Edits for UK comments MTC3 to MTC6 (various i/o fixes)

2 To: J3

1

- 3 From: Craig Dedo
- 4 Subject: Edits for UK comments MTC3 to MTC6 (various i/o fixes)
- 5 Date: March 31, 2003
- 6 JOR recommends the following responses to the concerns in MTC 3 to MTC 6.
- 7 MTC3 The UK comment was:
- 8 Resolve ambiguity re asynchronous i/o
- 9 It is not clear whether pending i/o operations must be performed in
- order of program execution, in order for each unit, or may be
- 11 performed in any order. The sentence 189:2-4 is ambiguous and
- 12 needs to be changed.
- 13 Here is the edit for this:
- 14 189:2. Change 'Records' to 'For each external file, records'.
- 15 JOR Response: JOR accepts the edit for MTC 3.
- 16 MTC4 The UK comment was:
- 17 Resolve ambiguity re error handing with asynchronous i/o
- 18 What happens if an error occurs while several i/o statements are
- 19 pending?
- 20 A possible edit is the following:
- 21 Page 189: 15+. Add new note 9.30a:
- 22 If an asynchronous data transfer is pending when a synchronous data
- transfer is started on the same unit, or multiple asynchronous data
- 24 transfer statements are waited on out of order, and an error
- condition occurs on any of them, it is processor dependent on which
- of the transfer or transfers it will be indicated, though it shall
- 27 be indicated at least once.
- 28 If our proposed edit for MTC3 is accepted, no edit of MTC4 will be
- 29 needed.
- 30 JOR Response: No edits are needed because MTC 3 was accepted.
- 31 MTC5 The UK comment was:
- 32 Allow edit descriptors such as 1P2E12.4
- This was a Fortran 66 facility which appears to have been omitted
- 34 by oversight.
- 35 A possible edit is the following:
- Page 219:19. Change "descriptor" to "descriptor, possibly preceded
- 37 by a repeat specifier"

Craig T. Dedo March 31, 2003 Page 2 of 2

- 1 No other edits are suggested.
- JOR Response: Make the edit 219:19 after the comma, insert "possibly preceded by a repeat specifier,".
- 3 MTC6 The UK comment was:
- 4 JOR Response: This issue is addressed in paper 03-167.
- 5 Change ACHAR(10) syntax within stream i/o
- 6 Special handling of ACHAR(10) is unnatural to Fortran programmers.
- We recommend replacement by an intrinsic function such as
- 8 NEW_LINE([KIND]), perhaps recommending that it have the value
- 9 ACHAR(10).
- 10 Here are the edits for this:
- 11 230:7. Change 'reference ACHAR(10)' to 'NEW_LINE'.
- 12 292:16+. Add
- 13 NEW_LINE ([KIND]) New-line character
- 14 333:17+. Add
- 15 13.7.81a NEW_LINE ([KIND])
- 16 Description. Returns the new-line character.
- 17 Class. Inquiry function.
- 18 Argument.
- 19 KIND (optional) shall be a scalar integer initialization expression.
- 20 Result Characteristics. The result is of type character and length
- one. If KIND is present, the kind type parameter is that specified
- by the value of KIND; otherwise, the type is default character.
- 23 Result Value. The value is the character that represents a new line
- in output to files connected for stream access.
- 25 References
- 26 02-007r2, Fortran 2000 Draft
- 27 03-167, Replace ACHAR(10) with NEW_LINE Function
- 28 [End of J3 / 03-121r1]