JOR Responses to 02-243

To: J3
From: Craig Dedo
Date: August 15, 2002
Subject: JOR Responses to 02-243

Following are JOR responses to 02-243r1.

179:10. After ';' add 'if the stream or record file is unformatted,'.

198:20-21. Change 'The' to 'On input from a file connected for sequential or direct access, the' and move the sentence to make a new paragraph at line 24+.

200:16-17. Delete 'shall not process those derived-type list items as described in 9.5.2. Instead, it'.

Do NOT make the following edit.
203:10-11. Change '(or some portion thereof)' to '(or a portion thereof if the type of the item is an extension of the type of <<dtv>>)'.
Reason: the existing language is correct as it is.

Do NOT make the proposed technical change.
216:19-22 (TECHNICAL CHANGE). Replace by
"If the output list in an INQUIRE statement contains an effective item that requires a user-defined derived-type input/output procedure as described in section 9.5.2, every effective item in the list shall have a defined value."
Reason: This proposed change is too complicated for the time that we have available.

Do NOT make the following edit.
218:25-Note 9.64 Delete section 9.10.6.
Reason: Already done by 02-234r1.

Do NOT do the following edit.
218:27. Delete ', or an input/output statement that references an external unit, '.
Reason: Done in paper 02-234.

Do NOT do the following edit.
218:28+. Change '7.1.8' to '(7.1.8)'.
Reason: Done in paper 02-234.

219:1 Change "Restriction" to "Restrictions".

Do NOT do the following edit.
219:25+. Delete NOTE 9.65.

Miscellaneous edits
[29:1] Change "commant" to "comment".

References

02-007r2, Fortran 2000 Draft

[End of J3 / 02-262r1]