25 June 2001 J3/01-259

Subject: Comments on section 4

From: Van Snyder References: 01-197r1

This paper contains the comments from 01-197r1, updated for 01-007r2.

1. Assignment isn't an operation. 2. Intrinsic assignment isn't defined for polymorphic objects.	30:6
requires substantial sophistication to understand that "execution of a derived-type intrinsic signment statement" determines the values of deferred type parameters only indirectly. It build be better to delete it.	31:5-6
Intrinsic assignment is not defined for polymorphic objects.	31:2-6
This paragraph isn't connected to anything previous or subsequent in this subclause, and it contributes nothing on its own. Its substance belongs in 13.11.100.	36:6-8
The sentence "Pointers undefined" belongs in 5.1.2.11, where its substance isn't even mentioned!	46:6-7
Move to $4.5.1.5\frac{1}{2}$ or $4.5.1.6\frac{1}{2}$ (to be with other type-bound procedures), or move $4.5.1[56]$ to be just before this subclause.	4.5.1.
Subclause 4.5.11 should be 4.5.10.1.	56:41
Subclause 4.5.12 should be 4.5.10.2.	57:23

25 June 2001 Page 1 of 1