Subject:
 Semantics of the select kind construct are not described, and it appears to be a mess to use

 From:
 Van Snyder

References: 98-179, 00-179, 00-186, 00-194, 00-233

1 Introduction

The select kind construct, apparently intended to be used within a derived type definition to select different specific procedures to invoke using an object of derived type, depending on the kind parameters, is not described further than providing its syntax. In particular, the relation between select kind, inheritance, and procedure overriding is not described.

Furthermore, if I understand it correctly, it is quite cumbersome to use. Suppose one has a type with three kind parameters, and one anticipates three values for each of those parameters. If one procedure is needed for each combination of kind type parameter values, this results in a requirement to bind 27 procedures to the type. It appears to require 92 statements to do so, using the select kind construct: Three nested select kind constructs are needed. The inner ones needs 8 statements each – the SELECT CASE and END SELECT statements, 3 CASE statements, and 3 procedure declaration statements. Each middle one encloses three of these, and adds five more statements, for a total of 29 statements, for a total of 92 statements. The proposal here would allow to use one statement – albeit perhaps using more than one line, but not 92 lines.

As I understand it, this is a very clumsy explicit replacement for the automatic generic resolution mechanism. (Actually, the intent is to specify how to generate dispatch tables, but the generic mechanism could do that more clearly.)

I propose in this paper to replace the select kind construct with the already-developed generic resolution mechanism.

This strategy has a simple extension to type-bound defined assignment, type-bound defined operators, type-bound derived-type input/output procedures (see 00-233), and type-bound final procedures (see 00-194).

2 Specifications

Several specific procedures may be bound to a type by using one binding name. The specific procedures bound to (not inherited into) a single type-bound procedure name shall be distinguishable according to the rules for unambiguous generic procedure reference (14.1.2.3).

The PASS_OBJ declaration applies to the binding name, and thereby to all of the specific procedures bound to the type, and all of its extensions, by that name, so we don't need to worry about the case that a binding name has PASS_OBJ in the parent type but not in the type being declared, or vice-versa.

The rules for overriding are not much more difficult than in the case of nongeneric type-bound procedures. We don't have an explanation for the semantics of the select kind construct, but I don't think it would be simpler than this: If a specific procedure to be bound to a type by a particular binding name is not distinguishable from one bound to the parent by the same name, but with the type of the passed-object dummy argument replaced by the present type, by using the rules in section 14.1.2.3, it overrides the one inherited from the parent. Otherwise, it extends the set of procedures accessible by applying the generic procedure resolution mechanism to the binding name.

Now consider procedure invocation. Define a type-bound generic interface for a type and binding name to be the set of procedures inherited for that binding name from the parent of the type, minus the overridden ones, plus the ones declared in the type. Each procedure in such a generic interface has a corresponding one in each generic interface for each extension type – either the same procedure or one that overrides it. First, one procedure is selected from the type-bound generic interface for the declared type of the invoking object and specified binding name, according to the generic resolution rules. Then the corresponding procedure from the type-bound generic interface for the dynamic type of the invoking object and the same binding name is invoked. From an implementors point of view, there is a separate dispatch table for each distinct generic resolution of a binding name.

3 Syntax

The proposed syntax to specify generic type-bound procedures is to specify non-generic procedure bindings by using the PROCEDURE statement, and generic bindings by using a new GENERIC statement. This has the disadvantage of requiring a new statement, and the advantage that the processor can detect one case in which one mistakenly extends the generic set instead of overriding a non-generic binding – the case when the name is already non-generic.

The PROCEDURE statement is unchanged, and the *proc-binding* is extended to include R440 proc-binding is $\langle as at present \rangle$

or GENERIC (*proc-interface-name*) ■ \blacksquare [. binding-attr-list] :: binding-name => NULL() or GENERIC [. *binding-attr-list*] :: ■ \blacksquare binding-name => procedure-name-list

A binding-name specified in a PROCEDURE statement shall not be the same as any other binding name specified within the same derived type definition, no matter whether specified in a PROCEDURE or GENERIC statement; if it is the same as an inherited one, the present overriding rules apply - it is not permitted to extend a generic set with a PROCEDURE binding. A binding name specified in a GENERIC statement may be the same as the binding name specified in another GENERIC statement, having the same effect as if the procedurename-lists were combined in a single statement.

Edits 4

Edits refer to 00-007r1. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by immediately following text, while a page and line number followed by +indicates that immediately following text is to be inserted after the indicated line. Remarks for the editor are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.

	proc-binding [$proc-binding$]	43:16-17
[Editor: Delete.]		43:19-20

43:19-20

R440 proc-binding		is PROCEDURE(proc-interface-name) \blacksquare	43:21-24
		$\blacksquare [, binding-attr-list] :: binding-name => NULL()$	
		or PROCEDURE [[, binding-attr-list] ::] ■	
		= binding-name [=> binding]	
		or GENERIC(<i>proc-interface-name</i>) \blacksquare	
		or GENERIC [$hinding-attr-list$]	
		■ binding-name => binding-list	
Constraint:	If $=>$ binding appears, a	double-colon separator shall appear before <i>binding-name</i> .	
Constraint:	The binding name shall r	not be the same as a binding name inherited $(4.5.3.2)$ from	
	the parent type for which	the NON_OVERRIDABLE binding attribute is specified.	
Constraint:	If a binding name is inher	ited $(4.5.3.2)$ from the parent type, then the binding name	
	inherited from the paren with GENERIC or both	t type and the one being declared shall both be declared be declared with PROCEDURE	
		or NULL(nrocedure-name)	43.37
		or NULL(procedure-pointer-name)	10.01
[Editor: Re the name of After the se	place "procedure that hat f an accessible procedure cond "procedure" insert	s" by "procedure. The <i>procedure-pointer-name</i> shall be pointer. The procedure or procedure pointer shall have". "or procedure pointer".]	43:39
Constraint:	If NULL is specified NO	N_OVERRIDABLE shall not be specified.	43:46-44:8
Constraint:	If the binding name is t	he same as one inherited $(4.5.3.2)$ from the parent type,	
	PASS_OBJ shall be speci	fied if and only if it is specified for the binding of the same	
	name in the parent type		
Constraint:	If PASS_OBJ or NON_C	WERRIDABLE is specified for a generic binding name in	
	one procedure binding w	ithin the derived type definition, it shall be specified in all	
a	procedure bindings for t.	hat binding name within the derived type definition.	
Constraint:	If an <i>access-spec</i> is speci-	fied for a generic binding name in one procedure binding	
	default accessibility the	same access-spec shall be specified for every GENERIC	
	statement that specifies	the same generic binding name within the derived type	
	definition.	ene same Senere sinang name arem ene derived type	
[Editor: Delete "- construct".]			48:12
[Editor: Re	[Editor: Replace "said to be deferred" with "a deferred procedure binding ".]		
may override $(4.5.3.2)$ the inherited $(4.5.3.1)$ deferred binding with another deferred binding.			48:29
[Editor: No	te that $00-233$ inserts a so	ection of the same number. Put this one first.]	48:30+

For a particular type and *binding-name*, a binding specified by a GENERIC statement specifies a type-bound generic interface. The set of bindings specified for that *binding-name*, and those that are inherited (4.5.3.1) into that type and not overridden (4.5.3.2), defines a type-bound generic interface, in exact analogy to a generic procedure name (12.3.2.1).

[Editor: Insert the following unresolved issue note only if paper 00-233 also passes.]

J3 internal note

Unresolved issue xxx (integration) Investigate the possibility of combining this section with the following similar one about type- bound derived-type input/output.	
Each binding in each such type-bound generic interface has a corresponding one in the type- bound generic interface for that <i>binding-name</i> and each extension type – either the same bind- ing, or one that overrides it.	
The same <i>binding-name</i> may be used in several GENERIC statements within a single derived type definition. The effect is as if all of the NULL() bindings were specified by NULL(<i>procedure-pointer-name</i>) with <i>procedure-pointer-name</i> specifying a procedure pointer with the same interface as the <i>proc-interface-name</i> , and then all the bindings were specified by a single statement.	
For purposes of overriding (4.5.3.2) and generic resolution (14.1.2.3, 14.1.2.4.2 $\frac{1}{2}$), the declared type of a passed-object dummy argument (4.5.1.6) of a procedure binding inherited from the parent type is considered to be the same as the type into which it is inherited.	53:22+
A procedure binding declared within a derived type definition overrides one inherited from the parent type if:	53:36-41
(1) It is declared using PROCEDURE and it has a binding name that is the same as one inherited from the parent type, or	
(2) It is declared using GENERIC, it has the same binding name as one inherited from the parent type, and the specific or deferred procedure to be bound to the type by a particular binding name is not distinguishable, by using the rules in 14.1.2.3, from one inherited from the parent and bound to the same binding name.	
If it is declared using GENERIC but it does not override one inherited from the parent, it extends the generic binding (4.5.1.5.1) having that binding name. If a binding overrides one inherited from the parent, it and the inherited one shall match in the following ways:	
[Editor: Replace "Except, the" by "The". It's now provided by the edit at [53:22+] above.]	54:3
[Editor: Insert the following after note 4.44. Note that the same constraint is inserted by paper 00-233, but therein it applies to two syntax rules.] The following constraint applies to syntax rule R440:	54:28+
Constraint: If a procedure binding overrides one inherited from the parent type, the one inher- ited from the parent type shall not specify the NON _OVERRIDABLE attribute.	
[Editor: After ")" add "or generic bindings (4.5.1.5.1)".]	342:14
Editor: Add a new section, after – not a subsection of – 14.1.2.4.2.]14.1.2.4.2 $\frac{1}{2}$ Resolving generic type bound procedure references	346:22+
If a generic type-bound procedure binding is specified by <i>data-ref % binding-name</i> in a function reference or call statement:	

- (1) If the reference is consistent with one of the specific interfaces in the generic binding (4.5.1.5.1) for the *binding-name* and the declared type of the *data-ref*, that interface is selected.
- (2) Otherwise, if the reference is consistent with an elemental reference to one of the specific interfaces in the generic binding (4.5.1.5.1) for the *binding-name* and the declared type of the *data-ref*, that interface is selected.

(3) If an interface is selected by (1) or (2) above, the reference is to the specific binding that provides the interface in the generic binding for the *binding-name* and the dynamic type of the *data-ref* that corresponds to the selected interface.

If no interface is selected in (1) or (2), or the reference determined in (3) is to a deferred binding (4.5.1.5), an error condition occurs.

deferred procedure binding (4.5.1.5): a *type-bound procedure* binding that specifies the 400:17+ NULL() intrinsic. A deferred procedure binding shall not be invoked.