30 June 2002 J3/02-223

Subject: Problems with dummy arguments and function results

From: Van Snyder

1 Edits

- 2 Edits refer to 02-007r2. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other
- instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to
- 4 be replaced by associated text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that
- 5 associated text is to be inserted after (before) the indicated line. Remarks are noted in the
- 6 margin, or appear between [and] in the text.
- ⁷ [The phrase "its characteristics (12.2.2) are those of the function result," is backward: The 278:1-2,5
- 8 characteristics of the function result are those of the result variable. It's also misplaced: The
- 9 characteristics of the function result are those of the result variable, no matter whether the
- result variable is result-name or function-name. Editor: Delete ", its characteristics (12.2.2)
- are those of the function result, " at [278:1-2] and insert the sentence "The characteristics
- 12 (12.2.2) of the function result are those of the result variable." before "The" at [278:5].]
- $_{13}$ [The sentence "The value of the result variable at the completion of execution of the function is 278:5-6
- the value returned by the function" is backward. It implies that the value of the result variable
- somehow arises from the value returned by the function. The opposite is the case. Editor:
- Replace it by "At the completion of execution of a function, the value returned is the value of
- its result variable."

22

- 18 [In the space of four lines, there are three different ways to say that the result variable 278:6-9
- is a pointer. One is enough. There is also some confusion between present and past tense. Of
- the three, "is a pointer" is the shortest. Editor: At [278:6], "has the POINTER attribute" \Rightarrow
- "is a pointer"; at [278:9], "has been declared to be a pointer" \Rightarrow "is a pointer".

2 Not sure what to do

I couldn't find anything, either in normative prose or syntax rules, that says a dummy argument is a variable. A *dummy-arg-name* isn't in the hierarchy of syntax rules starting from *variable* or *variable-name*. It seems necessary to put it under *part-name* (which is defined by the default rules), *parent-string* and *object-name*.

Although a result-name or function-name is defined to be a variable, and the circumstance under which either one is a variable is clearly defined, neither one is in the hierarchy of syntax rules starting from variable or variable-name. It seems necessary to put them under part-name (which is defined by the default rules), parent-string and object-name.

30 June 2002 Page 1 of 1