Subject: Remedying oversights in 98-121r1 – edits for procedure pointers
From: Van Snyder

1 Edits

Edits refer to 99-007r1. Page and line numbers are displayed in the margin. Absent other instructions, a page and line number or line number range implies all of the indicated text is to be replaced by immediately following text, while a page and line number followed by + indicates that immediately following text is to be inserted after the indicated line. Remarks for the editor are noted in the margin, or appear between [and] in the text.

[Editor: In the same paragraph.] 83:41+
A name shall not be explicitly specified to have the EXTERNAL attribute more than once in a program unit.

Could 69:6-8 be extended to encompass the EXTERNAL attribute by changing "named data object" to "named entity?" If so, the above sentence wouldn't be needed.	*J3 question*

A name shall not have both the EXTERNAL and the INTRINSIC attributes in the same scoping unit. 84:10+

[Editor: In the same paragraph.] 84:14+
A name shall not be explicitly specified to have the INTRINSIC attribute more than once in a program unit.

Could 69:6-8 be extended to encompass the INTRINSIC attribute by changing "named data object" to "named entity?" If so, the above sentence wouldn't be needed.	*J3 question*

A name shall not have both the EXTERNAL and the INTRINSIC attributes in the same scoping unit. *Needed? See 84:10+*

[Editor: Delete. 273:17
The requirement "Only one appearance of a name in all of the EXTERNAL statements in a scoping unit is permitted" is inadequate (it doesn't address PROCEDURE statements, the appearance of the EXTERNAL attribute in type declaration statements, or interface bodies). See edits at 83:41+.]

[Editor: Delete. 273:37
The requirement "Only one appearance of a name in all of the INTRINSIC statements in a scoping unit is permitted" is inadequate (it doesn't address the appearance of the INTRINSIC attribute in type declaration statements). See edits at 84:14+.]

[Editor: Delete (see edits at 84:10+,14+). Probably should have been normative anyway – I can't find anything else that says what's here.] 251:38-40