, length parameter values, allocation status, pointer association status, or values (if any).

When the instance of the coroutine that is resumed by execution of a RESUME statement executes a SUSPEND, RETURN, or END statement, execution of the RESUME statement is completed.

### 2.3.7 SUSPENDED ( PROC )

**Description.** Whether a coroutine is suspended.

**Class.** Transformational function.

**Argument.** PROC shall be a *procedure-designator* that designates a coroutine instance variable. It shall not be a coindexed object or a subobject of a coindexed object.

**Result Characteristics.** Default logical.

**Result Value.** The result has the value true if and only if the activation record component of PROC is allocated.

### 2.3.8 The TERMINATE statement

Execution of a TERMINATE statement causes an instance of a coroutine to be terminated (2.3.3.7).

R1223b *terminate-stmt* is TERMINATE ( *procedure-designator* [ *terminate-opt-list* ]

R1223c *terminate-opt* is STAT = *stat-variable*  
or ERRMSG = *errmsg-variable*

C1237c (R1223b) The *procedure-designator* shall designate a coroutine instance variable.

C1237d (R1223b) The *procedure-designator* shall not be a coindexed object or a subobject of a coindexed object.

The *procedure-designator* shall designate an instance variable of a coroutine, and its activation record component shall be allocated. A coroutine instance shall not terminate itself by executing a TERMINATE statement.

When a TERMINATE statement is executed, the activation record component of the instance variable is deallocated, as if by a DEALLOCATE statement. The effects of STAT= and ERRMSG= specifiers include the same effects as in a DEALLOCATE statement. In addition, if a coroutine instance terminates itself by executing a TERMINATE statement, a processor-dependent nonzero value shall be assigned to *stat-variable*, and that value shall be different from any value that might be assigned by a DEALLOCATE statement. If the activation record component of the instance variable is not allocated or a coroutine instance terminates itself by executing a TERMINATE statement, and STAT= does not appear, an error condition exists.

### 2.3.9 Coroutine to process input or output statement

The READ and WRITE statements are revised to include an optional PROCESSOR=*coroutine-name* specifier. The PROCESSOR=specifier shall not appear in a statement that specifies namelist or list-directed formatting, or that has both ASYNCHRONOUS='YES' and SIZE= specifiers. The specified coroutine shall have the following interface:

```
coroutine coroutine-name ( unit, item, format, iostat, iomsg, size )
  integer, intent(in) :: unit
  class(*), INTENT(intent-spec), optional :: item(..)
  character(*), intent(in), optional :: format
  integer, intent(out), optional :: iostat
  character(*), intent(inout), optional :: iomsg
  integer, intent(out), optional :: size
end coroutine coroutine-name
```

If the statement is a READ statement, the *intent-spec* of its *item* argument shall be OUT. If it is a WRITE statement, the *intent-spec* of its *item* argument shall be IN.

When a data transfer statement with a PROCESSOR=*coroutine-name* specifier is executed, the specified coroutine is invoked even if there is no first list item. The processor resumes the coroutine if and only if there is another list item, to process each list item. The *item* argument is present if and only if there is another list item.

The *format* argument is present if and only if the data transfer statement is a formatted data transfer statement. The value of the *format* argument begins and ends with parentheses, and corresponds to the *item* argument, as if the item and format were processed without using the coroutine. It might contain edit descriptors even if the *item* argument is not present; for example, it might contain control

1 or character string edit descriptors.

2 If a list item is of a derived type that has a pointer or allocatable direct component, and the data  
3 transfer statement is a formatted data transfer statement, the corresponding format item shall be a  
4 DT edit descriptor. If the corresponding format item is a DT edit descriptor, or the list item is of a  
5 derived type that has a pointer or allocatable direct component, the list item is associated with the **item**  
6 argument. Otherwise, the list item is expanded as specified in subclause 9.6.3 of ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010(E)

7 The `iostat` or `iomsg` argument is present if and only if the corresponding specifier appears in the data  
8 transfer statement; it is associated with the specified entity.

9 If an error, end-of-file, or end-of-record condition occurs, and the `iostat` argument is present, the  
10 coroutine shall assign the appropriate value to that argument, as specified in subclause 9.11 of ISO/IEC  
11 1539-1:2010(E). If the `iomsg` argument is present, a value may be assigned to it. If the `iostat` argument  
12 is absent, the coroutine shall return rather than suspending. If no error occurs and the `iostat` argument  
13 is present, the value zero shall be assigned to it. A value shall not be assigned to the `iomsg` argument  
14 unless a nonzero value is or would be assigned to the `iostat` argument. If no error, end-of-file, or  
15 end-of-record condition occurs the coroutine shall suspend.

16 The **size** argument is present if and only if the data transfer statement is a **READ** statement in which  
17 a **SIZE=** specifier appears. If it is present, a value shall be assigned to it, to specify the number of  
18 characters transferred from the file.

19 If the data transfer statement is a formatted data transfer statement, data transfer statements other  
20 than those that specify an internal file that are executed while the coroutine is active are processed as  
21 if ADVANCE='NO' were specified, even if ADVANCE='YES' is specified in the statement that caused  
22 the coroutine to be executed.

After processing the last list item, or if the coroutine assigns a nonzero value to the `iostat` argument, the processor terminates the coroutine. Because the coroutine might use asynchronous data transfer statements, after terminating the coroutine, the processor performs a wait operation if the statement that caused the coroutine to be executed is not an asynchronous data transfer statement.

27 If the coroutine terminates instead of suspending, an error condition occurs in the statement that caused  
28 the coroutine to be executed.

## 29 2.4 ITERATOR and ITERATE construct syntax

### 30 2.4.1 ITERATOR syntax

31 An iterator is a subprogram. It can be an external subprogram, a module subprogram, an internal  
32 subprogram, or a separate module procedure. It can be bound to a type. It can be pure, but it cannot  
33 be elemental.

```

34 R1232a iterator-subprogram      is iterator-stmt
35                                [specification-part]
36                                [execution-part]
37                                [internal-subprogram-part]
38                                end-iterator-stmt
```

```

39  R1232b iterator-stmt           is  [prefix] ITERATOR iterator-name ■
40                                     ■ ( [ dummy-arg-name-list ] ) [ RESULT ( result-name ) ]

```

- 1 R1232c *end-iterator-stmt* is END ITERATOR [ *iterator-name* ]
- 2 C1258a (R1232b) If RESULT appears, *result-name* shall not be the same as *iterator-name*.
- 3 C1258b (R1232b) If RESULT appears, the *iterator-name* shall not appear in any specification statements
- 4 in the scoping unit of the iterator subprogram.
- 5 C1258c (R1232b) ELEMENTAL shall not appear in *prefix*.
- 6 C1258d (R1232a) An internal iterator subprogram shall not contain an *internal-subprogram-part*.
- 7 C1258e (R1232c) If an *iterator-name* appears in the *end-iterator-stmt* it shall be identical to the *iterator-*
- 8 *name* in the *iterator-stmt*.
- 9 The result variable name of an iterator is the *result-name* if one appears; otherwise it is the *iterator-name*.

**NOTE 2.6**

When an iterator is invoked by an ITERATE construct, a new activation record is created, even if it is invoked recursively. Therefore, whether RECURSIVE or NON\_RECURSIVE appears in the prefix is irrelevant.

**Unresolved Technical Issue Recursive Iterator**

The appearance of RECURSIVE or NON\_RECURSIVE in the prefix could be prohibited instead of ignored.

**2.4.2 Iterator interface body**

11 An iterator interface can be declared by an interface body.

12 R1205 *interface-body* is ...

13 or *iterator-stmt*

14 [ *specification-part* ]

15 *end-iterator-stmt*

**2.4.3 ITERATE construct syntax**

17 An ITERATE construct is used to iterate over the elements of a data structure, which elements are

18 provided by invoking and resuming an iterator.

19 R837a *iterate-construct* is *iterate-stmt*

20 *block*

21 *end-iterate-stmt*

22 R837b *iterate-stmt* is [ *iterate-construct-name*: ] ITERATE [ CONCURRENT ] ■

23 ■ ( *iteration-control* )

24

25 R837c *iteration-control* is *variable* = *iterator-reference*

26 or *data-pointer-object* => *iterator-reference*

27 or *declaration-type-spec* [ , ALLOCATABLE ] :: ■

28 ■ *variable-name* [ ( *array-spec* ) ] = *iterator-reference*

29 or *declaration-type-spec* [ , POINTER ] :: ■

30 ■ *variable-name* [ ( *array-spec* ) ] => *iterator-reference*

31



- 1 R837d *end-iterate-stmt* is END ITERATE [ *iterate-construct-name* ]
- 2 C828a (R837a) If the *iterate-stmt* of an *iterate-construct* specifies an *iterate-construct-name*, the corre-  
3 sponding *end-iterate-stmt* shall specify the same *iterate-construct-name*. If the *iterate-stmt* of an  
4 *iterate-construct* does not specify an *iterate-construct-name*, the corresponding *end-iterate-stmt*  
5 shall not specify an *iterate-construct-name*.
- 6 C828b (R837c) If = appears and ALLOCATABLE does not appear, *array-spec* shall specify explicit  
7 shape. If ALLOCATABLE appears or => appears, *array-spec* shall specify deferred shape.
- 8 C828c (R837c) If = appears, the type, type parameters, and rank of *variable* or *variable-name* shall  
9 conform to those of the result of *iterator-reference* in the same way that those of *variable* and  
10 *expr* are required to conform in an intrinsic *assignment-stmt*.
- 11 C828d (R837c) If => appears, the type, type parameters, and rank of *data-pointer-object* or *variable-*  
12 *name* shall conform to those of the result of *iterator-reference* in the same way that those of  
13 *data-pointer-object* and *data-target* are required to conform in a *pointer-assignment-stmt*.
- 14 C828e (R837c) The *variable* shall not be a coindexed object or a subobject of a coindexed object.
- 15 C828f (R837c) If *declaration-type-spec* appears it shall specify the same declared type and kind type  
16 parameters as the result of *iterator-reference*, and shall not specify any assumed length type  
17 parameters.
- 18 C828g (R837c) If => appears, either *declaration-type-spec* shall appear, or *data-pointer-object* shall  
19 have the POINTER attribute.
- 20 C828h (R837c) If CONCURRENT appears, *declaration-type-spec* shall appear.
- 21 C828j (R837a) If CONCURRENT appears, the construct shall neither contain an EXIT statement  
22 that belongs to the construct or an outer construct, nor a CYCLE statement that belongs to an  
23 outer construct.
- 24 R1219a *iterator-reference* is *procedure-designator* ( [ *actual-arg-spec-list* ] )
- 25 C1225a (R1219a) The *procedure-designator* shall designate an iterator.
- 26 C1225b (R1219a) The *procedure-designator* shall not be a coindexed object or a subobject of a coindexed  
27 object.
- 28 If *declaration-type-spec* appears, it specifies the type and type parameter values of the *variable-name*,  
29 and *variable-name* is a construct entity of the ITERATE construct. If => also appears it has the pointer  
30 attribute, and this may be confirmed by the appearance of POINTER. If = appears the *variable-name*  
31 may be declared to have the ALLOCATABLE attribute. It does not have any additional attributes.

#### 32 2.4.4 ITERATE construct and iterator execution semantics

33 When the *iterate-stmt* of an ITERATE construct is executed the construct becomes active. If the  
34 *procedure-designator* in *iterator-reference* is a pointer, it shall be associated with an iterator. The values  
35 of the nondeferred length parameters of *variable*, *variable-name*, or *data-pointer-object* shall be the same  
36 as corresponding parameters of the result of *iterator-reference*.

37 The iterator is invoked and an activation record is created for an instance of it when the *iterate-stmt*  
38 is executed. The instance of the iterator is associated with the *iterate-stmt*; it is not represented by an  
39 instance variable. Execution of the iterator begins with its first executable construct.



1 While the construct is active, the following occur in the specified order:

- 2 1. If = appears the iterator result value is assigned to *variable* or *variable-name* as if by an assignment  
 3 statement; if => appears the result value is assigned to *data-pointer-object* or *variable-name* as if  
 4 by pointer assignment.

**NOTE 2.7**

Because the assignment of the result of *iterator-reference* to *variable* or *variable-name* is as if by an assignment statement, it might cause finalization of *variable*, invocation of defined assignment, or allocation or reallocation of an allocatable *variable*.

5 2. The *block* of the ITERATE construct is executed.

- 6 3. The instance of the iterator is resumed by re-establishing argument associations and transferring  
 7 control to the first executable construct after the SUSPEND statement whose execution suspended  
 8 its execution. Expressions in the specification part are not re-evaluated and the specification part  
 9 is not elaborated again. Therefore, local variables, including automatic variables, of the instance  
 10 retain the same bounds, length parameter values, definition status, and values if any, that they  
 11 had when the instance was suspended.

**NOTE 2.8**

Because argument associations are re-established, dummy arguments might have different extents, length parameter values, allocation status, pointer association status, or values (if any).

12 Invoking or resuming the iterator, assigning a value, and executing the *block*, is an iteration. If  
 13 *declaration-type-spec* appears, each iteration has a different instance of *variable-name*.

14 If CONCURRENT appears, the processor may invoke and resume the iterator, and assign it value, in  
 15 the sequence of execution that began execution of the construct, and then execute each corresponding  
 16 block in a separate sequences of execution. Alternatively, it may invoke and resume the iterator, assign  
 17 its value, and execute the corresponding block, in a separate sequence of execution for each iteration.  
 18 The processor shall ensure that when the iterator is invoked or resumed, no other iteration of the same  
 19 execution of the construct resumes the construct's instance of the iterator until it executes a RETURN,  
 20 END, or SUSPEND statement. In either case, the separate sequences of execution may be executed in  
 21 any order, or concurrently.

**NOTE 2.9**

If the processor chooses to invoke or resume the iterator, assign values to instances of *variable-name*, and execute corresponding blocks, independently within separate sequences of execution, instead of invoking and resuming the iterator within the sequence of execution that initiated the construct, this effectively requires an iterator to be a monitor procedure, or that invoking or resuming it is protected as if by a critical section.

22 Because the *variable-name* is a construct entity, if it is allocatable, it is not allocated before the iterator  
 23 is invoked, and it becomes deallocated at the end of each iteration. The *variable* is not a construct  
 24 entity.

25 When the iterator executes a RETURN or END statement, a value is not assigned to *variable* or  
 26 *variable-name*, or associated with *data-pointer-object*. If the result variable is allocatable, it shall be  
 27 deallocated before execution of the RETURN or END statement completes. Whether a non-allocatable  
 28 result variable is finalized is processor dependent.

**NOTE 2.10**

Because an iterator is allowed but not required to have assigned a value to its result variable when it executes a RETURN or END statement, requiring a processor to finalize the result variable would require the processor to keep track of its definition status.

1 If CONCURRENT does not appear, execution of an ITERATE construct completes, the activation  
 2 record of the iterator instance is destroyed, the iterator instance ceases to exist, and the construct  
 3 becomes inactive when

- 4 • the iterator executes a RETURN or END statement,
- 5 • an EXIT statement that belongs to the ITERATE construct is executed,
- 6 • an EXIT or CYCLE statement that belongs to an outer construct and is within the range of the  
 7 ITERATE construct is executed,
- 8 • a branch occurs from a statement within the range of the ITERATE construct to a statement that  
 9 is neither the *end-iterate-stmt* nor within the range of the ITERATE construct, or
- 10 • a RETURN statement within the ITERATE construct is executed.

11 If CONCURRENT appears, execution of an ITERATE construct completes, the activation record of the  
 12 iterator instance is destroyed, the iterator instance ceases to exist, and the construct becomes inactive  
 13 when the iterator executes a RETURN or END statement and execution of all iterations is completed.

14 When execution of the ITERATE construct completes, if *declaration-type-spec* does not appear

- 15 • if = appears and *block* was executed, the value of *variable* is the value assigned by the ITERATE  
 16 statement before the final execution of *block*, or assigned during the final execution of *block*;  
 17 otherwise its definition status and value (if any) are the same as before execution of the ITERATE  
 18 construct, or
- 19 • if => appears and *block* was executed, the association status of *data-pointer-object* is as established  
 20 by the ITERATE statement before the final execution of *block*, or established during the final  
 21 execution of *block*; otherwise its association status is the same as before execution of the ITERATE  
 22 construct.

**NOTE 2.11**

The *variable* might become undefined during the final execution of *block*. The association status of *data-pointer-object* might become undefined during the final execution of *block*.

## 23 2.4.5 Restrictions on DO CONCURRENT constructs

24 Subclause 8.1.6.7 of ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010(E) concerning restrictions on DO CONCURRENT constructs  
 25 is revised to apply to ITERATE CONCURRENT constructs as well.

## 26 2.5 VALUE attribute

27 The VALUE attribute shall be allowed for a dummy coroutine.

## 28 2.6 PRESENT (A)

29 The PRESENT intrinsic function inquires whether an optional dummy argument is associated with an  
 30 actual argument in a function or iterator reference, a CALL statement, or a RESUME statement.

### 3 Examples

This subclause presents four examples of a simple quadrature procedure. One uses forward communication, two use reverse communication without coroutine syntax, and the fourth uses reverse communication with coroutine syntax. An illustration how a coroutine can be used to preserve an activation record primarily for the purpose of avoiding re-creating automatic variables follows.

#### 3.1 Forward communication example

```

subroutine INTEGRATE ( A, B, ANSWER, ERROR, FUNC )
  real, intent(in) :: A, B ! Bounds of the integral
  real, intent(out) :: ANSWER, ERROR
  interface
    real function FUNC ( X )
      real, intent(in) :: X
    end function FUNC
  end interface
  real, parameter :: ABSCISSAE(...) = [ ... ]
  real, parameter :: WEIGHTS(...) = [...]
  integer :: I
  answer = weights(1) * func( 0.5*(b+a) )
  do i = 2, size(weights)
    answer = answer + weights(i) * func( 0.5*(b+a) + (b-a) * abscissae(i) )
    answer = answer + weights(i) * func( 0.5*(b+a) - (b-a) * abscissae(i) )
  end do
  answer = ( b - a ) * answer
  error = ...
end subroutine INTEGRATE

```

#### 3.2 First reverse communication example

This example uses computed GO TO to resume computation after each integrand value is computed. Notice that the DO construct cannot be used because computation needs to be resumed within the construct. Further, this subroutine is not thread safe.

```

subroutine INTEGRATE ( A, B, ANSWER, ERROR, WHAT )
  real, intent(in) :: A, B ! Bounds of the integral
  real, intent(inout) :: ANSWER, ERROR
  integer, intent(inout) :: WHAT
  real, parameter :: ABSCISSAE(...) = [ ... ]
  real, parameter :: WEIGHTS(...) = [...]
  real, save :: RESULT
  integer, save :: I
  go to ( 10, 20, 30 ), what
  i = 1
  answer = 0.5 * ( a + b )
  what = 1
  return
10 result = answer * weights(1)
11 i = i + 1
  if ( i > size(weights) ) then
    what = 0
    answer = ( a - b ) * result

```

```

1      error = ...
2      return
3  end if
4      answer = 0.5*(b+a) + (b-a) * abscissae(i)
5      what = 2
6      return
7  20    result = result + weights(i) * answer
8      answer = 0.5*(b+a) - (b-a) * abscissae(i)
9      what = 3
10     return
11  30    result = result + weights(i) * answer
12     go to 11
13 end subroutine INTEGRATE

```

14 This subroutine is used as follows:

```

15  what = 0
16  do
17      call integrate ( a, b, answer, error, what )
18      if ( what == 0 ) exit
19      ! evaluate the integrand at ANSWER and put the value into ANSWER
20  end do
21  ! Integral is in ANSWER here

```

### 22 3.3 Second reverse communication example

23 This example avoids GO TO statements and statement labels by structuring the quadrature subroutine  
 24 as a “state machine.” The state indicates how to resume computation after each integrand value is  
 25 computed. Although a DO construct can be used, control flow is difficult to follow because it is controlled  
 26 by the state variable. This subroutine is also not thread safe.

```

27 subroutine INTEGRATE ( A, B, ANSWER, ERROR, WHAT )
28   real, intent(in) :: A, B ! Bounds of the integral
29   real, intent(inout) :: ANSWER, ERROR
30   integer, intent(inout) :: WHAT
31   real, parameter :: ABSCISSAE(...) = [ ... ]
32   real, parameter :: WEIGHTS(...) = [...]
33   real, save :: RESULT
34   integer, save :: I
35   do
36       select case ( what )
37       case ( 0 )
38           i = 1
39           answer = 0.5 * ( a + b )
40           what = 1
41           return
42       case ( 1 )
43           result = weights(1) * answer
44           what = 2
45       case ( 2 )
46           i = i + 1
47           if ( i > size(weights) ) then
48               what = 0

```

```

1      answer = ( a - b ) * result
2      error = ...
3      return
4  end if
5      answer = 0.5*(b+a) + (b-a) * abscissae(i)
6      what = 3
7      return
8  case ( 3 )
9      result = result + weights(i) * answer
10     answer = 0.5*(b+a) - (b-a) * abscissae(i)
11     what = 4
12     return
13  case ( 4 )
14     result = result + weights(i) * answer
15     what = 2
16  end select
17  end do
18  end subroutine INTEGRATE

```

19 This example is used the same way as the previous example.

### 20 3.4 Example using a coroutine

21 The coroutine organization is much clearer than the previous two examples.

```

22  coroutine INTEGRATE ( A, B, ANSWER, ERROR )
23      real, intent(in) :: A, B ! Bounds of the integral
24      real, intent(out) :: ANSWER, ERROR
25      real, parameter :: ABSCISSAE(...) = [ ... ]
26      real, parameter :: WEIGHTS(...) = [...]
27      integer :: I
28      answer = 0.5*(b+a)
29      suspend
30      result = answer * weights(1)
31      do i = 2, size(weights)
32          answer = 0.5*(b+a) + (b-a) * abscissae(i)
33          suspend
34          result = result + answer * weights(i)
35          answer = 0.5*(b+a) - (b-a) * abscissae(i)
36          suspend
37          result = result + answer * weights(i)
38      end do
39      answer = ( b - a ) * result
40      error = ...
41  end subroutine INTEGRATE

```

42 This coroutine is used as follows:

```

43  call integrate ( a, b, answer, error )
44  do while ( suspended(integrate) )
45      ! Evaluate the integrand at ANSWER and put the value into ANSWER
46      resume integrate ( a, b, answer, error )
47  end do
48  ! Integral is in ANSWER here

```

### 3.5 Iterator for a queue

This example performs a breadth-first traversal of a binary tree. It illustrates that the *block* of an ITERATE construct might change the object that is the attention of its iterator. Whether this “makes sense” in the general case is the responsibility of the iterator and other procedures that act on its arguments, or variables to which it has access by use or host association, not the processor or the standard.

```

type :: Tree_Node_t
  class(tree_node_t), pointer :: LeftSon => NULL(), RightSon => NULL()
end type Tree_Node_t

class(tree_node_t), pointer :: Root => NULL()

type :: Queue_Element_t
  class(*), pointer :: Thing => NULL()
  class(queue_element_t), pointer :: Next => NULL()
end type Queue_Element_t

type :: Queue_t
  class(queue_element_t), pointer :: Head => NULL(), Tail => NULL()
contains
  procedure :: DeQueue
  procedure :: EnQueue
end type Queue_t

type(queue_t) :: MyQueue

call Fill_The_Tree ( root )
call myQueue%enQueue ( root ) ! Doesn't enqueue if root is NULL()
iterate ( class(*) :: node => myQueue%deQueue() )
! This is an example where it ought to be possible to invoke (or resume) a
! type-bound iterator (or function) that has no arguments other than
! the passed-object argument without ().
select type ( node )
class ( tree_node_t )
  call node%processIt
  call myQueue%enQueue ( node%leftSon )
  call myQueue%enQueue ( node%rightSon )
end select
end iterate

contains

iterator DeQueue ( TheQueue ) result ( Thing )
  class(queue_t), intent(inout) :: TheQueue
  class(*), pointer :: Thing
  class(queue_element_t), pointer :: This
  do
    this => theQueue%head
    if ( .not. associated(this) ) return ! terminate ITERATE construct
    thing => this%thing
    theQueue%head => this%next
  deallocate ( this )

```

```

1      suspend ! Process Thing and come back here
2      end do
3  end iterator DeQueue
4
5  subroutine Enqueue ( TheQueue, Thing )
6      class(queue_t), intent(inout) :: TheQueue
7      class(*), intent(in), pointer :: Thing
8      class(queue_element_t), pointer :: This
9      if ( associated(thing) ) then
10         allocate ( this )
11         this%thing => thing
12         if ( associated(theQueue%tail) ) then
13             theQueue%tail%next => this
14         else
15             theQueue%head => this
16         end if
17         theQueue%tail => this
18     end if
19 end subroutine Enqueue

```

### 20 3.6 Preserving automatic variables

21 If one needs to invoke a procedure to solve several differently-sized problems, and the expense of creating  
22 local automatic variables is significant, it can be invoked initially in such a way as to create its automatic  
23 variables with the maximum extents necessary for the entire spectrum of problems to be solved. It can  
24 then be suspended, which does not destroy its automatic variables. When it is resumed to solve each  
25 problem, the automatic variables are intact.

**1 4 Required editorial changes to ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010(E)**

2 To be provided in due course.