Subject: Issue 266
From: Van Snyder

1 Introduction

Issue 266 points out that "polymorphic objects" are defined, but in several instances we refer at least indirectly to polymorphic entities. Polymorphism should be defined by reference to entities, not objects.

2 Edits

Edits refer to 00-007r1. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by immediately following text, while a page and line number followed by + indicates that immediately following text is to be inserted after the indicated line. Remarks for the editor are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.

[Editor: Replace "objects" by "entities" and "object" by "entity".] 69:23-24

[Editor: Replace Issue 266 by "It may be useful to reconsider the definitions of "object" and "data entity." It may be desirable to define "object" to be the same as the current defininition of "data entity," and used "named object," "designated object" and "anonymous object" where a distinction is necessary.] 69:28-37

[Editor: Replace "objects" by "entities". Don't replace "unlimited polymorphic object" by "unlimited polymorphic entity" – I don't think we have any of the latter.] 69:42

[Editor: Replace "object" by "entity" and "objects" by "entities" throughout – several times for each.] 69:43-45