13 November 2006 J3/06-309r1

Subject: Comments on Clause 6

From: Van Snyder

## 1 Edits

Edits refer to 06-007r1. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by associated 3 text, while a page and line number followed by + (-) indicates that associated text is to be inserted after 4 (before) the indicated line. Remarks are noted in the margin, or appear between [ and ] in the text. 5 [Belongs in 2.4.4, except for the last sentence [116:8], which is already there. Editor: Move [116:6-8] to 116:6-8 6 [19:10], replacing the first sentence that is already there. Then move the body of Note 6.2 to the end of 7 Note 2.7 at [19:10+2] as a new paragraph. Then delete [116:8].] 8 Editor: Replace the confusing and partly incorrect second and third phrases of C623: 120:19-21 9 (R618) Exactly one part-ref shall have nonzero rank, and either the final part-ref shall have 10 a section-subscript-list with nonzero rank, another part-ref shall have nonzero rank, or the 11 complex-part-designator shall be an array. 12 [What is the point of a one-line subclause? Editor: Delete "6.2.2.1 Array elements" and move [121:13] 121:12-13 13 to [121:11+].] 14 [Either we need "in the statement" in C627 and C630, or we don't need it in C628. It can't be because 125:10, 14-15 15 of "any" since that appears in C630. I prefer not to have it in C628. Editor: Delete it. Then combine 16 17 C630 into C628 by appending "is unlimited polymorphic, or is of abstract type," before "either" and delete C630.] 18 [Editor: Delete the vacuous note.] 126:26+1-219 [This paragraph is entirely devoid of new information. Editor: Delete it.] 128:7-8 20 [Given [128:9] there should be no question that the allocation status of an allocatable variable can change. 128:13 21 22 Editor: Delete "; the status ... procedure".] [Given [128:9] there should be no question that the allocation status of an allocatable variable can change. 128:15 23 Editor: Delete "; the status ... program".] 24 [Given [128:9] there should be no question that the allocation status of an allocatable variable can change. 128:16-17 25 Editor: Delete "; the status ... construct".] 26 [Editor: Move the paragraph and Note 6.25 to [126:26+] to say everything about the relation between 128:24-26+7 27 allocation and co-arrays in the same place.

13 November 2006 Page 1 of 1