

Subject: Issues 270 and 271  
 From: Van Snyder

## 1 Introduction

Issues 270 and 271 are both concerned with type aliases. Issue 270 asks whether changing *declaration-type-spec* to *type-spec* is the correct change to prevent creating aliases for classes. The answer is “yes.”

Issue 271 asks whether something should be said about the impossibility of using a type alias as a parent type name in an extended type definition. The answer is “yes.”

## 2 Edits

Edits refer to 00-007r2. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by immediately following text, while a page and line number followed by + indicates that immediately following text is to be inserted after the indicated line. Remarks for the editor are noted in the margin, or appear between [ and ] in the text.

[Editor: Replace “name” with “ <i>type-name</i> ” to emphasize that an alias can’t be used here.]	41:21
[Editor: Remove “ <i>declaration-</i> ”.]	57:19
[Editor: Delete issues 270 and 271.]	57:24-33
<b>NOTE 4.49</b> <sup><math>\frac{1}{2}</math></sup>	57:35+
It is not possible to use a type alias name as the parent type name in the declaration of an extended type (4.5.3).	