

Subject: Coroutines (hopefully done)  
From: Van Snyder

## 1 **1 Number**

2 TBD

## 3 **2 Title**

4 Coroutines.

## 5 **3 Submitted By**

6 J3

## 7 **4 Status**

8 For consideration.

## 9 **5 Basic Functionality**

10 Provide for coroutines.

## 11 **6 Rationale**

12 In many cases when a “library” procedure needs access to user-provided code, the user-provided code  
13 needs access to data of which the library procedure is unaware. There are at least three ways by which  
14 the user-provided code can gain access to these entities:

- 15 • The user-provided code can be implemented as a procedure that is invoked by the library procedure,  
16 with the extra data stored in globally-accessible variables.
- 17 • The user-provided code can be implemented as a procedure that takes a dummy argument of  
18 extensible type, which procedure is invoked by the library procedure, with the extra entities in an  
19 extension of that type.
- 20 • The library procedure can provide for *reverse communication*, that is, when it needs access to user-  
21 provided code it returns instead of calling a procedure. When the user-provided code reinvokes  
22 the library procedure, it somehow finds its way back to the appropriate place.

23 Each of these solutions has drawbacks. Entities that are needlessly public increase maintenance expense.  
24 If the user-provided procedure expects to find its extra data in an extension of the type of an argument  
25 passed through the library procedure, the dummy argument has to be polymorphic, and the user-provided  
26 code has to execute a SELECT TYPE construct to access the extension. Reverse communication causes  
27 a mess that requires GO TO statements to resume the library procedure where it left off, which in  
28 turn requires one to simulate conventional control structures using GO TO statements. This reduces  
29 reliability and increases development and maintenance costs.

30 Reverse communication is, however, a blunt-force simulation of a well-behaved control structure that  
31 has been well-known to computer scientists for decades: The *coroutine*. Coroutines would allow user-  
32 provided code needed by library procedures more easily to gain access to data of which the library  
33 procedure is unaware, without causing the disruption of the control structure of the library procedure  
34 that reverse communication now causes.

35 Coroutines are useful to implement *iterator* procedures, that can be used both to enumerate the elements  
36 of a data structure and to control iteration of a loop that is processing those elements.

## 37 **7 Estimated Impact**

38 Minor additions to Subclause 2.3.4 and Section 12. Estimated at J3 meeting 169 to be at 5 on the JKR  
39 scale.

## 1 8 Detailed Specification

2 Provide two new statements, which we here call SUSPEND and RESUME.

3 Provide a new form of subprogram, the *coroutine*, that cannot contain an ENTRY statement, and is the  
4 only subprogram in which a SUSPEND statement is allowed. A coroutine requires an explicit interface.

5 Coroutines can stand on their own, or be type-bound procedures or actual arguments. They can be  
6 procedure pointer targets, provided the pointer has explicit interface. Generic coroutines are allowed,  
7 provided the *generic-spec* is *generic-name*. Recursive and internal coroutines are allowed.

8 When a coroutine is invoked by a CALL statement, execution continues with the coroutine's first ex-  
9 ecutable construct. When a coroutine executes a SUSPEND statement, execution continues after the  
10 CALL or RESUME statement that initiated or resumed its execution; when a RESUME statement is  
11 executed, execution resumes after the SUSPEND statement. When a coroutine executes a RETURN or  
12 END statement, execution continues after the CALL or RESUME statement that initiated or resumed  
13 its execution, and it is an error if one later attempts to RESUME it without first calling it.

14 A type-bound coroutine shall be initiated using a variable, and resumed using the same variable. A  
15 coroutine that is initiated using a pointer shall be resumed using the same pointer. Otherwise, a  
16 coroutine shall be resumed from the same scoping unit in which it is initiated.

### 17 8.1 Data entities

18 Variables within a coroutine can have the SAVE attribute, with the usual implications.

19 Unsaved local variables within a coroutine retain their definition status and values from SUSPEND  
20 to RESUME. Automatic objects in addition retain their bounds and length parameter values. The  
21 specification part is not elaborated upon resumption. If a coroutine references a module or common  
22 block, it is considered to continue to reference it between SUSPEND and RESUME.

23 A change in the value of a variable between SUSPEND and RESUME does not affect the bounds or  
24 length parameter values of automatic variables within the coroutine.

25 Argument association does survive execution of a SUSPEND statement.

### 26 8.2 Activation records

27 The above rules guarantee that coroutines can be reentrant. The following paragraphs suggest one way  
28 to implement those rules.

29 When a coroutine suspends execution by executing a SUSPEND statement, its activation record is saved.  
30 When a coroutine is resumed, its activation record is restored. Therefore there is no restriction on where  
31 a SUSPEND statement is allowed to appear among the executable constructs.

32 A type-bound coroutine's activation record is saved in and restored from an extension of the variable by  
33 which its execution is initiated or resumed, i.e., in **X**, if it is referenced as **X%*C***. If a coroutine is accessed  
34 by a procedure pointer, its activation record is saved in the pointer. Otherwise, the processor stores the  
35 activation record locally (so CALL and RESUME have to be in the same scoping unit).

## 36 9 History

03-258r1, section 1.1	m166
04-149r1	m167
04-345	m169
04-380r1	m170