

Subject: Minor things? left over from 00-144r1, and a few more
 From: Van Snyder
 References: 00-103 00-136r1 00-144r1

1 Introduction

The issues mentioned in 00-103 that didn't get addressed were collected into 00-144r1. Part of 00-144r1 was studied at meeting 152, and part was not. Of the part that was studied, several edits were passed. I collected these, together with the part that was not studied, into 00-144r1. Of the part that was not studied, I thought that several were probably typos, and part probably had technical content. I divided these into separate sections (2 and 3) in 00-144r1.

The editor (taking a suggestion I wrote into 00-144r1) has studied the ones I thought were typos, accepted some, and rejected others (some on stylistic grounds, some because they had technical content). Four of those are included here, with more explanation, for consideration at meeting 153.

There were eight edits that were identified in 00-144r1 by "may have technical content." Of those, six are repeated here for consideration at meeting 153.

I've also added a few.

2 Edits

Edits refer to 00-007r1. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by immediately following text, while a page and line number followed by + indicates that immediately following text is to be inserted after the indicated line. Remarks for the editor are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.

2.1 From part 2 of 00-144r1

[The invoking program unit may not be a subprogram. Editor: Replace "subprogram" by "scoping unit" twice. The term "invoking scoping unit" is used frequently in (5.1.2.3), and possibly elsewhere.] 261:4

2.2 From part 3 of 00-144r1

[Editor: Add ", type, and type parameters" after "array bounds." Replace "in" with "by" to cover SOURCE=.] 105:44

[Editor: Replace "is determined by the constructor name" by "and type parameters are as described in 4.5.6".] 115:11-12

[Editor: After "are" add "those of the specific function referenced; the specific function referenced is"; after "arguments" add ", as specified in 14.1.2.3".] 115:16-17 |

[Editor: After "IEEE_ARITHMETIC" add "(15)".] 119:14-15 |

[Editor: Replace “the vendor ... support” by “the supported subset of features is processor dependent.” These kinds of things are usually (always?) described by reference to the processor, not the vendor.] 361:35-36

2.3 New ones

[Editor: To clarify the confusing wording brought to our attention by Erik Kruyt’s paper 00-190, replace “have the same” by “specify the same type”.] 51:45

[The example is incorrect. The second argument does not have the same characteristics as the corresponding argument in the procedure that POINT_3D_LENGTH overrides. Editor: Replace by the following:] 54:38

```
CLASS (POINT_3D), INTENT(IN) :: A
CLASS (POINT), INTENT(IN) :: B
```

[Editor: Delete “shall ... arguments. OPTIONAL attribute”. Duplicates the constraint at 64:29-30.] 75:34-35

[Editor: Capitalize “external” twice to be consistent with usage in the other three paragraphs in this section.] 76:31, 33

[Editor: Delete – Duplicates the constraint at [71:11-12]. See [79:21-23].] 79:27

[Editor: Delete – Duplicates the constraint at [64:31-32]. See [79:21-23].] 80:26-28

[Editor: Delete – Duplicates the constraint at [64:19-20]. See [79:21-23].] 81:17-18

[Editor: Delete – Duplicates the constraint at [64:19-20]. See [79:21-23].] 81:27-28

[Editor: Delete – Duplicates the constraint at [64:27]. See [79:21-23].] 81:29

[Editor: Delete – Duplicates the constraint at [64:25-26]. See [79:21-23].] 81:38

[Editor: Delete – Duplicates the constraint at [64:19-20]. See [79:21-23].] 91:15-16

[Editor: Delete the sentence “If an *allocatable-shape-spec-list*...”. This was some nonsense introduced in 98-208r1.] 103:21-23

[This repeats material at [134:40], but the intent here appears to be to list all the circumstances in which things get deallocated.] 107:27+

When an intrinsic assignment statement (7.5.1.5) is executed, allocatable components of the *variable* are deallocated before the assignment takes place.

[Editor: “When” ⇒ “If”.] 189:31

[Editor: Insert a new first sentence of the paragraph “ The **err**, **eof**, and **eor** arguments correspond, respectively, to the error, end-of-file, and end-of-record conditions (9.5.3).” Replace “the ... **eor** arguments” by “these arguments; the user-defined derived-type procedures for writes shall return values of true or false for the **err** argument”.] 192:28-,29

[Editor: Erik Kruyt’s paper 00-191 has stimulated us to look for uses of “identifier.” This is the only usage in section 9 that is not clearly defined. Replace “identifier” by “number”.] 200:3

[Editor: “hargument” ⇒ “argument”.] 237:22

[Editor: Insert “(functions only)” at the end – for consistency with the other ones in the list.] 245:27

[Editor: Insert “7.1.3” before “7.1.8.7”. 7.1.3 seems to be the reference for defined operations that would be most interesting here.]	249:23
[Editor: Insert “and type-bound procedure bindings” at the end.]	251:37
[The <i>proc-decl-list</i> isn’t optional. Editor: Replace “at most” by “exactly”.]	252:42
[Editor: Simplify by inserting “those” after “are” and deleting “and are ... interface”.]	253:9
[Editor: After “pointers” insert “that do not become undefined (14.6.2.1.3) and are”. Otherwise, the statement implies that local pointers without the SAVE attribute retain their values if they happen to be associated with a dummy argument that has the characteristics discussed at this point.]	257:41
[Editor: Before “dummy argument” insert “type of the”. Yes, we want “type of the type of the.” A type can’t be an extension of a dummy argument.]	258:30
[There is a constraint at [255:28] that is nearly identical to the sentence “The label ... reference.” Here, it says “executable construct” while in the constraint at [255:28] it says “branch target.” I don’t know if there’s a real inconsistency, but the difference in wording is confusing. The simplest solution is to remove the sentence here. Editor: Insert “(12.4)” after “specifier” and delete the sentence “The ... reference.”]	260:19-21
[Editor: Delete “that” (finish – hopefully – work begun in 00-136r1).]	352:18