

Subject: Interpretation request: Representation method of result of REAL  
From: Van Snyder

## 1 **Number**

2 TBD

## 3 **Title**

4 Representation method of result of REAL.

## 5 **Keywords**

6 "Representation method" KIND REAL.

## 7 **Defect type**

8 Interpretation.

## 9 **Status**

10 J3 consideration in progress.

## 11 **Questions**

12 Subclause numbers refer to 97-007r2.

13 The second and third sentences of subclause 4.3.1.2 are

14 A processor shall provide two or more **approximation methods** that define sets of values  
15 for data of type real. Each such method has a **representation method** and is characterized  
16 by a value for a type parameter called the **kind** type parameter.

17 1. Does this imply that there is a one-to-one correspondence between kind type parameter values and  
18 representation methods?

19 Since the second quoted sentence says "a value," not "some values," assume the answer to this question  
20 is "yes."

21 Subclause 7.1.4.2 specifies the type and kind type parameter value for the result of an operation.

22 2. Is a processor allowed to use a representation method that corresponds to a different kind type  
23 parameter value of the same type, or no kind type parameter value, at least if neither the precision nor  
24 exponent range of the different representation method is less than the one specified in 7.1.4.2?

25 Subclause 7.1.4.1 specifies the type and kind type parameter value for a variable.

26 3. Is a processor allowed to use a representation method that corresponds to a different kind type  
27 parameter value of the same type, or no kind type parameter value, at least if neither the precision nor  
28 exponent range of the different representation method is less than the one specified in 7.1.4.1?

29 Subclause 7.1.4.1 specifies the type and kind type parameter value for a result of a function.

30 4. Is a processor allowed to use a representation method that corresponds to a different kind type  
31 parameter value of the same type, or no kind type parameter value, at least if neither the precision nor  
32 exponent range of the different representation method is less than the one specified in 7.1.4.1?

33 Subclause 13.14.28 specifies that the result of DBLE is the same as the result of REAL with a KIND  
34 argument having the value KIND(0.0d0).

35 Subclause 13.14.88 specifies that the kind type parameter value of the result of REAL is that specified  
36 by the KIND argument.

1 5. Is a processor allowed to use a representation method for the result that corresponds to a different  
 2 kind type parameter value of the same type, or no kind type parameter value, at least if neither the  
 3 precision nor exponent range of the different representation method is less than the one specified in  
 4 13.14.88?

## 5 Answers

6 1. Yes, but there should be exceptions, to allow the recommended answers for the questions below.  
 7 2. No, but it probably ought to be yes. Otherwise, a processor cannot retain intermediate results of  
 8 expression evaluation in registers that have additional range or precision.  
 9 3. No, but it probably ought to be yes. Otherwise, inter-statement optimizations that result in leaving  
 10 expression results in registers that have additional range or precision from one statement to another,  
 11 that is, those in which stores are eliminated, would be prohibited.  
 12 4. No, but it probably ought to be yes, at least in limited circumstances. Otherwise, if the answer to  
 13 Question 2 is as specified above, a function reference that is replaced by the text of the function's body  
 14 would have different performance and different results from one automatically inlined by the processor.  
 15 5. No.  
 16 Ideally, the answers to Questions 2 through 5 should be "it depends," and a construct should be provided  
 17 to specify where "it depends" applies. But that would be a feature request, not an interpretation request.

## 18 Edits

19 In the third sentence in subclause 4.3.1.2, replace "and" by ". Each representation method" and replace  
 20 "a value" by "at most one value". At the end of that sentence insert "; a processor may provide repre-  
 21 sentation methods that are not characterized by any kind type parameter value". After that sentence,  
 22 insert a new sentence: "With exceptions specified in 7.1.4.1 and 7.1.4.2, each kind type parameter value  
 23 specifies one representation method, which shall be used to represent constants of the specified kind."

24 In the first sentence of the second paragraph of 4.3.1.3, replace "approximation" by "representation".  
 25 Replace the second sentence by "A **kind** type parameter may be specified for a complex entity; it  
 26 specifies the kind type parameter value for both the real and imaginary parts." Then insert a new  
 27 sentence: "With the exceptions specified in 7.1.4.1, the representation method (4.3.1.2) of the real and  
 28 imaginary parts of the entity shall be the same as a constant of type real having the same kind type  
 29 parameter value." In the third sentence, insert "value" after "parameter".

30 At the end of each of subclauses 5.1.1.1, 5.1.1.2 and 5.1.2.3, insert the same new sentence: "With the  
 31 exceptions specified in 7.1.4.1, the representation method (4.3.1.2) of the entity shall be the same as a  
 32 constant having the same kind type parameter value."

33 At the end of the first paragraph of subclause 7.1.4.1, add two new sentences: "If the variable is of  
 34 type real, the representation method (4.3.1.2) shall be the same as a constant having the same kind  
 35 type parameter value, or may be one that has greater exponent range, greater precision, or both; this  
 36 representation method may correspond to a different kind type parameter, or to no kind type parameter.  
 37 If the primary is a reference to a function other than REAL and the result is of type real, the representation  
 38 method shall be the same as a constant having the same kind type parameter value, or may be one that  
 39 has greater exponent range, greater precision, or both; this representation method may correspond to a  
 40 different kind type parameter value, or to no kind type parameter value."

41 Ideally, the third paragraph of subclause 7.1.4.2 should be rewritten — it has been in the FCD — or  
 42 taken out and shot. Failing that, before the sentence that begins "In the case that both operands are of  
 43 type integer. . ." insert a new sentence: "If the type of the expression is real, the representation method  
 44 (4.3.1.2) shall be the same as a constant having the same kind type parameter value, or may be one that  
 45 has greater exponent range, greater precision, or both; this representation method may correspond to a  
 46 different kind type parameter value, or to no kind type parameter value."

47 After the **Result characteristics** section of subclause 13.14.20, insert a note:

**NOTE 13.7 $\frac{1}{2}$**

The representation method (4.3.1.2) of the real and imaginary parts of the result shall be the same as a constant of type real having the same kind type parameter value as the result.

- 1 After *Case (ii)* in the **Result characteristics** section of subclause 13.14.88, insert a note:

**NOTE 13.13 $\frac{1}{2}$**

The representation method (4.3.1.2) of the result shall be the same as a constant of type real having the same kind type parameter value as the result.

- 2 **Submitted by**

- 3 Van Snyder

- 4 **History**

- 5 168-wvs-009 m168