

Subject: Modules need initialization parts  
 From: Van Snyder  
 Reference: 97-114r2, section 24 (pages 35-36).

## 1 **Number**

2 TBD

## 3 **Title**

4 Modules need initialization parts.

## 5 **Submitted By**

6 J3

## 7 **Status**

8 For consideration.

## 9 **Basic Functionality**

10 Provide for an *initialization-part* that consists of an *execution-part* and perhaps some more syntax,  
 11 somewhere in a module, that is specified to be executed exactly once before any procedure within the  
 12 module is executed, or before any part (including an initialization part) of a program unit that accesses  
 13 it by use association is executed.

## 14 **Rationale**

15 There are three reasons to do this: convenience, clarity and safety. Convenient because the initialization  
 16 gets done without user code needing to invoke it, and without the initialization part needing to have an  
 17 explicit “first time flag” to prevent executing it twice. Clear because it puts initialization in a consistent  
 18 place, specified by the standard. Safe because it guarantees the initialization gets done without needing  
 19 to depend on scoping units that access the module to invoke the initialization.

## 20 **Estimated Impact**

21 Minor.

## 22 **Detailed Specification**

23 Provide for an *initialization-part* that consists of an *execution-part* and perhaps some more syntax,  
 24 somewhere in a module, that is specified to be executed exactly once before any procedure within the  
 25 module is executed, or before any part (including an initialization part) of a program unit that accesses  
 26 it by use association is executed.

27 One syntax to do this is to add [ *execution-part* ] in R1104, giving

```
28 R1104 module                is module-stmt
29                               [ specification-part ]
30                               [ execution-part ]
31                               [ module-subprogram-part ]
32                               end-module-stmt
```

33 This is the way that Ada and Modula-2 work, and the way a Fortran main program works (with *module-*  
 34 *subprogram-part* replaced by *internal-subprogram-part*, which has identical syntax).

35 No matter what syntax is used, it will be necessary to add a requirement that the initialization part  
 36 shall be executed no more than once before any procedure within the module is executed, or before any

1 part (including an initialization part) of a program unit that accesses it by use association is executed.  
 2 Thus if A uses B the initialization part for B is executed before the one for A, which is executed before  
 3 (perhaps long before) any procedure in A. It can be processor dependent whether an initialization part  
 4 is not executed if no *execution-part* in a scoping unit that accesses the module is executed.  
 5 “Exactly once” is preferable to executing it again if the module goes “out of scope” and comes back, or  
 6 to leaving this up to the processor. It’s easier to describe, probably easier to implement, and consistent  
 7 with SAVE.  
 8 Ada and Modula-2 both have initialization parts for their equivalents of Fortran’s modules. Since they  
 9 are both widely implemented, it’s clear it’s possible to do this. Surely Fortran processor developers are  
 10 at least as clever as Modula-2 and Ada processor developers!  
 11 Here is a possible implementation. The main program, each external procedure, and each initialization  
 12 part have, in effect (but maybe not in the details of implementation):

```
13 logical, save :: FIRST = .TRUE.
14 if ( first ) then
15     first = .false.
16     call initializer_for_first_accessed_module
17     call initializer_for_second_accessed_module
18     ....
19     ! In a module, execute the initialization part’s execution part.
20     ....
21 end if
```

22 Each interoperable module procedure with a binding label has:

```
23 logical, save :: FIRST = .TRUE.
24 if ( first ) then
25     first = .false.
26     call initializer_for_the_module
27 end if
```

28 In some cases this could be done more efficiently by putting a GOTO instruction to the initialization  
 29 part into the “data bank” of each module, which instruction is changed to a RETURN instruction by  
 30 the initialization part, and similarly in each external procedure and interoperable module procedure  
 31 that has a binding label. This isn’t as efficient as the ETH-Zürich method described below, but it’s not  
 32 terribly inefficient, either.

33 The ETH-Zürich Modula-2 processor determines an order to execute the initialization parts by doing a  
 34 depth-first traversal of the dependency DAG. It inserts a CALL to the first initialization part before the  
 35 first executable statement of the main program. At the end of each initialization part but the last one  
 36 it inserts a GOTO the next one in the list. At the end of the last one, it inserts a RETURN. There are  
 37 no other calls or “first time” flags. This method may need cooperation from the linker or an auxiliary  
 38 processor.

39 Different implementations could do it different ways; the standard should not specify how it’s done, but  
 40 an example in Annex C may be useful.

41 No matter how initialization is done, the standard should specify the name of a C function that the  
 42 processor provides, and that can be invoked to do the initialization in the event the main program is  
 43 not a Fortran main program unit. This is necessary for the ETH-Zürich method, and could be empty  
 44 for the other two methods described above.

## 45 History